

Systems Agency (DISA), the DoD Media Activities (DMA), and the Adjudication and Office of Hearing and Appeals Offices, which are currently located in a mix of leased facilities and at government installations.

Additionally, Fort Meade plans to issue a real estate lease that allows the development of certain parcels through the Army's EUL program to help support mission-oriented uses on post, to derive value from underutilized property, to build future land value, and to enhance the installation's ability to implement its comprehensive master plan. Fort Meade proposes to lease to a private developer about 173 acres in two parcels for a term of 50 years and receive in-kind development of a 367-acre parcel. Because the development of these three parcels would have potential impacts in the same region as the BRAC realignment actions, it is considered in this FEIS. The two parcels that will be leased are located on installation property outside the fence line. The third parcel proposed for in-kind development is located within the fence line along the perimeter of the installation.

The FEIS addresses alternatives that include: (1) a No Action Alternative, (2) BRAC-Directed Realignment and EUL Actions, and (3) BRAC-Directed Actions. The Preferred Alternative is Alternative 2 which includes construction and operation of proposed facilities to accommodate incoming military missions at Fort Meade as mandated by the 2005 BRAC Commission recommendations and Army's EUL actions. Alternative sites on Fort Meade for placement of proposed BRAC facilities have also been evaluated. Alternative siting variations would provide similar impacts and benefits as compared to the Preferred Alternative. The No Action Alternative provides the baseline conditions for comparison to the Preferred Alternative.

As stated in the FEIS, implementation of the BRAC-Directed Realignment and EUL Actions, which is the Preferred Alternative, will result in long-term environmental impacts on transportation, land uses, socioeconomic areas (demographics, schools, and law enforcement), visual and aesthetic resources, biological (vegetation and wildlife), and utilities (wastewater and storm water drainage). Implementation of the Preferred Alternative would have short-term environmental impacts to visual and aesthetic resources, noise, energy sources, and solid waste disposal; and potential short-term indirect effects to surface water during construction activities. Implementation of the

Preferred Alternative would have no significant impacts to air quality, geology and soils; water resources (groundwater, floodplains, and coastal zones); biological (rare, threatened, and endangered species and aquatic species); socioeconomic areas (economic development and housing); utilities (potable water, energy sources operations, solid waste operations); and hazardous and toxic substances. There would be no adverse impacts to surrounding land uses, cultural resources, socioeconomic areas (environmental justice, recreation, or protection of children), utilities (communications), and hazardous waste disposal from implementation of the BRAC and EUL Actions Alternative.

An electronic version of the FEIS can be viewed or downloaded from the following URL: [http://www.hqda.army.mil/acsim/brac/nepa\\_eis\\_docs.htm](http://www.hqda.army.mil/acsim/brac/nepa_eis_docs.htm).

Dated: September 11, 2007.

**Addison D. Davis, IV,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army,  
(Environment, Safety and Occupational Health).*

[FR Doc. 07-4665 Filed 9-20-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-08-M**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

#### Department of Defense Historical Advisory Committee; Meeting

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with Section 10(a) (2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the following committee meeting.

*Name of Committee:* Department of the Army Historical Advisory Committee.

*Date:* October 25, 2007.

*Time:* 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

*Place:* U.S. Army Center of Military History Collins Hall, Building 35, 103 Third Avenue, Fort McNair, Washington, DC 20319-5058.

*Proposed Agenda:* Review and discussion of the status of historical activities in the United States Army.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Richard Stewart, U.S. Army Center of Military History, ATTN: DAMH-ZC, 103 Third Avenue, Fort McNair, Washington, DC 20319-5058; telephone number (202) 685-2709.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The committee will review the Army's historical activities for FY 1007 and

those projected for FY 2008 based upon reports and manuscript received throughout the period. And the committee will formulate recommendations through the Chief of Military History to the Chief of Staff, Army and the Secretary of the Army for advancing the use of history in the U.S. Army.

The meeting of the Advisory Committee is open to the public. Because of the restricted meeting space, however, attendance may be limited to those persons who have notified the Advisory Committee Management Office in writing at least five days prior to the meeting of their intention to attend the October 25, 2007 meeting. Notifications should be addressed to the Executive Secretary of the committee, Dr. William Stivers, at the U.S. Army Center of Military History, 103 Third Avenue, Fort Lesley J. McNair, Washington, DC 20-319-5058.

Any member of the public may file a written statement with the committee before, during, or after the meeting. Such statements may be sent to the Executive Secretary of the Committee at the Address shown in the paragraph above. To the extent that time permits, the committee chairman may allow public presentations or oral statements at the meeting.

Dated: September 5, 2007.

**Richard W. Stewart,**

*Chief Historian, Center of Military History.*

[FR Doc. 07-4694 Filed 9-20-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-08-M**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

#### Intent To Grant a Partially Exclusive License for a U.S. Army Owned Invention to Savit Corporation

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Army announces that, unless there is an objection, after 15 days it intends to grant a partially exclusive license to Savit Corporation, a corporation having a place of business in Parsippany, New Jersey, on "Combat Ammunition Pack", U.S. patent number 6,675,693. Any license granted shall comply with 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR part 404.

