

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR****Bureau of Land Management****[ID-957-1420-BJ]****Idaho: Filing of Plats of Survey****AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.**ACTION:** Notice of Filing of Plats of Surveys.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has officially filed the plats of survey of the lands described below in the BLM Idaho State Office, Boise, Idaho, effective 9 a.m., on the dates specified.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bureau of Land Management, 1387 South Vinnell Way, Boise, Idaho 83709-1657.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** These surveys were executed at the request of the Bureau of Land Management to meet their administrative needs. The lands surveyed are:

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of portions of the west boundary, subdivisional lines, and the 1960-1968 fixed and limiting boundaries in sections 6, 7, 17, 18 and 20, and Tract 40, and the subdivision of sections 6, 7, 17 and 18, the survey of the 1993-2003 meanders of the Snake River in sections 6, 7, 17, 18 and 20, the survey of the 1993-2003 meanders of certain islands in the Snake River, and the metes-and-bounds survey of the centerline of an existing flood control levee in the SE $\frac{1}{4}$  of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$  of section 6, T. 4 N., R. 40 E., Boise Meridian, Idaho, was accepted June 6, 2007.

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of the east boundary and a portion of the subdivisional lines, and the subdivision of section 24, T. 16 S., R. 9 E., Boise Meridian, Idaho, was accepted June 29, 2007.

This survey was executed at the request of the Bureau of Indian Affairs to meet certain administrative and management purposes. The lands surveyed are:

This supplemental plat was prepared to amend lotting in section 24, T. 3 S., R. 34 E., Boise Meridian, Idaho, was accepted April 3, 2007.

These surveys were executed at the request of the USDA Forest Service to meet certain administrative and management purposes. The lands surveyed are:

This supplemental plat, showing amended lotting created by the segregation of Mineral Survey No. 1659 in section 15, T. 41 N., R. 2 W., Boise

Meridian, Idaho, was accepted April 20, 2007.

This supplemental plat, was prepared to show new lots to the centerline of State Highway No. 6, of sections 12, 13, and 14, T. 43 N., R. 3 W., Boise Meridian, Idaho, was accepted April 26, 2007.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) will file the plat of survey of the lands described below in the BLM Idaho State Office, Boise, Idaho, 30 days from the date of publication in the **Federal Register**. This survey was executed at the request of the Bureau of Indian Affairs to meet certain administrative and management purposes:

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of the south boundary, portions of the east and west boundaries, subdivisional lines, and meanders of the Snake River and islands in the Snake River, and the subdivision of sections 32 and 36, and the survey of portions of the south and west boundaries, subdivisional lines, the 2005-2006 meanders of the Snake River and islands in the Snake River, and the North Boundary of the Fort Hall Indian Reservation, T. 4 S., R. 33 E., Boise Meridian, Idaho, was accepted May 16, 2007.

Dated: July 3, 2007.

**Stanley G. French,**

*Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Idaho.*

[FR Doc. E7-13344 Filed 7-9-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-GG-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION****[Investigation No. 332-485]****Canned Peaches, Pears, and Fruit Mixtures: Conditions of Competition Between U.S. and Principal Foreign Supplier Industries**

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Cancellation of public hearing.

**SUMMARY:** On July 2, 2007, the only scheduled witness for the hearing in investigation No. 332-485, Canned Peaches, Pears, and Fruit Mixtures: Conditions of Competition between U.S. and Principal Foreign Supplier Industries, scheduled for July 12, 2007, withdrew his request to appear. Therefore, the public hearing in this investigation has been canceled.

**Background:** The Commission published notice of institution of the investigation and hearing in the **Federal Register** on February 13, 2007 (72 FR 6744). The notice asked that persons

interested in appearing at the hearing file their requests by the close of June 28, 2007, and stated that the hearing would be canceled if no requests were received by that date. One request was received by the June 28 deadline, but it was subsequently withdrawn on July 2, 2007. Accordingly, the Commission has canceled the hearing. All other information about the investigation, including a description of the subject matter to be addressed, contact information, and procedures relating to written submissions, remains the same as in the original notice. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/edis.htm>.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 3, 2007.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E7-13276 Filed 7-9-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

**NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION****[Notice: (07-051)]****Notice of Information Collection Under OMB Review**

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection under OMB review.

