a reasonable person with knowledge of the relevant facts the belief that the Board Member's ability to carry out adjudicatory responsibilities with integrity, impartiality, and competence is impaired.

Prohibitions against behaving with impropriety or the appearance of impropriety apply to both the professional and personal conduct of a Board Member. A Board Member must be mindful that even private conduct and associations can reflect upon the Board Member's office and affect the public's confidence in the immigration court system and the Board of Immigration Appeals. Accordingly, a Board Member should not, for example, be a member of an organization that practices invidious discrimination on the basis of race, sex, religion or national origin. Membership of a Board Member in such an organization may give rise to perceptions that the Board Member's impartiality is impaired. Whether an organization practices invidious discrimination is often a complex question to which Board Members should be sensitive.

The requirement that Board Members abstain from public comment regarding a pending or impending proceeding continues during any appellate process and until final disposition of the matter. The requirement does not prohibit Board Members from making appropriate comments in open court or in written filings in the course of their official duties. Comments made to other Department of Justice employees in the course of official business do not constitute "public" comments.

[FR Doc. 07–3174 Filed 6–27–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

June 25, 2007.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). Copies of these ICRs, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained from RegInfo.gov at http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain or by contacting Darrin King on 202–693–4129 (this is not a toll-free number) / e-mail: king.darrin@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone: 202–395–7316 / Fax: 202–395–6974 (these are not toll-free numbers), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

Type of Review: Extension without change of currently approved collection.

Title: Occupational Noise Exposure (29 CFR 1910.95).

OMB Number: 1218–0048.

Type of Response: Recordkeeping and third-party disclosure.

Affected Public: Public Sector: Business or other for-profits.

Number of Respondents: 379,512. Number of Annual Responses: 16,610,221.

Estimated Time per Response: Varies from 2 minutes to notify employees when noise exposure exceeds the 8-hour time-weighted average of 85 decibels to 1 hour for employees in small establishments to take audiometric examinations.

Total Burden Hours: 2,853,730. Total Annualized capital/startup costs: \$0.

Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$40,993,579.

Description: The purpose of the Occupational Noise Standard and its information collection requirements are to provide protection to employees from adverse health effects associated with occupational exposure to noise. The

standard requires employers to establish and maintain accurate records of employee exposure to noise and audiometric testing performed in compliance with this standard.

Agency: Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

Type of Review: Extension without change of currently approved collection.

Title: Asbestos in General Industry (29 CFR 1910.1001).

OMB Number: 1218–0133. Type of Response: Recordkeeping. Affected Public: Public Sector: Business or other for-profits.

Number of Respondents: 243.
Number of Annual Responses: 65,048.
Estimated Time per Response: Varies from 5 minutes to maintain records to 1.5 hours for employees to receive training or medical evaluations.

Total Burden Hours: 23,849. Total Annualized capital/startup costs: \$0.

Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$1,625,000.

Description: The Asbestos Standard requires employers to train employees about the hazards of asbestos, to monitor employee exposure, to provide medical surveillance, and to maintain accurate records of employee exposure to asbestos. These records are used by employers, employees, and the Government to ensure that employees are not harmed by exposure to asbestos in the workplace.

Agency: Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

Type of Review: Extension without change of currently approved collection.

Title: Construction Fall Protection Plans and Training Requirements (29 CFR 1926.502 and 1926.503).

OMB Number: 1218–0197. Type of Response: Recordkeeping. Affected Public: Public Sector:

Business or other for-profits.

Number of Respondents: 301,178. Number of Annual Responses: 6.039.818.

Estimated Time per Response: Time per response ranges from 5 minutes to certify a safety net to 1 hour to develop a fall protection plan.

Total Burden Ĥours: 484,082. Total Annualized capital/startup

Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$0.

Description: The Fall Protection Systems Criteria and Practices Standard (29 CFR 1926.502) allows employers to develop alternative procedures to conventional fall protection systems when the systems are infeasible or create a greater hazard. The alternative procedures (plan) must be written. Also, employers who use safety net systems may certify that the installation meets the Standard's criteria in lieu of performing a drop-test on the net. The Training Requirements Standard (29 CFR 1926.503) requires employers to prepare training certification records for their employees. The plan and certification records ensure that employers comply with the requirements to protect employees from falls.

Darrin A. King,

Acting Departmental Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. E7–12522 Filed 6–27–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–26–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

June 22, 2007.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained at http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain, or contact Ira Mills on 202–693–4122 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-mail: *Mills.Ira@dol.gov*.

Comments should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for U.S. Department of Labor/Employment and Training Administration (ETA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, 202–395–7316 (this is not a toll free number), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and

• Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Type of Review: Revision of a Currently Approved Collection.

Title: Job Corps Health Questionnaire. OMB Number: 1205–0033.

Frequency: Other; once.

Affected Public: Individuals or households; business or other for-profit; not-for-profit institutions; Federal Government; State, Local, or Tribal govt.

Type of Response: Recordkeeping and reporting.

Number of Respondents: 87,943. Annual Responses: 87,943. Average Response Time: 5 minutes. Total Annual Burden Hours: 7,329. Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs: 0.

Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): 0.

Description: Applicants wishing to enroll in the Job Crops program must first be deemed eligible based on the eligibility criteria as defined in 20 CFR 670.400 and then selected based on the additional selection factors in 20 CFR 670.410. This admission process is carried out by admission counselors. The information on the ETA 6-53 is collected by the admissions counselors to enable the centers to determine the health needs of the applicant. After the admission counselors have determined eligibility and the applicant has been selected for assignment into the Job Corps program, completes the form and sends it with the admission packet to the Job Corps center for review.

Ira L. Mills,

 $\label{lem:continuous} Departmental\ Clearance\ Officer/Team\ Leader.$

[FR Doc. E7–12528 Filed 6–27–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-61,634]

Corsair Memory, Fremont, CA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on June 6, 2007 in response to a petition filed by a state of California One-Stop representative on behalf of workers at Corsair Memory, Fremont, California.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 20th day of June, 2007.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7–12515 Filed 6–27–07; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

ITA-W-61.2931

Georgia Pacific Corrugated Number 1 LLCA.K.A. Great Northern Nekoosa Corporation, Ridgeway, VA; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated June 6, 2007, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on May 10, 2007 and published in the **Federal Register** on May 24, 2007 (72 FR 29182).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous:

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition for the workers of Georgia Pacific Corrugated Number 1 LLC, a.k.a. Great Northern Nekoosa Corporation, Ridgeway, Virginia engaged in production of corrugated packaging was denied because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met, nor was there a shift in production from that firm to a foreign country in 2005, 2006 and January through March of 2007. The "contributed importantly" test is generally demonstrated through a survey of the workers' firm's declining