

cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by *July 30, 2007*. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to

enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: May 14, 2007

John B. Askew,

Regional Administrator, Region 7.

■ Chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart AA—Missouri

■ 2. In § 52.1320(e) the table is amended by adding an entry in numerical order to read as follows:

§ 52.1320 Identification of Plan.

* * * * *
(e) * * *

EPA-APPROVED MISSOURI NONREGULATORY SIP PROVISIONS

Name of nonregulatory SIP provision	Applicable geographic or nonattainment area	State submittal date	EPA approval date	Explanation
(52) Submittal of the 2002 Base Year Inventory for the Missouri Portion of the St. Louis 8-hour ozone nonattainment area and Emissions Statement SIP.	St. Louis	06/15/06	05/31/07 [insert FR page number where the document begins].	

[FR Doc. E7-10231 Filed 5-30-07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R07-OAR-2007-0124; FRL-8320-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Iowa

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is approving a State Implementation Plan (SIP) for the purpose of revising the general emission rate for particulate matter.

DATES: This direct final rule will be effective July 30, 2007, without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by July 2, 2007. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R07-OAR-2007-0124, by one of the following methods:

1. <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
2. E-mail: Hamilton.heather@epa.gov.

3. *Mail:* Heather Hamilton, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

4. Hand Delivery or Courier. Deliver your comments to Heather Hamilton, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-R07-OAR-2007-0124. EPA’s policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit through <http://www.regulations.gov> or e-mail information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected. The <http://www.regulations.gov> website is an “anonymous access” system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through <http://www.regulations.gov>, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and

made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in <http://www.regulations.gov> or in hard copy at the Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. The Regional Office’s official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8 to 4:30 excluding Federal holidays. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the office at least 24 hours in advance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Heather Hamilton at (913) 551-7039, or by e-mail at Hamilton.heather@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean EPA. This section provides additional information by addressing the following questions:

What is a SIP?

What is the Federal approval process for a SIP?

What does Federal approval of a state regulation mean to me?

What is being addressed in this document?

Have the requirements for approval of a SIP revision been met?

What action is EPA taking?

What is a SIP?

Section 110 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) requires states to develop air pollution regulations and control strategies to ensure that state air quality meets the national ambient air quality standards established by EPA. These ambient standards are established under section 109 of the CAA, and they currently address six criteria pollutants. These pollutants are: carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, lead, particulate matter, and sulfur dioxide.

Each state must submit these regulations and control strategies to us for approval and incorporation into the Federally-enforceable SIP.

Each Federally-approved SIP protects air quality primarily by addressing air pollution at its point of origin. These SIPs can be extensive, containing state regulations or other enforceable documents and supporting information such as emission inventories, monitoring networks, and modeling demonstrations.

What is the Federal approval process for a SIP?

In order for state regulations to be incorporated into the Federally-enforceable SIP, states must formally adopt the regulations and control strategies consistent with state and Federal requirements. This process generally includes a public notice, public hearing, public comment period, and a formal adoption by a state-authorized rulemaking body.

Once a state rule, regulation, or control strategy is adopted, the state submits it to us for inclusion into the SIP. We must provide public notice and seek additional public comment regarding the proposed Federal action on the state submission. If adverse comments are received, they must be addressed prior to any final Federal action by us.

All state regulations and supporting information approved by EPA under

section 110 of the CAA are incorporated into the Federally-approved SIP.

Records of such SIP actions are maintained in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at title 40, part 52, entitled “Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans.” The actual state regulations which are approved are not reproduced in their entirety in the CFR outright but are “incorporated by reference,” which means that we have approved a given state regulation with a specific effective date.

What does Federal approval of a state regulation mean to me?

Enforcement of the state regulation before and after it is incorporated into the Federally-approved SIP is primarily a state responsibility. However, after the regulation is Federally approved, we are authorized to take enforcement action against violators. Citizens are also offered legal recourse to address violations as described in section 304 of the CAA.

What is being addressed in this document?

The Iowa Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) has amended Chapter 23, “Emission Standards for Contaminants,” specifically subrule 23.3(2), paragraph “a” to revise the general emission rate for particulate matter (PM). This revision applies to sources constructed, modified or reconstructed after July 21, 1999, and states that the emission of PM from any process shall not exceed an emission standard of 0.1 grain per dry standard cubic foot of exhaust gas.

For sources constructed before July 21, 1999, the revision further states that the emission of PM from any process shall not exceed the amount determined from Table I (the process weight rate limit), or amount specified in a permit if based on the revised emission standards, or established from standards provided in SIP-approved provisions for emission standards and specific processes (567-23.1) (455B) and 567-23.4 (455B), respectively). In support of the revision, IDNR provided an analysis to show that the revised concentration limit is generally equivalent to the former process weight limit. Iowa reviewed a number of units to determine which standard might result in greater emissions. IDNR found that most of the units could emit higher levels of PM emissions based on the process weight table than the concentration limit. Of the sources reviewed, where the concentration standard resulted in greater PM emissions, the emissions were under the *de minimis* levels established in the

state’s permitting rules. IDNR also noted that, since the revised standard only applied to sources constructed after July 1999, several sources, as a result of NAAQS review for permitting purposes, were required to meet PM limits that were more stringent than either the process weight or concentration standard.

EPA reviewed IDNR’s technical justification for this SIP revision and found the justification to be acceptable. Therefore, EPA has determined that this revision will not constitute a relaxation of the SIP.

Have the requirements for approval of a SIP revision been met?

The state submittal has met the public notice requirements for SIP submissions in accordance with 40 CFR 51.102. The submittal also satisfied the completeness criteria of 40 CFR part 51, appendix V. In addition, as explained above and in more detail in the technical support document which is part of this docket, the revision meets the substantive SIP requirements of the CAA, including section 110 and implementing regulations.

What action is EPA taking?

EPA is approving this SIP revision for the purpose of revising the general emission rate for PM. We are processing this action as a direct final action because the revisions make routine changes to the existing rules which are noncontroversial. Therefore, we do not anticipate any adverse comments. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on part of this rule and if that part can be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those parts of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a “significant regulatory action” and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, “Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use” (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements

under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4).

This rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely approves a state rule implementing a Federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the CAA. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045, "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it approves a state rule implementing a Federal standard.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of

the CAA. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the CAA. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the

appropriate circuit by July 30, 2007. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: May 14, 2007.

John B. Askew,
Regional Administrator, Region 7.

■ Chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Subpart Q—Iowa

■ 2. In § 52.820 the table in paragraph (c) is amended by revising the entry for 567-23.3 to read as follows:

§ 52.820 Identification of plan.

* * * * *
(c) * * *

EPA-APPROVED IOWA REGULATIONS

Iowa citation	Title	State effective date	EPA approval date	Explanation
IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION [567]				
*	*	*	*	*
Chapter 23—Emission Standards for Contaminants				
*	*	*	*	*
567-23.3	Specific Contaminants	12/15/04	5/31/2007 [insert FR page number where the document begins].	Subrule 23.3(3)"d" is not SIP approved.
*	*	*	*	*

* * * * *

[FR Doc. E7-10490 Filed 5-30-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Defense Acquisition Regulations System

48 CFR Parts 209, 215, 225, 249, and 252

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Technical Amendments

AGENCY: Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: DoD is making technical amendments to the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to update organization names and to add references to the DFARS companion resource, Procedures, Guidance, and Information.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 31, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Michele Peterson, Defense Acquisition Regulations System, OUSD (AT&L) DPAP (DARS), IMD 3C132, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3062. Telephone (703) 602-0311; facsimile (703) 602-7887.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This final rule amends DFARS text to update organization names and office symbols, and to add references to internal DoD procedures found in the DFARS companion resource, Procedures, Guidance, and Information (PGI).