**SUMMARY:** The National Aeronautics and Space Administration, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-13, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** All comments should be submitted within 30 calendar days from the date of this publication.

**ADDRESSES:** All comments should be addressed to Desk Officer for NASA; Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs; Room 10236; New Executive Office Building; Washington, DC 20503.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Mr. Walter Kit, NASA PRA Officer, NASA Headquarters, 300 E Street, SW., JE0000, Washington, DC 20546, (202) 358-1350, [Walter.Kit-1@nasa.gov](mailto:Walter.Kit-1@nasa.gov).

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Abstract

The NASA Explorer Schools (NES) seeks a clearance to collect data from educators to determine eligibility and selection of schools to participate in their three year project. To lessen the impact on educators who will complete the project application, the application period must be open during the times when they are less likely to be needed in the classroom (e.g., summer break) and can obtain any required school board approvals.

## II. Method of Collection

NASA will utilize a Web-based online form to collect this information.

## III. Data

*Title:* NASA Explorer Schools Project Application.

*OMB Number:* 2700-0130.

*Type of review:* Regular.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 130.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 1 hour.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 130.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost:* \$0.

## IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NASA, including whether the information collected has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of NASA's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection. They will also become a matter of public record.

Gary Cox,

*Acting Deputy Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. E7-13281 Filed 7-9-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-13-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-255]

**Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.;  
Notice of Consideration of Issuance of  
Amendment to Renewed Facility  
Operating License, Proposed No  
Significant Hazards Consideration  
Determination, and Opportunity for a  
Hearing**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-20 issued to Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (the licensee) for operation of the Palisades Nuclear Plant (PNP) located in Van Buren County, Michigan.

The proposed amendment would revise Technical Specification (TS) 3.5.5, "Trisodium Phosphate," and the associated surveillance requirements by replacing the containment sump buffering agent, trisodium phosphate (TSP), with sodium tetraborate decahydrate (STB). In particular, the proposed amendment would revise the TS Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.5.5, with a new weight requirement for STB.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), Section 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the proposed amendment involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

*Response:* No.

The proposed amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability of an accident previously evaluated because the containment buffering agent is not an

initiator of any analyzed accident. The proposed change does not impact any failure modes that could lead to an accident.

The proposed amendment does not involve a significant increase in the consequences of an accident previously evaluated. The buffering agent in containment is designed to buffer the acids expected to be produced after a loss of coolant accident (LOCA) and is credited in the radiological analysis for iodine retention. The proposed change of replacing TSP with STB in containment results in the radiological consequences remaining under 10 CFR 100 limits and General Design Criterion (GDC) - 19 limits.

Therefore, operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the proposed amendment create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

*Response:* No.

The proposed amendment does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated. STB is a passive component that is proposed to be used at PNP as a buffering agent to increase the pH of the initially acidic post-LOCA containment water to a more neutral pH.

Changing the proposed buffering agent from TSP to STB does not constitute an accident initiator or create a new or different kind of accident previously analyzed. The proposed amendment does not involve operation of any required systems, structures or components (SSCs) in a manner or configuration different from those previously recognized or evaluated. No new failure mechanisms will be introduced by the changes being requested.

Therefore, the proposed amendment does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. Does the proposed amendment involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

*Response:* No.

The proposed amendment does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. The proposed amendment of changing the buffering agent from TSP to STB results in equivalent control of maintaining sump pH at 7.0 or greater, thereby controlling containment atmosphere iodine and ensuring the radiological consequences of a MHA [Maximum Hypothetical Accident] are within regulatory limits. The use of STB also reduces the present potential for exacerbating sump screen blockage due to a potential chemical interaction between TSP and certain calcium sources used in containment to form calcium phosphate. This proposed amendment removes this phosphate source from containment, thereby reducing the amount of precipitate that may be formed in a postulated LOCA. The buffer change would minimize the potential chemical effects and should enhance the ability of the emergency core cooling system to perform the post-accident mitigating functions.

Therefore, the proposed amendment does not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.