Mr. Charles D. McElrath. Ms. Patricia Schooley.

Mr. Jack Reeder.

Ms. Merrily Pierce.

Topics that will be presented during the meeting include:

1. Update on park operations.

2. Update on major construction/ development projects.

3. Update on partnership projects.

4. Subcommittee Reports.

The meeting will be open to the public. Any member of the public may file with the Commission a written statement concerning the matters to be discussed. Persons wishing further information concerning this meeting, or who wish to submit written statements, may contact Kevin Brandt, Superintendent, Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park. Minutes of the meeting will be available for public inspection six weeks after the meeting at Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park Headquarters, 1850 Dual Highway, Suite 100, Hagerstown, MD 21740.

Dated: June 26, 2007.

Kevin D. Brandt,

Superintendent, Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park.

[FR Doc. 07–4079 Filed 8–20–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–6V–M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-596]

In the Matter of Certain GPS Chips, Associated Software and Systems, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review ALJ Order No. 19 Granting Complainant's Motion for Leave to Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 19) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting complainant's motion for leave to amend the complaint and notice of investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202– 205–3152. Copies of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in

connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearingimpaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205–1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (*http://www.usitc.gov*). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 13, 2007, the Commission instituted an investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on a complaint filed by SiRF Technology, Inc. of San Jose, California ("SiRF"), alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain GPS chips, associated software and systems, and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,304,216; 7,043,363; 7,091,904 ("the '904 patent"); and 7,132,980. 72 FR 11378 (Mar. 13, 2007). The complainant named Global Locate, Inc. of San Jose, California ("Global Locate") as respondent.

On July 11, 2007, complainant SiRF moved to add dependent claim 2 of the '904 patent to the list of claims of the '904 patent in paragraph 6.8 of the complaint, and to amend the notice of investigation dated March 8, 2007, to include claim 2 in the list of claims of the '904 patent. The Commission investigative attorney stated on July 17, 2007, that he did not oppose complainant's motion. On July 19, 2007, respondent Global Locate opposed complainant's motion.

On July 24, 2007, the ALJ issued Order No. 19 granting complainant's motion. No party petitioned for review of Order No. 19. The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in § 210.42(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42(h)).

By order of the Commission. Issued: August 15, 2007.

William R. Bishop,

Acting Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. E7–16362 Filed 8–20–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

Notice is hereby given that on August 10, 2007, a proposed Consent Decree was filed in United States, the State of Indiana, and the City of Hammond, Indiana v. Jupiter Aluminum Corporation, Civil Action No. 2:07 CV 262 PS, with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana, Hammond Division.

The United States filed a civil action on August 9, 2007, seeking injunctive relief and a civil penalty against Jupiter Aluminum Corporation ("Jupiter") for alleged violations of the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants applicable to secondary aluminum production facilities, under the Clean Air Act. The Jupiter aluminum recycling facility that is the subject of the lawsuit is located in Hammond, Indiana. The State of Indiana and the City of Hammond intervened as co-plaintiffs in the federal lawsuit, and filed complaints in intervention. All claims alleged in the United States', the State's and the City's complaints would be resolved by a settlement reflected in the Consent Decree, if approved by the court.

Under the proposed Consent Decree, Jupiter will perform tests to determine whether recent modifications to the pollution control equipment on its melting furnaces adequately captures fugitive emissions and whether the equipment adequately removes dioxin/ furans, hydrochloric acid and particulate matter. If the tests demonstrate that Jupiter is not adequately capturing fugitive emissions or not adequately removing pollutants, Jupiter will be required to make further modifications, or alter its production processes to ensure compliance. The decree also requires Jupiter to properly weigh scrap aluminum before melting it in the furnaces, and to keep records of the type and amount of scrap used, to fund an independent monitoring consultant to assist the regulatory agencies in monitoring compliance, to allow Hammond to video record its furnace operations for compliance purposes, to resubmit an Operation, Maintenance and Monitoring Plan, and to improve maintenance and recordkeeping practices. Under the Consent Decree, Jupiter also will pay a civil penalty of \$2.0 million, to be divided between the United States and Hammond.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments