

actions taken by DOE for the purpose of assessing compliance with performance objectives in 10 CFR Part 61, Subpart C. If the NRC determines that any such disposal actions taken by DOE are not in compliance with those performance objectives, the NDAA requires NRC to inform DOE, the affected State, and congressional committees.

On November 16, 2006, NRC and DOE conducted a public meeting to discuss interactions during the review of non-HLW determinations prepared under the NDAA. During that meeting, NRC and DOE committed to conduct a future meeting to discuss the progress that has been made since the November 2006 public meeting. During the upcoming public meeting cited in this Notice, NRC and DOE will: (1) Discuss the progress they have made since November 2006; (2) provide information regarding the content and outcome of interactions held to date; (3) address progress in exchanging information concerning generic technical issues related to DOE's performance assessments; and (4) provide information on monitoring plans and related activities.

As noted on the agenda, time will be set aside during this meeting for observers who wish to make comments. After the meeting, a publicly available summary of this meeting will be made available on the NRC's Agency-wide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) at www.nrc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For questions related to this meeting, please contact Jennifer Davis of the NRC at (301) 415-7264 or bjd1@nrc.gov, or Martin Letourneau of DOE's Office of Compliance at (301) 903-3532 or martin.letourneau@em.doe.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 3rd day of July 2007.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Larry W. Camper,

Director, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs.

Dated at Washington, DC, this 3rd day of July 2007.

For the U.S. Department of Energy.

Karen Guevara,

Director, Office of Environmental Management, Office of Compliance.

[FR Doc. 07-3358 Filed 7-9-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-56002; File No. SR-Amex-2007-55]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; American Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1 Thereto, Relating to the Options SROT Fee Rebate Program

July 2, 2007.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on June 1, 2007, the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been substantially prepared by the Exchange. On June 7, 2007, the Amex submitted Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.³ Amex has designated this proposal as one establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed by Amex under Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act⁴ and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder,⁵ which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit

comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to establish fee rebates applicable to supplemental registered options traders ("SROT's") that receive directed orders.

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange, the Commission's Public Reference Room, and www.amex.com.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change, and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. Amex has substantially prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange is proposing to provide options transaction fee rebates to SROT's that provide liquidity to the Exchange and receive electronic directed customer orders (the "SROT Fee Rebate Program"). This SROT Fee Rebate Program will provide fee rebates to SROT's that provide order flow to the Exchange from their own firm's orders.⁶

This proposal would allow the Exchange to provide SROT's with options transaction fee rebates for the number of options contracts that are electronically directed to them and executed on the Exchange. The following rebate schedule is proposed:

Monthly directed order volume (in contracts)	Rebate per contract	Total rebate per volume tier
0-1,000,000	\$.05	\$50,000
1,000,001-2,000,000	.10	100,000
2,000,001-3,000,000	.125	125,000

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ Amendment No. 1 clarifies that the SROT fee rebate program is a separate program from the options marketing fee program.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

⁶ The Exchange anticipates shortly filing a proposed rule change with the Commission to implement a Directed Order Flow Program. Generally, for purposes of the Directed Order Flow Program, a directed order is deemed to be an electronic customer order from an order flow provider that is directed to a specific specialist,

registered options trader ("ROT"), SROT or remote registered options trader ("RROT"). Once the Directed Order Flow Program is implemented, in addition to SROT's, the Exchange intends to expand this proposed SROT Fee Rebate Program to any specialist, ROT, and/or RROT that participates in the Exchange's Directed Order Program.

	Monthly directed order volume (in contracts)	Rebate per contract	Total rebate per volume tier
3,000,001 and up15	150,000

Rebates would be capped at 100% of options transaction fee charges so that once an SROT's options transaction charges reach zero, the Exchange would not pay out any additional credits.

The Exchange notes that SROTs are entitled to the options transaction fee rebate, which is separate and apart from the Payment for Order Flow arrangements, which SROTs may negotiate with any firm from which they receive the guaranteed SROT allocation (*i.e.*, affiliated SROTs).⁷ The proposed options transaction fee rebate, which is provided to SROTs will not come from the marketing fees collected on SROT transactions.⁸

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act⁹ in general, and Section 6(b)(4) of the Act¹⁰ in particular, in that it is designed to provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among Exchange members and issuers and other persons using Exchange facilities.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

⁷ Under the current plan, the Exchange charges an equity options marketing fee of \$0.75 per contract (and \$1.00 for SPY Options) solely to customer orders that are from payment accepting firms with whom an SROT receives the guaranteed SROT allocation, pursuant to 935-ANTE(a)(7). As noted in the Options Fee Schedule, the \$0.35 options marketing fee applies to those series of Equity Options, Exchange Traded Fund Share Options, and Trust Issued Receipt Options that quote and trade in one cent increments under the penny pilot program.

⁸ See Amendment No. 1, *supra* note 3.

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing proposed rule change has been designated as a fee change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act¹¹ and Rule 19b-4(f)(2)¹² thereunder, because it establishes or changes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange. Accordingly, the proposal will take effect upon filing with the Commission. At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.¹³

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-Amex-2007-55 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Amex-2007-55. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

¹² 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

¹³ For purposes of calculating the 60-day period within which the Commission may summarily abrogate the proposed rule change, the Commission considers the period to commence on June 7, 2007, the date on which the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1.

post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Amex-2007-55 and should be submitted on or before July 31, 2007.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁴

Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-13312 Filed 7-9-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-55999; File No. SR-BSE-2007-27]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Boston Stock Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto To Extend a Pilot Program That Allows for No Minimum Size Order Requirement for the Price Improvement Period Process on the Boston Options Exchange

July 2, 2007.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the

¹⁴ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).