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 209, 215, 225, 249, and 252

Government procurement.

Michele P. Peterson, Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.

Therefore, 48 CFR Parts 209, 215, 225, 249, and 252 are amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR Parts 209, 215, 225, 249, and 252 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 41 U.S.C. 421 and 48 CFR Chapter 1.

PART 209—CONTRACTOR QUALIFICATIONS 209.104-70 [Amended]

2. Section 209.104-70 is amended in paragraph (a), in the second sentence, by removing "(PAIC)" and adding in its place "(CPIC)".

PART 215—CONTRACTING BY NEGOTIATION

3. Section 215.402 is added to read as follows:

215.402 Pricing policy.

Follow the procedures at PGI 215.402 when conducting cost or price analysis, particularly with regard to acquisitions for sole source commercial items.

4. Section 215.403-1 is amended as follows:

- a. By revising the section heading;
b. By adding paragraph (b);
c. In paragraph (c)(3), by designating the text after "Commercial items." as paragraph (B);
d. By adding paragraph (c)(3)(A);
e. In newly designated paragraph (c)(3)(B), in the second sentence, by removing "(c)(3)" and adding in its place "(c)(3)(B)"; and
f. In paragraph (c)(4)(A)(3), by revising the second sentence to read as follows:

215.403-1 Prohibition on obtaining cost or pricing data (10 U.S.C. 2306a and 41 U.S.C. 254b).

(b) Exceptions to cost or pricing data requirements. Follow the procedures at PGI 215.403-1(b).

(c) * * *

(3) * * *

(A) Follow the procedures at PGI 215.403-1(c)(3)(A) for pricing commercial items.

* * * * *

(4) * * *

(A) * * *

(3) * * * Follow the procedures at PGI 215.403-1(c)(4)(A) for determining when an exceptional case waiver is appropriate, for approval of such waivers, for partial waivers, and for waivers applicable to unpriced supplies or services.

* * * * *

5. Section 215.403-3 is added to read as follows:

215.403-3 Requiring information other than cost or pricing data.

Follow the procedures at PGI 215.403-3.

6. Section 215.404-1 is amended as follows:

- a. By redesignating paragraph (a) as paragraph (2);
b. By adding paragraph (1); and
c. In newly designated paragraph (2), in the introductory text, by removing "General.". The added text reads as follows:

215.404-1 Proposal analysis techniques.

(1) Follow the procedures at PGI 215.404-1 for proposal analysis.

* * * * *

PART 225—FOREIGN ACQUISITION

225.872-5 [Amended]

7. Section 225.872-5 is amended in paragraph (a), in the last sentence, by removing "Program Acquisition" and adding in its place "Contract Policy".

225.872-6 [Amended]

8. Section 225.872-6 is amended in paragraph (b) by removing "Program Acquisition" and adding in its place "Contract Policy".

PART 249—TERMINATION OF CONTRACTS

249.7000 [Amended]

9. Section 249.7000 is amended in paragraph (a)(1) by removing "Program Acquisition" and adding in its place "Contract Policy".

PART 252—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

252.225-7004 [Amended]

10. Section 252.225-7004 is amended as follows:

- a. By revising the clause date to read "(MAY 2007)";
b. In paragraph (c)(5), by removing "Program Acquisition" and adding in its place "Contract Policy"; and
c. In paragraph (c)(5), by removing "(PAIC)" and adding in its place "(CPIC)".

252.225-7006 [Amended]

11. Section 252.225-7006 is amended as follows:

- a. By revising the clause date to read "(MAY 2007)";
b. In paragraph (d), by removing "Program Acquisition" and adding in its place "Contract Policy"; and
c. In paragraph (d), by removing "(PAIC)" and adding in its place "(CPIC)".

[FR Doc. E7-10336 Filed 5-30-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-P