

preaccreditation ("Candidacy for Accreditation") of institutions of higher education and programs within institutions of higher education throughout the United States that offer liberal arts degree(s) at the baccalaureate level or a documented equivalency.)

3. *Midwifery Education Accreditation Council (Current and requested scope of recognition:* The accreditation and preaccreditation throughout the United States of direct-entry midwifery educational institutions and programs conferring degrees and certificates, including the accreditation of such programs offered via distance education.)

4. *Northwest Commission on Colleges and Universities (Current scope of recognition:* The accreditation and preaccreditation ("Candidacy status") of postsecondary educational institutions in Alaska, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, and Washington and the accreditation of such programs offered via distance education within these institutions.)

(Requested scope of recognition: The accreditation and preaccreditation ("Candidacy status") of postsecondary degree-granting educational institutions in Alaska, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, and Washington and the accreditation of such programs offered via distance education within these institutions.)

5. *Western Association of Schools and Colleges, Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges (Current scope of recognition:* The accreditation and preaccreditation ("Candidate for Accreditation") of community and junior colleges located in California, Hawaii, the United States territories of Guam and American Samoa, the Republic of Palau, the Federated States of Micronesia, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and the Republic of the Marshall Islands, and the accreditation of such programs offered via distance education at these colleges.)

(Requested scope of recognition: The accreditation and preaccreditation ("Candidate for Accreditation") of two-year, Associate degree granting institutions located in California, Hawaii, the United States territories of Guam and American Samoa, the Republic of Palau, the Federated States of Micronesia, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and the Republic of the Marshall Islands, and the accreditation of such programs offered via distance education at these colleges.)

Interim Reports (An interim report is a follow-up report on an accrediting

agency's compliance with specific criteria for recognition.)

1. Accrediting Council for Independent Colleges and Schools.
2. Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education.
3. American College of Nurse-Midwives, Division of Accreditation
4. The Council on Chiropractic Education, Commission on Accreditation.
5. National Accrediting Commission of Cosmetology Arts and Sciences.
6. Southern Association of Colleges and Schools, Commission on Colleges.

Interim Report With a Request for an Expansion of Scope

1. *Joint Review Committee on Education in Radiologic Technology (Current scope of recognition:* The accreditation of educational programs in radiography, including magnetic resonance, radiation therapy, and medical dosimetry, at the certificate, associate, and baccalaureate levels.)

(Requested scope of recognition: The accreditation of educational programs in radiography, magnetic resonance, radiation therapy, and medical dosimetry, including those offered via distance education, at the certificate, associate, and baccalaureate levels.)

State Agency Recognized for the Approval of Public Postsecondary Vocational Education

Petition for Renewal of Recognition

1. Puerto Rico State Agency for the Approval of Public Postsecondary Vocational, Technical Institutions and Programs

Federal Agency Seeking Degree-Granting Authority

In accordance with the Federal policy governing the granting of academic degrees by Federal agencies (approved by a letter from the Director, Bureau of the Budget, to the Secretary, Health, Education, and Welfare, dated December 23, 1954), the Secretary is required to establish a review committee to advise the Secretary concerning any legislation that may be proposed that would authorize the granting of degrees by a Federal agency. The review committee forwards its recommendation concerning a Federal agency's proposed degree-granting authority to the Secretary, who then forwards the committee's recommendation and the Secretary's recommendation to the Office of Management and Budget for review and transmittal to the Congress. The Secretary uses the Advisory Committee as the review committee required for this purpose. Accordingly,

the Advisory Committee will review the following institution at this meeting:

Proposed Master's Degree-Granting Authority

1. United States Naval Test Pilot School, Patuxent River, Maryland (request to award a Master's of Science in Flight Test Engineering Degree.)

Where Can I Inspect Petitions and Third-Party Comments Before and After the Meeting?

All petitions and those third-party comments received in advance of the meeting will be available for public inspection at the U.S. Department of Education, Room 7126, MS 8509, 1990 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20006, telephone (202) 219-7011 between the hours of 8 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, until November 19, 2007. They will be available again after the December 17-19, 2007 Advisory Committee meeting. An appointment must be made in advance of such inspection.

How May I Obtain Electronic Access to This Document?

You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: <http://www.ed.gov/legislation/FedRegister>. To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1-888-293-6498; or in the Washington, DC, area at (202) 512-1530.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. Free Internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: <http://www.gpoaccess.gov/index.html>.

Authority: 5 U.S.C. Appendix 2.

Dated: July 26, 2007.

