

Whereas, BIS and Mahmood have entered into a Settlement Agreement pursuant to Section 766.18(a) of the Regulations whereby they agreed to settle this matter in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth therein, and

Whereas, I have approved the terms of such Settlement Agreement;

It is therefore ordered:

First, that for a period of ten years from the date of entry of this Order, Khalid Mahmood, 11505 Blue Ridge Drive, Beltsville, MD 20750, and when acting for or on behalf of Mahmood, his representatives or agents (“Denied Person”) may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software, or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as “item”) exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or

C. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations.

Second, that no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the Denied Person any items subject to the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, that, after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in Section 766.23 of the Regulations, any person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Mahmood by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of the Order.

Fourth, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the Regulations where the only items involved that are subject to the Regulations are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

Fifth, that the proposed charging letter, the Settlement Agreement, and this Order shall be made available to the public.

Sixth, that this Order shall be served on the Denied Person and on BIS, and shall be published in the Federal Register.

This Order, which constitutes the final agency action in this matter, is effective immediately.

Entered this 2nd day of May 2007.

**Darryl W. Jackson,**

*Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 07-2322 Filed 5-10-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-OT-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-570-846

#### **Brake Rotors From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 11, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jennifer Moats or Blanche Ziv, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5047 and (202) 482-4207, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) received a timely request from Longkou Qizheng Auto Parts Co., Ltd. (“Qizheng”), in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(c), to conduct a semiannual new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on brake rotors from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”). See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Brake Rotors from the People's Republic of China*, 62 FR 18740 (April 17, 1997). On November 30, 2006, the Department found that the request for review with respect to Qizheng met all of the regulatory requirements set forth in 19 CFR 351.214(b) and initiated a semiannual new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on brake rotors for the April 1, 2006, through September 30, 2006, period. See *Brake Rotors from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper Review*, 71 FR 69203 (November 30, 2006). On March 8, 2007, the Department expanded the period of review (“POR”) of this semiannual new shipper review through October 30, 2006, to capture the entry corresponding to Qizheng's first sale to the United States. See Memorandum to Wendy J. Frankel, Office Director, through Blanche Ziv, Program Manager, from Jennifer Moats, Analyst, Regarding Expansion of the Period of Review. Therefore, the POR for the semiannual new shipper review of Qizheng is April 1, 2006, through October 30, 2006. The preliminary results are currently due no later than May 21, 2007.

### Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(1) require the Department to issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review within 180 days after the date on which the new shipper review was initiated and final results of a review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued. The Department may, however, extend the time period for completion of the preliminary results of a new shipper review to 300 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. See 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2) and 751 (a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act.

The Department has determined that the review is extraordinarily complicated, as the Department must gather additional information, issue additional supplemental questionnaires, and conduct verification of the responses. Based on the timing of the case and the additional information that must be gathered and verified, the preliminary results of this new shipper review cannot be completed within the statutory time limit of 180 days. Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of the preliminary results of the new shipper review of Qizheng to 300 days. See 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2). The preliminary results will now be due no later than September 18, 2007, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2). The final results will be due 90 days after the date of issuance of the preliminary results, unless extended.

We are issuing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 7, 2007.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E7-9132 Filed 5-10-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-848]

### Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People’s Republic’s of China: Rescission of New Shipper Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On March 7, 2007, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published its preliminary notice of its intent to rescind the 2005/2006 semi-annual new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”). See *Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Notice of Intent to Rescind New Shipper Reviews*, 72 FR 10148 (March 7, 2007) (“Preliminary Rescission”). As we received no comments or new information after the publication of the *Preliminary Rescission*, we have made no changes to our preliminary decision to rescind the new shipper reviews of Nanjing Merry Trading Co., Ltd. (“Nanjing Merry”), Leping Lotai Foods Co., Ltd. (“Leping Lotai”), Weishan Hongrun Aquatic Food Co., Ltd. (“Weishan Hongrun”), and Shanghai Strong International Trading Co., Ltd. (“Shanghai Strong”).

**EFFECTIVE DATES:** May 11, 2007.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Erin Begnal or Scot Fullerton, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1442 or (202) 482-1386, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On March 7, 2007, the Department published its preliminary notice of its intent to rescind the 2005/2006 semi-annual new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the PRC, and invited parties to comment on the preliminary rescission. See *Preliminary Rescission*. In the *Preliminary Rescission*, the Department indicated, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii), that interested parties may submit case briefs within 30 days of the date of the *Preliminary Rescission*. Additionally, the Department indicated that any interested party may also request a hearing within 30 days of publication of the *Preliminary Rescission*, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(c).

As of April 9, 2007, however, 30 days after publication of the *Preliminary Rescission*, no interested party submitted a case brief or requested a hearing be held in the context of the above-referenced new shipper reviews.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Although 30 days after publication of the *Preliminary Rescission* was April 7, 2007, this date fell on a Saturday. Therefore, case briefs and hearing requests were not due until close of business, the next business day (*i.e.*, Monday, April

### Scope of Order

The product covered by this antidumping duty order is freshwater crawfish tail meat, in all its forms (whether washed or with fat on, whether purged or unpurged), grades, and sizes; whether frozen, fresh, or chilled; and regardless of how it is packed, preserved, or prepared. Excluded from the scope of the order are live crawfish and other whole crawfish, whether boiled, frozen, fresh, or chilled. Also excluded are saltwater crawfish and any type, and parts thereof. Freshwater crawfish tail meat is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) under item numbers 1605.40.10.10 and 1605.40.10.90, which are the HTSUS numbers for prepared foodstuffs, indicating peeled crawfish tail meat and other, as introduced by U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) in 2000, and HTSUS numbers 0306.19.00.10 and 0306.29.00.00, which are reserved for fish and crustaceans in general. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. The written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

### Period of Review

The period of review (POR) is September 1, 2005, through February 28, 2006.

### Analysis of Comments Received

As noted above, we received no comments from interested parties in response to the *Preliminary Rescission*. While the Department normally issues a separate Issues and Decision Memorandum which accompanies a final results/rescission notice published in the **Federal Register**, since no briefs were submitted in the above-referenced new shipper reviews, a separate memorandum is not required.

### Final Rescission

Concurrent with the *Preliminary Rescission*, the Department issued a memorandum detailing our analysis of the *bona fides* of Weishan Hongrun’s U.S. sale and our preliminary decision to rescind the review based on the totality of the circumstances of the sale. See Memorandum to James C. Doyle, Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, through Christopher D. Riker, Program Manager, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, from Scot Fullerton, Senior Case Analyst, AD/CVD

9, 2007), as the agency’s regulations clarify that where the applicable time limit expires on a non-business day, the Secretary will accept documents that are filed on the next business day. See 19 CFR 351.303(b).