would be constructed off-site and convenient to the park and St. Augustine's Spanish Quarter. The authority for publishing this notice is 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C).

DATES: The ROD was signed by the Regional Director, NPS, Southeast Region, on June 11, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the ROD are available from the Superintendent, Castillo de San Marcos National Monument, 1 South Castillo Drive, St. Augustine, Florida 32084; telephone: 904–829–6506.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Superintendent, Castillo de San Marcos National Monument, at the address and telephone number shown above or David Libman at 404–562–3124, extension 685.

The responsible official for the ROD is Patricia A. Hooks, Regional Director, Southeast Region, National Park Service, 100 Alabama Street, SW., 1924 Building, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

Dated: August 10, 2007.

Patricia A. Hooks,

Regional Director, Southeast Region. [FR Doc. 07–4412 Filed 9–7–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-75-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before August 25, 2007. Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR Part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded by United States Postal Service, to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C St., NW., 2280, Washington, DC 20240; by all other carriers, National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1201 Eye St., NW., 8th floor, Washington, DC 20005; or by fax, 202-371-6447. Written or faxed comments should be submitted by September 25, 2007.

J. Paul Loether,

. Chief, National Register of Historic Places/ National Historic Landmarks Program.

Alabama

Jefferson County

Center Street Historic District, (Civil Rights Movement in Birmingham, Alabama 1933– 1979 MPS) 940–1145 Center St.; 2–9th Ct. W.; 4–10th Ave. N.; 2–4 10th Ct. N.; 16–24 11th Ave. N.; 1–2 11th Ct. N., Birmingham, 07001027

Arkansas

Washington County

West Dickdon Street Commercial Historic District, Dickson St. between Arkansas Ave. & St. Charles Ave. & West Ave. between Dickson St. & Lafayette St., Fayetteville, 07001028

Florida

Duval County

Hutchinson—Suddath Building, (Downtown Jacksonville MPS) 315–319 E. Bay St., Jacksonville, 07001029

Indiana

Posey County

Harmony Way Bridge, IN 66/IL 14, New Harmony, 07001030

Iowa

Clinton County

City Hotel, 214 S. Main St., Wheatland, 07001031

Maryland

Baltimore Independent City

Hendler Creamery, 1100 E. Baltimore St. & 1107 E. Fayette St., Baltimore, 07001032 Ruscombe, 4901 Springarden Dr., Baltimore, 07001033

Upper Fells Point Historic District, Roughly bounded by E. Baltimore St.; S. Chapel St.; E. Pratt St.; S. Patterson Park Ave.; S. Chester, Gough & S. Bethel Sts., Baltimore, 07001034

New York

Dutchess County

Parker Training Academy Dutch Barn, 527 Turkey Hill Rd., Red Hook, 07001035

Rensselaer County

Irwin, W.P., Bank Building, 156 Broadway, Rensselaer, 07001036

St. Lawrence County

Crossover Island Light Station, (U.S. Coast Guard Lighthouses and Light Stations on the Great Lakes TR) Crossover Island, St. Lawrence, 07001037

Suffolk County

Crest, The, 563 Asharoken Ave., Asharoken, 07001040

Sullivan County

Beaverkill Covered Bridge, CR 30 over Beaverkill Cr., Beaverkill, 07001038

Ulster County

Cordts Mansion, 82–152 Lindsley Ave., Kingston, 07001039

Westchester County

Bronxville Womens' Club, 135 Midland Ave., Bronxville, 07001041

Wyoming County

Brick Presbyterian Church, 6 Church St., Perry, 07001042

South Carolina

Charleston County

Seashore Farmers' Lodge No. 767, NE. corner Jct. of Sol Legare & Old Sol Legare Rds., James Island, 07001043

Marlboro County

Appin (Boundary Increase), US 15/401 W, approx. 1 mi. SW. of Bennettsville, Bennettsville, 07001044

Newberry County

Hope Rosenwald School, 1971 Hope Station Rd., Pomaria, 07001045

Tennessee

Meigs County

Blythe Ferry (Boundary Increase), (Meigs County, Tennessee MRA) TN 60 at Tennessee R., Birchwood, 07001046

Wisconsin

Eau Claire County

Confluence Commercial Historic District, 2– 28 S. Barstow; 206–316 Eau Claire & 8 S. Farwell Sts., Eau Claire, 07001047

Kewaunee County

Daniel Lyons (Shipwreck), (Great Lakes Shipwreck Sites of Wisconsin MPS) E. of Stoney Cr. outlet, 4 mi. offshore, Lake Michigan, 07001048

[FR Doc. E7–17723 Filed 9–7–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4312–51–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Notice of Availability of a Plan of Operations and Environmental Assessment (EA), Big South Fork National River and Recreation Area, TN

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.
ACTION: Notice of Availability of a Plan
of Operations and Environmental
Assessment (EA), Big South Fork
National River and Recreation Area,
Tennessee.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given in accordance with Section 9.52(b) of Title 36 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 9, Subpart B, of a Plan of Operations submitted by St. Joseph Petroleum, Inc., for continued production of six gas wells inside the boundary of Big South Fork National River and Recreation Area, Fentress County, Tennessee. Additionally, the NPS has prepared an EA for this proposal.

