for inspection and reproduction at the address in item h above.

You may also register online at http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ esubscription.asp to be notified via email of new filings and issuances related to this or other pending projects. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support.

### Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–15283 Filed 8–6–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Docket # EPA-RO4-SFUND-2007-0650; FRL-8451-2]

## Georgia-Pacific Hardwood Site; Plymouth, Washington County, NC

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of withdrawal of settlement.

SUMMARY: In the Federal Register published on July 13, 2007, 72 FR 38580, EPA posted a Notice of Settlement for past cost under Section 122(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), concerning the Georgia-Pacific Hardwood Site located in Plymouth, Washington County, North Carolina. The settlement is not finalized and was prematurely posted in the Federal Register.

**DATES:** EPA is withdrawing the Notice of Settlement and closing the comment period at this time. The Agency will resubmit a finalized Settlement for comments in the future.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paula V. Batchelor at 404–562–8887 or by e-mail *Batchelor.Paula@EPA.Gov.*

Dated: July 23, 2007.

#### Rosalind H. Brown,

Chief, Superfund Enforcement & Information Management Branch Superfund Division. [FR Doc. E7–15330 Filed 8–6–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8451-1]

Clean Water Act Section 303(d): Availability of List Decisions

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the availability of EPA's Responsiveness Summary Concerning EPA's October 31, 2006 Public Notice of Final Decisions To Add Waters and Pollutants to Arkansas' 2004 Section 303(d) List.

On October 31, 2006, EPA published a notice in the **Federal Register** at Volume 71, Number 210, pages 63759–63760 providing the public the opportunity to review its final decisions to add waters and pollutants to Arkansas' 2004 Section 303(d) List as required by EPA's Public Participation regulations (40 CFR part 25). Based on the Responsiveness Summary, no further action is warranted regarding EPA's Final Action on Arkansas' 2004 Section 303(d) List.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of EPA's Responsiveness Summary Concerning EPA's October 31, 2006 Public Notice of Final Decisions to Add Waters and Pollutants to Arkansas; 2004 Section 303(d) Lists can be obtained at EPA Region 6's Web site at http:// www.epa.gov/earth1r6/6wq/tmdl.htm, or by writing or calling Ms. Diane Smith at Water Quality Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 6, 1445 Ross Ave., Dallas, TX 75202-2733, telephone (214) 665-2145, facsimile (214) 665-6490, or e-mail: smith.diane@epa.gov. Underlying documents from the administrative record for these decisions are available for public inspection at the above address. Please contact Ms. Smith to schedule an inspection.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Diane Smith at (214) 665–2145.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) requires that each state identify those waters for which existing technology-based pollution controls are not stringent enough to attain or maintain state water quality standards. For those waters, states are required to establish Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) according to a priority ranking.

EPA's Water Quality Planning and Management regulations include requirements related to the implementation of Section 303(d) of the CWA (40 CFR 130.7). The regulations require states to identify water quality limited waters still requiring TMDLs every two years. The list of waters still needing TMDLs must also include priority rankings and must identify the waters targeted for TMDL development during the next two years (40 CFR 130.7). On March 31, 2000, EPA promulgated a revision to this regulation that waived the requirement for states to submit Section 303(d) Lists in 2000 except in cases where a court

order, consent decree, or settlement agreement required EPA to take action on a list in 2000 (65 FR 17170).

Consistent with EPA's regulations, Arkansas submitted to EPA its listing decisions under Section 303(d) on May 20, 2004 with subsequent revisions submitted on August 17, 2004, November 12, 2004, July 20, 2005, and October 11, 2005. On October 16, 2006, EPA approved Arkansas' listing of 271 water body-pollutant combinations and associated priority rankings and deferred action on 129 water bodypollutant combinations. EPA disapproved Arkansas' decision not to list 5 water body-pollutant combinations and associated priority rankings. EPA identified these additional water body-pollutant combinations along with priority rankings for inclusion on the 2004 Section 303(d) List.

Dated: July 30, 2007.

#### William K. Honker,

Acting Director, Water Quality Protection Division, Region 6.

[FR Doc. E7–15329 Filed 8–6–07; 8:45 am]

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OW-2007-0697; FRL-8450-2]

Office of Water; Notice of Availability and Comment Period for Draft Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC) Wetland Mapping Standard

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of data availability and request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** Notice of Availability for a 90 Day Review and Comment Period is Hereby Given for the Draft Federal Geographic Data Committee's Wetland Mapping Standard.

