State citation	Title/subject		State effective date	EPA approval date and citation <sup>1</sup>	Explanations
*	* * * 33–15–15 Prevention of S	, ignifica	nt Deterioration	* * of Air Quality	*
3–15–15–01	General Provisions (Repealed)		2/1/05	[Insert Federal Register page number where the document begins] 7/19/07.	
3–15–15–01.1	Purpose		2/1/05	[Insert <b>Federal Register</b> page number where the document begins] 7/19/07.	
3–15–15–01.2	Scope		2/1/05	[Insert Federal Register page number where the document begins] 7/19/07.	
3–15–15–02	Reclassification		2/1/05	[Insert Federal Register page number where the document begins] 7/19/07.	
*	* *	*		* *	*

<sup>1</sup> In order to determine the EPA effective date for a specific provision listed in this table, consult the **Federal Register** notice cited in this column for the particular provision.

[FR Doc. E7–14005 Filed 7–18–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2006-0772; FRL-8439-8]

## Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Minnesota

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is approving revisions to the Minnesota State Implementation Plan (SIP) for sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) submitted on April 23, 2007. Specifically, the revisions involve Continental Nitrogen & Resource Corporation (Continental Nitrogen) of Dakota County, Minnesota. The emission limits for the Continental Nitrogen steam boilers have been removed. Continental Nitrogen has physically disconnected its three boilers. The boilers cannot operate, thus there are no emissions.

**DATES:** This direct final rule will be effective September 17, 2007, unless EPA receives adverse comments by August 20, 2007. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R05–

OAR–2006–0772, by one of the following methods:

1. *www.regulations.gov:* Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

- 2. E-mail: mooney.john@epa.gov.
- 3. Fax: (312) 886–5824.

4. *Mail:* John M. Mooney, Chief, Criteria Pollutant Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

5. *Hand Delivery*: John M. Mooney, Chief, Criteria Pollutant Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information. The Regional Office official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. excluding Federal holidays.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-R05-OAR-2006-0772. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through www.regulations.gov or e-mail. The www.regulations.gov Web

site is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless vou provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through www.regulations.gov your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material. such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. This Facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal

holidays. We recommend that you telephone Matt Rau, Environment Engineer, at (312) 886–6524 before visiting the Region 5 office.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matt Rau, Environmental Engineer, Criteria Pollutant Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–6524, rau.matthew@epa.gov.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA. This supplementary information section is arranged as follows:

I. What Is the Background for This Action?

- II. What Is EPA's Analysis of the State Submission?
- III. What Are the Environmental Effects of This Action?

IV. What Action Is EPA Taking?

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

# I. What Is the Background for This Action?

Continental Nitrogen operates its facility in the Pine Bend area of Rosemount, Minnesota. Minnesota's Findings and Order Amendment One contains the SO<sub>2</sub> limits for Continental Nitrogen's boilers, Emission Point numbers 1, 2, and 3. EPA approved these emission limits into the Minnesota SIP on September 9, 1994. Sulfur dioxide emissions from the boilers are limited to 1.5 pounds per million British Thermal Units. The Findings and Order also restricts the quantity of Number 6 fuel oil that Continental Nitrogen can use and includes recordkeeping requirements.

Continental Nitrogen informed the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (PCA) that its three boilers are permanently shut down. The boilers cannot be operated. On April 23, 2007, Minnesota PCA requested that SO<sub>2</sub> limits for the boilers be removed from the SIP.

#### II. What Is EPA's Analysis of the State Submission?

Minnesota supplied information on the Continental Nitrogen boilers. The three steam boilers at this facility have been physically disconnected. In addition, the fuel train to boilers has been disassembled, making the boilers inoperable. As a result, there will be no  $SO_2$  emissions from Emission Points 1, 2, or 3. Rescinding the Findings and Order will relieve Continental Nitrogen from future recordkeeping requirements under the Findings and Order.