DATES: The above documents are available for pubic review and comment thirty days from the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: The Plan of Operations and EA are available for public review and

comment in the Office of the Superintendent, Reed E. Detring, Big South Fork National River and Recreation Area; 4564 Leatherwood Road; Oneida, TN 37841. The documents are also available at the Planning, Environment and Public Comment (PEPC) Web site at: http://parkplanning.nps.gov/BISO.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Phyllis Trabold, Community Planner, Big South Fork National River and Recreation Area; 4564 Leatherwood Road; Oneida, TN 37841, telephone: 423–569–2404 extension 231.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: If you wish to comment on the EA, you may mail comments to the name and address above or post comments online at http://parkplanning.nps.gov/BISO. This EA will be on public review for 30 days. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: August 28, 2007.

Art Frederick,

Acting Regional Director, Southeast Region. [FR Doc. E7–17768 Filed 9–7–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–JD–P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Committee on Court Administration and Case Management; Notice of Request for Public Comment

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States, Committee on Court Administration and Case Management. **ACTION:** Notice of request for public comment.

SUMMARY: The Court Administration and Case Management Committee of the Judicial Conference of the United States is seeking comment on the attached document relating to the privacy and security implications of public Internet access to plea agreements filed in federal court cases.

DATES: Comments will be accepted from September 10, 2007 through October 26, 2007.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be received by 5 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time, October 26, 2007. The electronic submission of comments is highly

encouraged. Electronic comments may be submitted via e-mail to privacycomments@ao.uscourts.gov. Comments may also be submitted by regular mail to The Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Court Administration Policy Staff, Attn: Privacy Comments, Suite 4–560, One Columbus Circle, NE., Washington, DC 20544.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Abel J. Mattos, Chief, Court Administration Policy Staff, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, One Columbus Circle, NE., Washington, DC 20544, telephone (202) 502–1560, fax (202) 502–1022.

Dated: September 5, 2007.

Abel J. Mattos,

Chief, Court Administration Policy Staff.

Request for Comment on Privacy and Security Implications of Public Access to Certain Electronic Criminal Case File Documents

The federal Judiciary is seeking comment on the privacy and security implications related to electronic public access to certain documents in criminal case files. The Court Administration and Case Management Committee of the Judicial Conference of the United States is studying these issues in order to develop policy guidance to the federal courts.

Specifically, the Committee is interested in comments on a proposal to restrict public Internet access to plea agreements in criminal cases, which may contain information identifying defendants who are cooperating with law enforcement investigations.

- This request for public comment addresses two related issues:
- The privacy and security implications of public Internet access to plea agreements filed in federl court cases; and
- Potential policy alternatives. Information on how to submit comments is contained at the end of this document.

Electronic Public Access to Federal Court Case Files

Historically, most documents filed in federal courts are considered to be public information. Over the last decade, the federal courts have established an electronic case files system that allows public access to court case files through the Internet. This transition from paper case files to electronic files has transformed the way that case file documents are used by attorneys, litigants, courts, and the public. In the past, attorneys and parties were required to file case documents at

the courthouse. Likewise, the public was required to go to the courthouse where a paper case file was maintained to review the file or obtain a copy of a document from that court file. Now, electronic case files allow anyone with an account with the Judiciary's Public access to Court Electronic Records (PACER) system to view, print, or download these documents via the Internet.

PACER is a Web-based system that provides access to both the dockets (a list of all the documents in the case) and actual case file documents. Individuals who seek a particular document or case file can open a PACER account and obtain a login and password. Those who register a valid credit card number will receive their account login and password in minutes. Immediately after establishing an account, and individual can access case files over the Internet. As established by Congress, public access through PACER involves a fee. Currently, the fee is \$.08 per page of a case file document or report that is viewed, downloaded, or printed. There is a fee cap of \$2.40 for all documents (excluding transcripts of court proceedings) that exceed 30 pages in length. Additionally, no fee is owed by a PACER account holder until charges of \$10 are accrued in a calaendar year. Electronic case files are also available for review, free of charge, at public computer terminals at courthouses. Printouts from the public access terminals cost \$.10 per page.

Privacy and Security Implications of Electronic Criminal Case Files

Electronic case files bring significant benefits to the courts, litigants, attorneys, and the public. There are also, however, personal privacy and security implications of unlimited Internet access to court case files, especially criminal case files. Many federal court case files contain personal and sensitive information that litigants and third parties may be compelled by law to disclose for adjudicatory purposes.

The Judiciary has a long tradition—rooted in both constitutional and common law principles—of open access to public court records. Accordingly, all case file documents, unless sealed or otherwise subject to restricted access by statute or federal rule, have traditionally been available for public inspection and copying. The Supreme Court has recognized, however, that access rights are not absolute, and that technology may affect the balance between access rights and privacy and security interests. See Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc., 435 U.S. 589