

implement provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13), require that interested members of the public and affected agencies have an opportunity to comment on information collection and recordkeeping activities [see 5 CFR 1320.8(d)]. OSM has submitted two requests to OMB to renew its approval for the collections of information found at 30 CFR parts 732 and 874. OSM is requesting a 3-year term of approval for these information collection activities.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for these collections of information are 1029-0024 for part 732 and 1029-0013 for part 874, and may be found in OSM's regulations at 732.10 and 874.10.

As required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), a **Federal Register** notice soliciting comments on the collections of information for parts 732 and 874 was published on June 4, 2007 (72 FR 30830). No comments were received from that notice. This notice provides the public with an additional 30 days in which to comment on the following information collection activities:

*Title:* Procedures and Criteria for Approval or Disapproval of State Program Submissions, 30 CFR Part 732.

*OMB Control Number:* 1029-0024.

*Summary:* Part 732 establishes the procedures and criteria for approval and disapproval of State program submissions. The information submitted is used to evaluate whether State regulatory authorities are meeting the provisions of their approved programs.

*Bureau Form Number:* None.

*Frequency of Collection:* Once and annually.

*Description of Respondents:* 24 State regulatory authorities.

*Total Annual Responses:* 45.

*Total Annual Burden Hours:* 8,549.

*Title:* General Reclamation Requirements, 30 CFR Part 874.

*OMB Control Number:* 1029-0113.

*Summary:* Part 874 establishes land and water eligibility requirements, reclamation objectives and priorities and reclamation contractor responsibility. 30 CFR 874.17 requires consultation between the Abandoned Mine Land (AML) agency and the appropriate Title V regulatory authority on the likelihood of removing the coal under a Title V permit and concurrences between the AML agency and the appropriate Title V regulatory authority on the AML project boundary and the amount of coal that would be extracted under the AML reclamation project.

*Bureau Form Number:* None.

*Frequency of Collection:* Once.

*Description of Respondents:* 23 State regulatory authorities and Indian tribes.

*Total Annual Responses:* 23.

*Total Annual Burden Hours:* 1,610.

Send comments on the need for the collections of information for the performance of the functions of the agency; the accuracy of the agency's burden estimates; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collections; and ways to minimize the information collection burden on respondents, such as use of automated means of collection of the information, to the following address. Please refer to the appropriate OMB control number in all correspondence.

Dated: September 24, 2007.

**John A. Trelease,**

*Acting Chief, Division of Regulatory Support.*

[FR Doc. 07-4824 Filed 9-28-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-05-M**

---

## INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY AND WATER COMMISSION, UNITED STATES AND MEXICO

### United States Section: Notice of Availability of a Draft Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for Flood Control Improvements to the Rio Grande Canalization Project Levee System in El Paso County, Texas and Doña Ana and Sierra Counties, NM

**AGENCY:** United States Section, International Boundary and Water Commission, United States and Mexico.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and Draft Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI).

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, the Council on Environmental Quality Final Regulations (40 CFR parts 1500 through 1508), and the United States Section, International Boundary and Water Commission's (USIBWC) Operational Procedures for Implementing Section 102 of NEPA, published in the **Federal Register** September 2, 1981, (46 FR 44083); the USIBWC hereby gives notice of availability of the Draft Environmental Assessment and FONSI for Flood Control Improvements to the Rio Grande Canalization Project (RGCP) Levee System, located in El Paso County, Texas and Doña Ana and Sierra Counties, New Mexico.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Daniel Borunda, Environmental

Protection Specialist, Environmental Management Division, United States Section, International Boundary and Water Commission; 4171 N. Mesa, C-100; El Paso, Texas 79902. Telephone: (915) 832-4767; e-mail: [danielborunda@ibwc.state.gov](mailto:danielborunda@ibwc.state.gov).

**DATES:** Comments on the Draft EA and Draft FONSI will be accepted through October 30, 2007. This date has been determined by the expected release of the **Federal Register** Notice on October 1, 2007.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The USIBWC is preparing an Environmental Assessment (EA) for a proposed action to raise approximately 52 miles of levee system within the RGCP. The area under consideration includes approximately 13 miles within El Paso County, Texas and 38 miles within Doña Ana and Sierra Counties in New Mexico. Other flood control improvements such as a floodwall may be required in the Canutillo area in order to fully contain the 100-year flood flow.