This document provides Federal, State, Tribal and local wetland managers and others with information on what data to collect when mapping wetlands that will be uploaded to the National Wetland Inventory (NWI) and incorporated as part of the wetlands layer of the National Spatial Data Infrastructure (NSDI) in the USGS National Map. The intent of this standard is to support a consistent/ seamless transition from traditional paper-based map products to technology-based mapping products and serve as the national standard for mapping wetland inventories for building the wetlands layer of the NSDI.

Although, this standard will not change the National Wetlands Inventory

Data produced prior to its implementation, this standard specifies a core set of data quality components necessary to add to the National Wetlands Inventory in a way that is consistent and supports multiple uses of the data, while meeting the requirements of the National Spatial Data Infrastructure. This standard is based largely on the existing draft standard used by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in support of the NWI. The intent of the standard is to support current and future digital wetland mapping activities. The standard will provide specification of minimum data quality components for wetland mapping activities for inclusion into the NSDI that are funded or conducted by the Federal government. The National Wetlands Inventory digital wetland data serve as the foundation for the wetlands data layer of the NSDI. The standard balances the burden on the end-user community with the need for consistency and documented quality of digital mapping products. Additionally, this standard is created to coordinate wetland mapping with the National Hydrography Dataset, a national geospatial framework recognized by the Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC). Although this standard is structured to be extensible over time, the standard is deliberately developed with a forward-looking perspective to accommodate technology and map scale enhancements, assure its long-term usability, and minimize the need for revisions and updates over time.

This standard is intended for use by all Federal or federally-funded wetlands mapping projects including those activities conducted by Federal agencies, states, and federally recognized tribal entities, nongovernmental organizations, universities, and others. Specifically, if Federal funding is used in support of wetlands inventory mapping activities, then use of this standard is mandatory. The adoption of the standard for all other wetlands inventory mapping efforts (non-federally funded) is strongly encouraged to maintain and expand the wetlands layer of the NSDI.

The FGDĆ Wetlands Subcommittee is soliciting information, data, and views on issues of science pertaining to the information contained in this draft FGDC data standard.

**DATES:** Scientific views, data, and information should be submitted by November 9, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, data, or views, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2007-0697, by one of the following methods:

- http://www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting information.
  - E-mail: oei-docket@epa.gov.
- *Mail*: Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460. Please include a total of four copies.
- Hand Delivery: EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460. Please include a total of four copies. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your scientific information, data, or views, to Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2007-0697. EPA's policy is that all information received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at http:// www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless it includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through http:// www.regulations.gov or owdocket@epa.gov. The http:// www.regulations.gov Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your information. If you send an e-mail directly to EPA without going through http://www.regulations.gov your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the information that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit information electronically, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your information and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your information due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your information. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at http:// www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., information claimed to be CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in http:// www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Water Docket, EPA/DC, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the Water Docket is (202) 566-2426.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Margarete Ann Heber, Wetland Division, Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds, U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; phone (202) 566–1189; fax (202) 566–1349; e-mail Heber.Maragaret@epa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. General Information

A. Does This Action Apply to Me?

Entities potentially interested in today's notice are those that map wetlands and share that data with others.

Category	Examples of potentially affected entities
State/Local/Tribal Government.	Federal Government, States, municipali-
Industry	ties, tribes. Builders, any entity sitting on a wet-
Agriculture	land. Farmers.

This table is not intended to be exhaustive. Other types of entities not listed in the table may also be interested.

- B. What Should I Consider as I Prepare my Scientific Information, Data or Views for EPA?
- 1. Submitting CBI. Do not submit CBI information to EPA through http:// www.regulations.gov or e-mail. Clearly identify the specific information that vou claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI). In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked

will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

- 2. Tips for Preparing Your Information, Data, or Views. When submitting scientific information, data or views, please remember to:
- Identify the docket number and other identifying information (subject heading, Federal Register date and page number).
- Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.
- Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/ or data that you used.
- If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.
- Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns, and suggest alternatives.
- Explain your views as clearly as possible.
- Make sure to submit your information comments by the deadline identified.
- C. How Can I Get Copies of the Draft Document and Related Information?

On the FGDC Website at: http:// www.fws.gov/nwi/Final%20Draft% 20Wetlands%20Mapping%20Standard %2003\_26\_07.pdf.