James F. Manning,

Acting Assistant Secretary, Office of Postsecondary Education.

[FR Doc. E7-14912 Filed 7-31-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meetings

AGENCY: United States Election Assistance Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Public Teleconference Meetings for the Working

Subcommittees of the Technical Guidelines Development Committee.

DATES & TIMES: Tuesday August 6, 2007 at 10:30 a.m. ET. Thursday, August 9, 2007, at 1 a.m. ET. Friday, August 10, 2007 at 11 a.m. ET. Tuesday August 14, 2007 at 10:30 a.m. ET.

STATUS: Audio recordings or working subcommittee teleconferences are available upon conclusion of each meeting at: http://vote.nist.gov/subcomm_mtgs.htm. Agendas for each teleconference will be posted approximately one week in advance of each meeting at the above Web site.

SUMMARY: The Technical Guidelines Development Committee (the "Development Committee") was established to act in the public interest to assist the Executive Director of the U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC) in the development of voluntary voting system guidelines. The Committee held their first plenary meeting on July 9, 2004. At this meeting, the Development Committee agreed to a resolution forming three working groups: (1) Human Factors & Privacy; (2) Security & Transparency; and (3) Core Requirements & Testing to gather and analyze information on relevant issues. These working subcommittees propose resolutions to the TGDC on best practices, specifications and standards. Specifically, NIST staff and Committee members will meet via the above scheduled teleconferences to review and discuss progress on tasks defined in resolutions passed at Development Committee plenary meetings. The resolutions define technical work tasks for NIST that will assist the Committee in developing recommendations for voluntary voting system guidelines. The Committee met in its eighth plenary session on March 22–23, 2007.

Documents and transcriptions of Committee proceedings are available at: <http://vote.nist.gov/PublicHearingandMeetings.html>.

PURPOSE: At the direction of the Committee and with technical support from NIST staff, the three working subcommittees gather and analyze information relevant to requirement recommendations from the next iteration of the voluntary voting system guidelines. The Human Factors and Privacy Subcommittee considers usability, accessibility, and privacy functions of voting systems and the environment of the polling place. The Secretary and Transparency Subcommittee considers the security of computers, computer networks and computer data storage used in voting systems. The Core Requirements and

Testing Subcommittee considers precise and testable specifications for voting systems. The Subcommittees' recommendations are then presented to the Development Committee as a whole at public plenary sessions.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Technical Guidelines Development Committee (the "Development Committee") was established pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 15361, to act in the public interest to assist the Executive Director of the Election Assistance Commission in the development of the voluntary voting system guidelines. The information gathered and analyzed by the working subcommittees during their teleconference meetings will be reviewed at future Development Committee plenary meetings.

CONTACT INFORMATION: Allan Eustis, 301–975–5099. If a member of the public would like to submit written comments concerning the Committee's affairs at any time before or after subcommittee teleconference meetings, written comments should be addressed to the contact person indicated above or to voting@nist.gov.

Thomas R. Wilkey,

Executive Director, U.S. Election Assistance Commission.

[FR Doc. 07–3760 Filed 7–27–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820–KF–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA–HQ–OPP–2007–0037; FRL–8141–4]

Pesticide Registration Review; New Dockets Opened for Review and Comment

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has established registration review dockets for the following pesticides: Fenoxycarb (case number 7401) and urea sulfate (case number 7213). With this document, EPA is opening the public comment period for these registration reviews. Registration review is EPA's periodic review of pesticide registrations to ensure that each pesticide continues to satisfy the statutory standard for registration, that is, the pesticide can perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on human health or the environment. Registration review dockets contain information that will assist the public in understanding the types of information and issues that the Agency may consider during the

course of registration reviews. Through this program, EPA is ensuring that each pesticide's registration is based on current scientific and other knowledge, including its effects on human health and the environment.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before October 30, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments identified by the docket identification (ID) number for the specific pesticide of interest provided in the table in Unit III.A., by one of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

- *Mail:* Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) Regulatory Public Docket (7502P), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001.

- *Delivery:* OPP Regulatory Public Docket (7502P), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. S–4400, One Potomac Yard (South Bldg.), 2777 S. Crystal Dr., Arlington, VA. Deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. Special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information. The Docket Facility telephone number is (703) 305–5805.

Instructions: Direct your comments to the docket ID numbers listed in the table in Unit III.A. for the pesticides you are commenting on. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the docket without change and may be made available on-line at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through www.regulations.gov or e-mail. The www.regulations.gov website is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through www.regulations.gov, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties