collection of information to (1) evaluate whether the proposed collection is necessary for the performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and minimize the burden of collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated

collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before October 19, 2007 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments or requests for additional information to Terry M. Faith, Export-Import Bank of the U.S., 811 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20571, (202) 563–3607, Terry.M.Faith@exim.gov.

Titles and Form Numbers:

Export-Import Bank of the United States Report of Overdue Accounts Under Short-Term Policies, EIB 92–27. Export-Import Bank of the United States Report of Overdue Accounts Under Medium-Term Credit Insurance Policies, EIB 92–28.

OMB Number: None.

Type of Review: Regular.

Need and Use: The information requested enables insured parties and insurance brokers to report overdue payments from the borrower.

Affected Public: Insured parties and brokers.

	EIB 92–27	EIB 92-28
Estimated Annual Responses Estimated Time Per Response Estimated Annual Burden	396 15 minutes 99 hours	820. 15 minutes. 205 hours.

Frequency of Response: One form per reporting.

Dated: August 13, 2007.

Solomon Bush,

Agency Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 07–4037 Filed 8–17–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6690-01-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2827]

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

August 14, 2007.

Petitions for Reconsideration have been filed in the Commission's Rulemaking proceeding listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR 1.429(e). The full text of these documents is available for viewing and copying in Room CY-B402, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc. (BCPI) (1-800-378-3160). Oppositions to these petitions must be filed by September 4, 2007. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to oppositions must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions have expired.

Subject: In the Matter of Recommendations of the Independent Panel Reviewing the Impact of the Hurricane Katrina on Communications Networks (EB Docket No. 06–119) (WC Docket No. 06–63). Number of Petitions Filed: 7.

William F. Caton,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–16301 Filed 8–17–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 07-01]

APM Terminals North America, Inc. v. The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey; The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey v. Maher Terminals LLC; Notice of Filing of Third-Party Complaint

Notice is given that a Third-Party Complaint has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission by the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey ("PANÝNJ" or "Third-Party Complainant") against Maher Terminals LLC ("Maher" or "Third-Party Respondent"), a Marine Terminal Operator, in this proceeding noticed on January 17, 2007 (46 FR 1997). The Third-Party Complainant here, PANYNJ, is respondent in this proceeding in which APM Terminals North American, Inc. ("APM" or "Complainant") asserts that PANYNI has violated the Shipping Act of 1984 by failing to turnover a certain land parcel to APM. PANYNJ asserts that Maher is liable to it if PANYNI is liable to APM.

Specifically, PANYNJ asserts that Maher violated section 10(a)(3) of the Shipping Act (46 U.S.C. 41102(b)(2)) by failing to vacate certain lease premises as required by Lease EP–249 between PANYNJ and Maher. PANYNJ alleges that it was unable to meet its obligations to turn over marine terminal land designated in a lease it had with APM

as a direct result of Third-Party Respondent's refusal to turn this land over as specified under the terms of its separate Lease EP-249. The Third-Party Complainant further alleges that section 249 of Lease EP-249 between it and the Third-Party Respondent, specifically required Third-Party Respondent to turn over this land so that it could be delivered to AMP. The Third-Party Complainant further contends that Lease EP-249 required Maher: (1) To indemnify and hold harmless the Third-Party Complainant for any damages resulting from the Third-Party Respondent's failure to turn over the Added Premises in a timely manner and (2) to defend PANYNI at Maher's sole expense for any claim arising out of its terminal operation. PANYNI also contends that "any fair reading of eases EP-248 and EP-249 which were negotiated and entered into almost simultaneously reveals that the parties well knew that the Added Premises, then occupied by Maher, might not be handed over to AMP by December 31, 2007 * * * and that the eases contained provisions as to available remedies should that transfer not occur on time."

The PANYNJ prays that Maher be required to answer the changes in this Third-Party Complaint and that after appropriate process and procedure, Maher be order to pay reparation to PANYNJ in the amount of any damages and costs due to AMP as the result of the failure of PANYNJ to timely deliver the Added Premises and pursuant to 46 U.S.C. 41305(c) twice the amount of the reasonable fees, costs, and expenses