### II. Today's Notice

#### A. Why Do We Need To Map Wetlands?

Currently, the wetland maps coverage of the United States is not complete. NWI is incomplete for about half the country. A mapping standard will allow any entity mapping wetlands to upload data to NWI using the same format. Wetlands are a critical ecological resource and in order to adequately manage them it is important to know what type of wetlands exist and where they are located. On a ten year cycle, the Fish and Wildlife Service produces reports to Congress on the status and trends of wetlands in the conterminous United States. These reports contain estimates of wetland losses and gains. A complete digital wetlands database will allow accurate calculation of wetland loss and gain to be made for specific areas. These digitial maps are also critical for looking at wetland loss and possible migration of wetlands in global climate change estimations.

# B. How Was the Draft Standard Developed?

The Wetland Subcommittee of the FGDC convened a workgroup to develop this draft standard. Stakeholder

representation from the Federal, State, non-profit, and private sectors was included in the development of this standard to ensure that the end-user information requirements are reflected in the final product. Development of the content of this standard began in June 2006 with a 3-day meeting of the workgroup comprised of members representing multiple Federal agencies and stakeholder groups. It was emphasized again that the standard would benefit from a wide vetting process targeting diverse members of the end-user community. Workgroup members and vetting participants, as well as workgroup activities are listed in Appendix H of the draft Standard.

# C. What Is Included in the Draft Standard

- 1 Introduction
  - 1.1 Background.
  - 1.2 Objective.
  - 1.3 Scope.
- 1.4 Applicability.
- 1.5 FGDC Standards and Other Related Practices.
- 1.6 Standard Development Procedures and Representation.
- 1.7 Maintenance Authority.
   FGDC Requirements and Quality
- Components
- 2.1 Source Imagery.
- 2.2 Classification.
- 2.3 Accuracy.
- 2.3.1 TMU and Producers Accuracy.
- 2.3.2 Horizontal Accuracy.
- 2.4 Data Verification.
- 2.4.1 Logical Consistency.
- 2.4.2 Edge Matching.
- 2.4.3 Attribute Validity.
- 2.5 Datum and Projection.
- 2.6 Metadata.

### References

Appendix A: Workgroup

Recommendations to the FGDC.

Appendix B: Attributes for Wetland Classification.

Appendix C: Implementation Recommendations in Support of

Section 2.0. Appendix D: Known Issues with Existing Wetlands Mapping Data.

Appendix E: Polygon Lineage and Unique Identifiers.

Appendix F: Questions and Answers. Appendix G: Definitions (Informative). Appendix H: Workgroup Members,

Vetting Participants, and Workgroup.

### **Activities**

Table 1. Spatial Resolution

Requirements of Source Imagery. Table 2. Classification Levels Required Based on Habitat.

Table 3. TMU and Producer Accuracy Requirements.

- Table 4. Horizontal Accuracy (RMSE) Requirements.
- 1 Introduction
  - 1.1 Background.
  - 1.2 Objective.
  - 1.3 Scope.
  - 1.4 Applicability.
  - 1.5 FGDC Standards and Other Related Practices.
  - 1.6 Standard Development Procedures and Representation.
  - 1.7 Maintenance Authority.
- 2 FGDC Requirements and Quality Components
- 2.1 Source Imagery.
- 2.2 Classification.
- 2.3 Accuracy.
- 2.3.1 TMU and Producers Accuracy.
- 2.3.2 Horizontal Accuracy.
- 2.4 Data Verification.
- 2.4.1 Logical Consistency.
- 2.4.2 Edge Matching.
- 2.4.3 Attribute Validity.
- 2.5 Datum and Projection.
- 2.6 Metadata.

#### References

Appendix A: Workgroup

Recommendations to the FGDC.

Appendix B: Attributes for Wetland Classification.

Appendix C: Implementation

Recommendations in Support of Section 2.0.

Appendix D: Known Issues with Existing Wetlands Mapping Data.

Appendix E: Polygon Lineage and

Unique Identifiers. Appendix F: Questions and Answers.

Appendix G: Definitions (Informative). Appendix H: Workgroup Members,

Vetting Participants, and Workgroup.

Activities

Table 1. Spatial Resolution

Requirements of Source Imagery. Table 2. Classification Levels Required Based on Habitat Type.

- 1 Introduction
  - 1.1 Background.
  - 1.2 Objective.
  - 1.3 Scope.
  - 1.4 Applicability.
  - 1.5 FGDC Standards and Other Related Practices.
  - 1.6 Standard Development Procedures and Representation.
  - 1.7 Maintenance Authority.
- 2 FGDC Requirements and Quality Components
  - 2.1 Source Imagery.
  - 2.2 Classification.
  - 2.3 Accuracy.
  - 2.3.1 TMU and Producers Accuracy.
- 2.3.2 Horizontal Accuracy.
- 2.4 Data Verification.
- 2.4.1 Logical Consistency.
- 2.4.2 Edge Matching.
- 2.4.3 Attribute Validity.
- 2.5 Datum and Projection.

2.6 Metadata.

References

Appendix A: Workgroup

Recommendations to the FGDC. Appendix B: Attributes for Wetland

Classification.

Appendix C: Implementation Recommendations in Support of

Section 2.0.

Appendix D: Known Issues with Existing Wetlands Mapping Data. Appendix E: Polygon Lineage and

Unique Identifiers.

Appendix F: Questions and Answers. Appendix G: Definitions (Informative).

Appendix H: Workgroup Members, Vetting Participants, and Workgroup.

Activities

Table 1. Spatial Resolution

Requirements of Source Imagery. Table 2. Classification Levels Required Based on Habitat Type.

Table 3. TMU and Producer Accuracy Requirements.

Table 4. Horizontal Accuracy (RMSE) Requirements.

Dated: August 1, 2007.

#### David Evans,

Director, Wetlands Division.

[FR Doc. E7–15351 Filed 8–6–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### **FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION**

# Sunshine Act; Farm Credit Administration Board; Regular Meeting

**AGENCY:** Farm Credit Administration.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3)), of the regular meeting of the Farm Credit Administration Board (Board).

**DATE AND TIME:** The regular meeting of the Board will be held at the offices of the Farm Credit Administration in McLean, Virginia, on August 9, 2007, from 9 a.m. until such time as the Board concludes its business.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Roland E. Smith, Secretary to the Farm Credit Administration Board, (703) 883–4009, TTY (703) 883–4056.

Addresses: Farm Credit Administration, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, Virginia 22102–5090.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This

meeting of the Board will be open to the public (limited space available). In order to increase the accessibility to Board meetings, persons requiring assistance should make arrangements in advance. The matters to be considered at the meeting are:

### **Open Session**

- A. Approval of Minutes
  - July 12, 2007 (Open and Closed).
- B. New Business
- FCA Bookletter BL-040 Revised— Providing Sound and Constructive Credit to Young, Beginning, and Small Farmers and Ranchers.
- Proposed Rule—12 CFR part 652— Farmer Mac Risk-Based Capital Stress Test Version 3.0.

#### C. Reports

• OMS Quarterly Report.

Dated: August 3, 2007.

#### Roland E. Smith,

Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board. [FR Doc. 07–3863 Filed 8–3–07; 1:27 pm] BILLING CODE 6705–01–P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2822]

# Petition for Reconsideration of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

July 25, 2007.

A Petition for Reconsideration has been filed in the Commission's Rulemaking proceeding listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). The full text of this document is available for viewing and copying in Room CY-B402, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc. (BCPI) (1–800– 378–3160). Oppositions to this petition must be filed by August 22, 2007. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions have expired.

Subject: In the Matter of Maritel, Inc., and Mobex Network Services, LLC (WT Docket No. 04–257)

Number of Petitions Filed: 2.

# Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary

[FR Doc. E7–15320 Filed 8–6–07; 8:45 am]

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2821]

# Petition for Reconsideration of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

July 20, 2007.

A Petition for Reconsideration has been filed in the Commission's Rulemaking proceeding listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR 1.429(e). The full text of this document is available for viewing and copying in Room CY-B402, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc. (BCPI) (1–800–378–3160). Oppositions to this petition must be filed by August 22, 2007. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions have expired.

Subject: In the Matter of Implementation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996: Telecommunications Carriers' Use of Customer Proprietary Network Information and other Customer Information (CC Docket No. 96–115).

IP-Enabled Services (WC Docket No. 04–36).

Number of Petitions Filed: 3.

### Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–15344 Filed 8–6–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

## **FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM**

## Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System **SUMMARY:** Background.

On June 15, 1984, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delegated to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board) its approval authority under the Paperwork Reduction Act, as per 5 CFR 1320.16, to approve of and assign OMB control numbers to collection of information requests and requirements conducted or sponsored by the Board under conditions set forth in 5 CFR 1320 Appendix A.1. Board-approved collections of information are incorporated into the official OMB inventory of currently approved collections of information. Copies of the Paperwork Reduction Act Submission, supporting statements and approved