**DATES:** File written objections by October 9, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Timothy S. Ryan, Technology Transfer Program Manager, AMSRD-AAR-EMB, U.S. Army ARDEC, Picatinny Arsenal, NY 07806-5000, e-mail

*timothy.s.ryan@us.army.mil*, (973) 724-7953.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written objections must be filed within 15 days from publication date of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Any license granted shall comply with 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR part 404.

**Brenda S. Bowen,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 07-4693 Filed 9-20-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-08-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

#### Intent To Grant a Partially Exclusive License for a U.S. Army Owned Invention to Machining Technologies, Inc.

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Army announces that, unless there is an objection, after 15 days it intends to grant a partially exclusive license to Machining Technologies, Inc., a corporation having a place of business in Hebron, Maryland, on "Semi Permanent backup Iron Sight", U.S. patent number 6,779,290. Any license granted shall comply with 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR part 404.

**DATES:** File written objections by October 9, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Timothy S. Ryan, Technology Transfer Program Manager, AMSRD-AAR-EMB, U.S. Army ARDEC, Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000, e-mail: *timothy.s.ryan@us.army.mil*, (973) 724-7953.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written objections must be filed within 15 days from publication date of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Any license granted shall comply with 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR part 404.

**Brenda S. Bowen,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 07-4695 Filed 9-20-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-08-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

#### Revised Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement/Overseas Environmental Impact Statement for the Undersea Warfare Training Range and Notice of Request for Public Scoping Comments

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD.

**ACTION:** Revised Notice of Intent.

**SUMMARY:** This notice is issued to advise the public that the Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Overseas Environmental Impact Statement (EIS/OEIS) for the Undersea Warfare Training Range (USWTR) will be revised, and to invite the public to provide comments for consideration during preparation of the revised EIS/OEIS.

In compliance with Section (102)(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508), and Executive Order 12114, the Department of Navy (Navy) announced the preparation of an EIS for the then "East Coast Shallow Water Training Range EIS/OEIS" on May 13, 1996 (FR 22028) and invited the public to comment on the scope of the EIS/OEIS. Subsequently, a Draft EIS/OEIS was released on October 28, 2005 (FR 62107) to evaluate the potential environmental consequences associated with constructing and operating an Undersea Warfare Training Range (USWTR). In response to comments received from federal agencies, state agencies, and members of the public, Navy determined that the DEIS should be revised and a new DEIS issued, incorporating suggestions received during the public review and comment period. The changes contemplated involve the addition of an alternative and a modification of the methodology used to analyze impacts on marine mammals. Given the nature of these changes, the Navy has decided to reopen the scoping process and invites the public to submit any comments relevant to the scope of issues to be addressed in the revised EIS/OEIS.

Scoping comments previously submitted following publication of the 1996 NOI are still valid and will be considered.

All comments received during the Oct 2005 DEIS public review and comment period will be considered during the course of this scoping process and need not be resubmitted.

The proposed action is to instrument an approximate 500-square-nautical-

mile area of the ocean with undersea cables and sensor nodes, creating a USWTR, and to use the USWTR for anti-submarine warfare (ASW) training. The purpose of the proposed action is to enable the Navy to train effectively in an ocean environment encompassing required water depths (e.g., 120 to 900 feet depth) at a suitable location for Navy Atlantic Fleet units. Training typically would involve an individual unit or up to three vessels and two aircraft using the range at any one time.

The Navy anticipates analyzing four alternative sites. The candidate sites are located in the Atlantic Ocean approximately 30 to 50 nautical miles offshore, within existing Navy Operating Areas (OPAREAs). The three candidate sites previously identified as alternatives would continue to be considered: Offshore of northeastern Virginia, offshore of southeastern North Carolina, and offshore of northeastern Florida. A site offshore of central South Carolina will be added as a fourth alternative.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** USWTR EIS/OEIS Project Manager, Facilities Engineering Command Atlantic, 6506 Hampton Boulevard, Norfolk, Virginia 23508-1278; telephone: 757-322-4398; facsimile: 757-322-4894. Additional background information on the USWTR, including the prior DEIS and the public comments received, will remain available during this re-opened scoping process via the Web site <http://projects.earthtech.com/USWTR/>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Navy requires an undersea warfare training range off the east coast of the U.S. to support training in safe and controlled locations while providing an ocean environment that is consistent with real-world threat conditions. Range instrumentation is required to provide real-time feedback to Navy participants. The offshore instrumented area would be connected to shore via a trunk cable, which allows data gathered during exercises to be transferred for participant feedback.

The Navy's mission is to maintain, train, and equip combat-ready naval forces capable of winning wars, deterring aggression and maintaining freedom of the seas. For that reason, 10 U.S.C. 5062 directs the Chief of Naval Operations to train all naval forces for combat. Therefore, naval forces must have access to training areas where they can develop and maintain skills for wartime missions. ASW is a Navy core capability and is critical to the Navy's mission. The Navy must be trained and capable with active sonars in littoral