The RGCP levee system is one of the priority areas identified and targeted for flood control improvements. The need for improvements to the levee system was identified in the *2004 Final Environmental Impact Statement, River Management Alternatives for the Rio Grande Canalization Project*. USIBWC in coordination with the United States Army Corps of Engineers, Albuquerque District evaluated the RGCP flood containment capacity in 1996 and subsequently in 2005. These studies identified a number of potential levee deficiencies along the RGCP on the basis of hydraulic modeling of the 100-year storm. The modeling indicated that an increase in levee height would be required to meet design criteria for flood protection. Levee height increases range from 1 to 4 feet at various locations for a total of 52 levee miles. Increases greater than 2 feet may require expansion of the levee footprint by lateral extension of the structure to meet design criteria. If required, levee footprint increases may occur within the USIBWC right-of-way and extend either on the landside or riverside of the levee depending on existing constraints.

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) certification of RGCP levees in El Paso County, Texas and Doña Ana and Sierra Counties, New Mexico cannot occur until the existing levees are rehabilitated to meet certification standards. Recent preliminary Digital Flood Insurance Rate Maps released by FEMA indicate increased newly designated Special Flood Hazard areas along the Rio Grande. The proposed action will

enable the USIBWC to partially certify specific levee segments along the RGCP that meet the 3-foot freeboard design criterion for flood protection.

**Summary of Findings:** Pursuant to NEPA guidance (40 Code of Federal Regulations 1500–1508), The President's Council on Environmental Quality issued regulations for NEPA implementation which included provisions for both the content and procedural aspects of the required EA. The EA assesses potential environmental impacts of the Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative. A draft FONSI was issued for the Proposed Action, based on a review of the facts and analyses contained in the EA. An environmental impact statement will not be prepared unless additional information which may affect this decision is brought to our attention within 30 days from the date of this Notice.

**Availability:** Copies of the Draft EA and FONSI may be obtained by request from Mr. Daniel Borunda, 4171 North Mesa, Suite C-100, El Paso, Texas 79902, e-mail: [danielborunda@ibwc.state.gov](mailto:danielborunda@ibwc.state.gov). Electronic copies may also be obtained from the USIBWC Home Page at <http://www.ibwc.state.gov>. Written comments will be accepted for 30 days following the date of this Notice.

Dated: September 24, 2007.

**Susan Daniel,**

*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. E7-19209 Filed 9-28-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7010-01-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-426 and 731-TA-984 and 985 (Review)]

### Sulfanilic Acid From Hungary and Portugal

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of five-year reviews concerning the countervailing duty order on sulfanilic acid from Hungary and the antidumping duty orders on sulfanilic acid from Hungary and Portugal.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on sulfanilic acid from Hungary and the antidumping duty orders on sulfanilic acid from Hungary and Portugal would be likely to

lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission;<sup>1</sup> to be assured of consideration, the deadline for responses is November 20, 2007. Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by December 14, 2007. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* October 1, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background.**—On November 8, 2002, the Department of Commerce issued a countervailing duty order on imports of sulfanilic acid from Hungary and antidumping duty orders on imports of sulfanilic acid from Hungary and Portugal (67 FR 68100-68102). The Commission is conducting reviews to determine whether revocation of the orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. It will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct full reviews or expedited reviews. The Commission's determinations in any

<sup>1</sup> No response to this request for information is required if a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) number is not displayed; the OMB number is 3117-0016/USITC No. 08-5-174, expiration date June 30, 2008. Public reporting burden for the request is estimated to average 10 hours per response. Please send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate to the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436.

expedited reviews will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice.

**Definitions.**—The following definitions apply to these reviews:

(1) *Subject Merchandise* is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year reviews, as defined by the Department of Commerce.

(2) The *Subject Countries* in these reviews are Hungary and Portugal.

(3) The *Domestic Like Product* is the domestically produced product or products which are like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the *Subject Merchandise*. In its original determinations, the Commission defined a single *Domestic Like Product* consisting of all sulfanilic acid corresponding to the scope, including technical grade sulfanilic acid, refined grade sulfanilic acid, and sodium sulfanilate.

(4) The *Domestic Industry* is the U.S. producers as a whole of the *Domestic Like Product*, or those producers whose collective output of the *Domestic Like Product* constitutes a major proportion of the total domestic production of the product. In its original determinations, the Commission found a single *Domestic Industry* consisting of all domestic producers of sulfanilic acid.

(5) The *Order Date* is the date that the antidumping and countervailing duty orders under review became effective. In these reviews, the *Order Date* is November 8, 2002.

(6) An *Importer* is any person or firm engaged, either directly or through a parent company or subsidiary, in importing the *Subject Merchandise* into the United States from a foreign manufacturer or through its selling agent.

**Participation in the reviews and public service list.**—Persons, including industrial users of the *Subject Merchandise* and, if the merchandise is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations, wishing to participate in the reviews as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in section 201.11(b)(4) of the Commission's rules, no later than 21 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. The Secretary will maintain a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the reviews.

Former Commission employees who are seeking to appear in Commission five-year reviews are reminded that they are required, pursuant to 19 CFR 201.15,