# III. What Are the Environmental Effects of This Action?

Sulfur dioxide causes breathing difficulties and aggravation of existing cardiovascular disease. It is also a precursor of acid rain and fine particulate matter formation. Sulfate particles are a major cause of visibility impairment in America. Acid rain damages lakes and streams impairing aquatic life and causes damage to buildings, sculptures, statues, and monuments. Sulfur dioxide also causes the loss of chloroform leading to vegetation damage.

Continental Nitrogen has permanently shut down its boilers. There will be no  $SO_2$  emissions from these units. These sources are in the Pine Bend area, which is a sulfur dioxide maintenance area. The reduction in  $SO_2$  emissions should help the area remain in attainment of the  $SO_2$  standard.

## **IV. What Action Is EPA Taking?**

EPA is approving revisions to  $SO_2$ emissions regulations for Continental Nitrogen & Resource Corporation of Dakota County, Minnesota. The revision rescinds the Findings and Order Amendment One. The Findings and Order limits the  $SO_2$  emissions, impose fuel restrictions, and provide recordkeeping requirements on Continental Nitrogen.

We are publishing this action without prior proposal because we view this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipate no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this Federal Register publication, we are publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the state plan if relevant adverse written comments are filed. This rule will be effective September 17, 2007 without further notice unless we receive relevant adverse written comments by August 20, 2007. If we receive such comments, we will withdraw this action before the effective date by publishing a subsequent document that will withdraw the final action. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed action. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. If we do not receive any comments, this action will be effective September 17, 2007.

#### V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

# Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and, therefore, is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget.

## Executive Order 13211: Actions That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use

Because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 or a "significant energy action," this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly" Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001).

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

This action merely approves State law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*).

#### Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

Because this rule approves preexisting requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4).

## Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (59 FR 22951, November 9, 2000).

# Executive Order 13132: Federalism

This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely approves a State rule implementing a Federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act.

## Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children From Environmental Health and Safety Risks

This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it approves a state rule implementing a Federal Standard.

#### National Technology Transfer Advancement Act

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the state to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

#### Congressional Review Act

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by September 17, 2007. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

# List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Sulfur oxides.

Dated: July 5, 2007.

# Bharat Mathur,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

• For the reasons stated in the preamble, part 52, chapter I, of title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

#### Subpart Y—Minnesota

#### § 52.1220 [Amended]

■ 2. In § 52.1220, the table in paragraph (d) is amended by removing the entry for "Continental Nitrogen & Resource Corporation."

[FR Doc. E7–13785 Filed 7–18–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2006-0772; FRL-8439-7]

## Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Minnesota

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is approving revisions to the Minnesota State Implementation Plan (SIP) for sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>). Specifically, the revisions involve Flint Hills Resources, L.P. (Flint Hills) of Dakota County, Minnesota. In these

revisions, Flint Hills is expanding operations at its petroleum refinery. To account for the increased SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the expansion, Flint Hills is closing its sulfuric acid plant. An analysis of the revisions shows that air quality in the area will be protected after the modifications are made at the facility. Minnesota has also included additional monitoring requirements in the revisions. EPA proposed approval of this revision on April 9, 2007. One comment was received on the proposed rule, but the comment did not involve the proposed revision. The comment is addressed in this action.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on August 20, 2007.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R05-OAR-2006-0772. All documents in the docket are listed on the www.regulations.gov Web site. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. This facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays. We recommend that you telephone Matt Rau, Environmental Engineer, (312) 886–6524 before visiting the Region 5 office.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matt

Rau, Environmental Engineer, Criteria Pollutant Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–6524, *rau.matthew@epa.gov.* 

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA. This supplementary information section is arranged as follows:

I. What Is the Background for This Action?

- II. What Is EPA's Analysis of the State Submission?
- III. What Comments Were Received?
- IV. What Are the Environmental Effects of This Action?
- V. What Action Is EPA Taking Today?
- VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews