manuscript submitted to the *Journal of Clinical Investigation* entitled: "Akt1 promotes physiologic, but antagonizes pathologic, cardiac growth."

• Figures 3A, 3C, and 4A in: Welsh, C.F., Roovers, K., Villanueva, J., Liu, Y., Schwartz, M.A., & Assoian, R.K. "Timing of cyclin D1 expression within G1 phase is controlled by Rho." *Nature Cell Biology* 3(11):950–957, 2001.

• Figures 1, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3C, 4A, 4B, 6C, 6D, and 6E in: Roovers, K., & Assoian, R.K. "Effects of rho kinase and actin stress fibers on sustained extracellular signal-regulated kinase activity and activation of G(1) phase cyclin-dependent kinases." *Mol. Cell Biol.* 23(12):4283–4294, 2003. Retracted in *Mol. Cell Biol.* 26(13):5203, July 2006.

• Figures 1C, 2C, 5B, 5D, 6B and 6D in: Roovers, K., Klein, E.A., Castagnino, P., & Assoian, R.K. "Nuclear translocation of LIM kinase mediates Rho-Rho kinase regulation of cyclin D1 expression." *Developmental Cell 5* (2):273–284, 2003. Retracted in *Developmental Cell* 10(5):681, May 2006.

Corrections were recommended by UP for the *Nature Cell Biology* paper.

Dr. Roovers' falsified Western blot data from the publications in *Nature Cell Biology* and from *Developmental Cell* were included in NIH grant applications CA 72639–07 and GM 69064–01.

ORI has implemented the following administrative actions for a period of five (5) years, beginning on June 7, 2007:

(1) Dr. Roovers is debarred from eligibility for any contracting or subcontracting with any agency of the United States Government and from eligibility or involvement in nonprocurement programs of the United States Government referred to as "covered transactions" as defined in HHS' implementation of OMB Guidelines to Agencies on Governmentwide Debarment and Suspension at 2 CFR part 376, *et seq.*; and

(2) Dr. Roovers is prohibited from serving in any advisory capacity to PHS, including but not limited to service on any PHS advisory committee, board, and/or peer review committee, or as a consultant.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Director, Division of Investigative Oversight, Office of Research Integrity, 1101 Wootton Parkway, Suite 750, Rockville, MD 20852, (240) 453–8800.

Chris B. Pascal,

Director, Office of Research Integrity. [FR Doc. E7–13703 Filed 7–13–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4150–31–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[30Day-07-06BM]

Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork; Reduction Act Review

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) publishes a list of

ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

information collection requests under review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these requests, call the CDC Reports Clearance Officer at (404) 639–5960 or send an email to: *omb@cdc.gov*. Send written comments to CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC or by fax to (202) 395–6974.

Notice of Correction

Title of Project

Randomized Controlled Trial of Routine Screening for Intimate Partner Violence—New—National Center for Injury Prevention and Control (NCIPC), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Description of Correction

A 30-day **Federal Register** Notice was published on June 25, 2007 (Vol. 72, No. 121, pp. 34691–34692) describing proposed activities with total estimated annualized burden of 717.7 hours. The annualized total burden hour estimate is correct, however, due to a clerical oversight, the table of Estimated Annualized Burden Hours contained non-annualized figures for the Number of Respondents (i.e., project totals). The corrected table appears below. The total estimated annualized burden hours are 717.7.

Type of respondents	Form name	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)
Women Seeking Health Care Services	Eligibility Script for Pretest Baseline Questionnaire for Pretest Follow-up Questionnaire Pretest Eligibility Script for Main Study Baseline Questionnaire for Main Study Follow-up Questionnaire Main Study	70 65 59 1,533 1,227 860	1 1 1 1 1	1/60 15/60 12/60 1/60 17/60 22/60

Dated: July 9, 2007.

Maryam I. Daneshvar,

Acting Reports Clearance Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [FR Doc. E7–13730 Filed 7–13–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4163–18–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Periodically, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) will publish a summary of information collection requests under OMB review, in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these documents, call the SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer on (240) 276–1243.

Project: National Evaluation of the Protection and Advocacy for Individuals with Mental Illness (PAIMI) Program—NEW

In recognition that systematic evaluation of this and other government programs are part of good management and accountability, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS) will conduct an independent process evaluation of the PAIMI Program. CMHS will employ information that is routinely collected under existing program requirements and is also expected to collect new, additional data that are also necessary for the conduct of the evaluation. [On January 1, each eligible State protection and advocacy (P&A) system is required to transmit to the Secretary and head of the State Mental Health Agency, in which the system is located, a report describing its activities, accomplishments, and expenditures during the most recently completed fiscal year. None of the data collection activities described above will be redundant with these existing reporting requirements.] The evaluation plan includes gathering information about the PAIMI program from persons with different perspectives. Accordingly, CMHS proposes to proceed with the following new data collection activities:

(1) Survey interviews with the Executive Directors of each of the Protection and Advocacy Grantees, as well as other staff whom they may ask to join them in these interviews to include:

a. Characteristics and shared functions between the P&A Governing Board and the PAIMI Advisory Council.

b. Processes to establish PAĬMI goals and priorities.

c. Federal support of the PAIMI program.

d. Federal oversight of the PAIMI program.

e. Organization and staffing of PAIMI responsibilities within the P&A.

f. Procedures for quality management.

g. Background of respondent.

(2) Surveys of and focus groups with persons who receive services from PAIMI programs to include: a. Access to PAIMI services.

b. Quality of services provided to clients.

c. Satisfaction with services.

d. Background of respondent.

(3) Surveys of the Chairs of the Advisory Councils of each PAIMI Grantee to include:

a. Characteristics and shared functions between the P&A Governing Board and the PAIMI Advisory Council.

b. Processes to establish PAIMI goals and priorities and assessment of those priorities.

c. Organization and staffing of PAIMI responsibilities within the P&A.

d. Quality of services provided to clients.

e. Background of respondent.

(4) Surveys of the Program Directors of State Mental Health Authorities to include:

a. Types of communication between the State Mental Health Authority and the PAIMI program.

b. Processes to establish PAIMI goals and priorities and assessment of those priorities.

c. Relationship between the State Mental Health Authority and the PAIMI program.

d. Role of the PAIMI program in the mental health advocacy community.

e. Background of respondent.

(5) Survey of directors of other organizations who are likely to be familiar with or collaborate in PAIMI activities in each State; including family and consumer groups and other mental health advocacy organizations to include:

a. Types of interaction between the State Mental Health Authority and the PAIMI program.

b. Processes to establish PAIMI goals and priorities and assessment of those priorities.

c. Relationship between the organization and the PAIMI program.

d. Access to and quality of services provided to PAIMI recipients.

e. Role of the PAIMI program in the mental health advocacy community.

f. Background of respondent.

The PAIMI program has never undergone an independent evaluation. The approach being used is to conduct survey interviews with a cross-section of five primary Stakeholder groups connected to the PAIMI program, including Program Directors/staff, Clients/Recipients of services, PAIMI Advisory Council Chairs, Directors of State Mental Health Authorities, and Directors of Other Mental Health Advocacy Organizations in an effort to obtain a representative sample of viewpoints about the PAIMI program. The surveys have been developed to include questions relevant to each of the respective Stakeholder groups named above and range from 22 questions to as many as 88 questions. Depending on the Stakeholder group, respondent surveys are expected to take from thirty minutes up to two hours to complete.

CMHS also intends to conduct an outcome evaluation of the PAIMI program. SAMHSA is soliciting comments on how best to conduct such an evaluation. Comments should address how an evaluation can be best designed to assess the impact of the program, as measured by its GPRA measures, SAMHSA's National Outcome Measures, and other outcome measures. Commenters are advised to refer to the OMB document "What Constitutes Strong Evidence of a Program's Effectiveness?", available at http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part/ index.html, for OMB guidance on program evaluations.

The burden estimate for conducting the surveys under the evaluation plan for the PAIMI Program is as follows:

Form name	No. of re- spondents	Responses per respondent	Total responses	Hours per response (hours)	Total hour burden (hours)
Executive Director Interview PAIMI Advisory Chair Survey State Mental Health Director Survey State Mental Health Legal Counsel Other Mental Health Advocacy Org Director Survey PAIMI Client Survey	20 20 20 20 20 72	1 1 1 1 1	20 20 20 20 20 72	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1/2	40 20 20 20 20 36
Total	172		172		156

Written comments and recommendations concerning the proposed information collection should be sent by August 15, 2007 to: SAMHSA Desk Officer, Human Resources and Housing Branch, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503; due to potential delays in OMB's receipt and processing of mail sent through the U.S. Postal Service, respondents are encouraged to submit comments by fax to: 202–395–6974. Dated: June 25, 2007. Elaine Parry, Acting Director, Office of Program Services. [FR Doc. E7–13714 Filed 7–13–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4162–20–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

[USCG-2007-28578]

Collection of Information Under Review by Office of Management and Budget; OMB Control Number: 1625– 0089

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS. ACTION: Request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the U.S. Coast Guard intends to submit an Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) requesting re-instatement, with change, of a previously-approved collection of information: 1625–0089, National Recreational Boating Survey. Before submitting this ICR to OMB, the Coast Guard is inviting comments as described below.

DATES: Comments must reach the Coast Guard on or before September 14, 2007.

ADDRESSES: To make sure your comments and related material do not enter the docket [USCG–2007–28578] more than once, please submit them by only one of the following means:

(1) By mail to the Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590.

(2) By delivery to room W12–140 at the address given in paragraph (1)(a) above, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is (202) 366–9329.

(3) By fax to the Facility at (202) 493– 2298.

(4) Electronically through the Web site for the Docket Management System (DMS) at: *http://dms.dot.gov.*

The Docket Management Facility maintains the public docket for this notice. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents mentioned in this notice as being available in the docket, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at room W12–140 on the West Building Ground Floor level, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. You may also find this docket on the Internet at: *http:// dms.dot.gov.*

Copies of the complete ICR are available through this docket on the Internet at: *http://dms.dot.gov*, and also from Commandant (CG–611), U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, room 10–1236 (Attn: Mr. Arthur Requina), 2100 2nd Street, SW., Washington, DC 20593– 0001. The telephone number is 202– 475–3523.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Arthur Requina, Office of Information Management, telephone 202–475–3523, or fax 202–475–3929, for questions on these documents; or telephone Ms. Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, 202–366–9826, for questions on the docket.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Public Participation and Request for Comments

We encourage you to respond to this request by submitting comments and related materials. We will post all comments received, without change, to *http://dms.dot.gov;* they will include any personal information you provided. We have an agreement with DOT to use the Docket Management Facility. Please see the paragraph on DOT's "Privacy Act Policy" below.

Submitting comments: If you submit a comment, please include your name and address, identify the docket number [USCG-2007-28578], indicate the specific section of the document to which each comment applies, providing a reason for each comment. You may submit your comments and material by electronic means, mail, fax, or delivery to the Docket Management Facility at the address under ADDRESSES; but please submit them by only one means. If you submit them by mail or delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than 8¹/₂ by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit them by mail and would like to know that they reached the Facility, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change the documents supporting this collection of information or even the underlying requirements in view of them.

Viewing comments and documents: Go to http://dms.dot.gov to view comments and documents mentioned in this notice as being available in the docket. Conduct a simple search using the docket number. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in room W12–140 on the West Building Ground Floor, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Privacy Act: Anyone can search the electronic form of all comments received in dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review the Privacy Act Statement of DOT in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000, (65 FR 19477), or you may visit *http://dms.dot.gov.*

Information Collection Request

Title: National Recreational Boating Survey.

OMB Control Number: 1625-0089. Summary: The Coast Guard National **Recreational Boating Survey collects** data on recreational boating participation and exposure to hazards. The goal is for the Office of Boating Safety to draw a general statistical profile of the U.S. recreational boating population. Of particular importance will be statistics on the type of boats used, the activities these boats are used for, boat operators' knowledge of safety measures, and the duration of a typical boating day (referred to as "exposure"). Exposure data will be used to derive a reliable measure of the risk associated with recreational boating that can be used in all jurisdictions.

Need: The Federal Boat Safety Act of 1971 determines the framework of the Coast Guard recreational boating safety program. This program as set forth in 46 U.S.C., Chapter 131, requires the Coast Guard to "encourage greater State participation and uniformity in boating safety efforts, and particularly to permit the States to assume a greater share of boating safety education, assistance, and enforcement activities." See 46 U.S.C. 13102. The Coast Guard Office of Boating Safety achieves these goals by providing timely and relevant information on boating activities that occur in each respective jurisdiction. The boating information provided by the Coast Guard enables each State agency to tailor and implement safety initiatives addressing specific needs of boaters in local jurisdictions. The primary objective of this collection is to provide the Coast Guard with the required information in a format suitable to effectively manage the program.

Respondents: Recreational boating participants and owners of recreational vessels.

Frequency: Every 2 years.