

and expedite future check-in or border crossing experiences.

Number of Respondents: 31,980.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: An estimated 31,980 hours annually.

Issued in Arlington, Virginia, on May 2, 2007.

Fran Lozito,

*Director, Business Management Office,
Operational Process and Technology.*

[FR Doc. E7-8819 Filed 5-8-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Transportation Security Administration

[Docket No. TSA-2001-11334]

Intent To Request Renewal From OMB of One Current Public Collection of Information: Aviation Security Infrastructure Fee (ASIF) Records Retention

AGENCY: Transportation Security Administration (TSA), DHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Transportation Security Administration (TSA) invites public comment on one currently approved information collection requirement abstracted below that we will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for renewal in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act. The collection requires air carriers to retain the records that support carriers' cost submissions that were collected for the Aviation Security Infrastructure Fee on the carriers' individual and aggregate costs related to screening passengers and property in calendar year 2000.

DATES: Send your comments by July 9, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed or delivered to Joanna Johnson, Communications Branch, Business Management Office, Operational Process and Technology, TSA-32, Transportation Security Administration, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 22202-4220.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: *For Paperwork Reduction Act issues:* Joanna Johnson at the above address, or by telephone (571) 227-3651 or facsimile (571) 227-3588.

For other issues: Michael Gambone, Acting Director, Office of Revenue, Office of Finance and Administration, TSA-14, Transportation Security Administration, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 22202-4220; e-mail: TSA-Fees@dhs.gov; telephone: (571) 227-2323.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The ICR documentation is available at www.reginfo.gov. Therefore, in preparation for OMB review and approval of the following information collection, TSA solicits comments in order to—

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed information requirement is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Background

To help defray TSA's costs of providing civil aviation security services, and as authorized by 49 U.S.C. 44940, TSA published in the **Federal Register** on February 20, 2002, an interim final rule adding part 1511 to the Transportation Security Regulations, which imposed a security service fee (September 11th Security Fee) and a fee known as the Aviation Security Infrastructure Fee (ASIF) on certain air carriers and foreign air carriers. See 67 FR 7926, as codified at 49 CFR part 1511. The amount of ASIF collected by TSA from the carriers, both overall and per carrier, is based upon the carriers' aggregate and individual costs, respectively, for screening passengers and property in calendar year 2000. 49 U.S.C. 44940(a)(2)(B)(i), (ii).

In conjunction with the issuance of part 1511, TSA requested OMB approval to collect information necessary for TSA to establish the ASIF, including information about the carriers' individual and aggregate costs related to screening passengers and property in calendar year 2000. This information collection included submissions to TSA of data on the carriers' screening-related costs and also of independent audits of that data. On February 28, 2002, TSA published in the **Federal Register** a notice that OMB had approved the required collection and submission of

this information under control number (2110-0002). See 67 FR 9355. This control number was subsequently transferred to a TSA OMB control number (1652-0001).

On May 18, 2004 (69 FR 28141), TSA published a further information collection request seeking OMB approval to require air carriers to retain the records that support carriers' cost submissions that were collected under control number 1652-0001 (previously 2110-0002). OMB approved the request and issued the collection OMB control number 1652-0018. The information collection proposed under this notice is intended to apply to the retention requirement of 49 CFR 1511.9, and applies to OMB control number 1652-0018.

Purpose of Information Collection

Under Part 1511, carriers must retain any and all documents, records, or information related to the amount of the ASIF, including all information applicable to the carrier's calendar year 2000 security costs and information reasonably necessary to complete an audit. This requirement includes retaining the source information for the calendar year 2000 screening costs reported to TSA; the calculations and allocations performed to assign costs submitted to TSA; information and documents reviewed and prepared for the required independent audit; the accountant's working papers, notes, worksheets, and other relevant documentation used in the audit; and, if applicable, the specific information leading to the accountant's opinion, including any determination that the accountant could not provide an audit opinion.

Description of Information Collection

The information collection, submission, and retention requirement applies to each air carrier and foreign air carrier that incurred costs for the screening of passengers and property in calendar year 2000. It is estimated that the 196 respondent air carriers and foreign air carriers will each, on average, incur \$104.06 annually, which includes \$54.60 in records storage, and \$50 in labor costs for 2 hours of records management at \$25 per hour. Thus, the total annual burden for 196 air carriers is estimated at \$20,396. The annual average burden related to this requirement for all respondents combined over a three-year period is at a cost of \$61,187. TSA may use the subject records to make determinations regarding security-related costs in calendar year 2000, including

conducting reviews and otherwise ensuring compliance with part 1511.

Issued in Arlington, Virginia on May 3, 2007.

Fran Lozito,

*Director, Business Management Office,
Operational Process and Technology.*

[FR Doc. E7-8818 Filed 5-8-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9910-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Construction of an Industrial Facility in Brevard County, FL

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice: Receipt of application for an incidental take permit; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We, the Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce the availability of an incidental take permit (ITP) application and Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP). Michael Richardson (applicant) requests an incidental take permit (ITP) for a duration of 5 years pursuant to section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). The applicant anticipates taking approximately 2.2 acre (ac) of Florida scrub-jay (*Alphelocoma coerulescens*)—occupied habitat incidental to constructing an industrial facility in Brevard County, Florida (project). The applicant's HCP describes the mitigation and minimization measures the applicant proposes to address the effects of the project to the scrub-jay.

DATES: We must receive any written comments on the ITP application and HCP on or before June 8, 2007.

ADDRESSES: If you wish to review the application and HCP, you may write the Field Supervisor at our Jacksonville Field Office, 6620 Southpoint Drive South, Suite 310, Jacksonville, FL, 32216, or make an appointment to visit during normal business hours. If you wish to comment, you may mail or hand deliver comments to the Jacksonville Field Office, or you may e-mail comments to erin_gawera@fws.gov. For more information on reviewing documents and public comments and submitting comments, see

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Erin Gawera, Fish and Wildlife Biologist, Jacksonville Field Office (see **ADDRESSES**); telephone: 904/232-2580, ext. 121.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Public Review and Comment

Please reference permit number TE151087-0 for Michael Richardson in all requests or comments. Please include your name and return address in your e-mail message. If you do not receive a confirmation from us that we have received your e-mail message, contact us directly at the telephone number listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review during regular business hours. There may be circumstances in which we would withhold from the administrative record a respondent's identity, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. We will not, however, consider anonymous comments. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Background

The Florida scrub-jay (scrub-jay) is found exclusively in peninsular Florida and is restricted to xeric uplands (predominately in oak-dominated scrub). Increasing urban and agricultural development has resulted in habitat loss and fragmentation, which have adversely affected the distribution and numbers of scrub-jays.

The total estimated population is between 7,000 and 11,000 individuals. The decline in the number and distribution of scrub-jays in east-central Florida has been exacerbated by tremendous urban growth in the past 50 years. Much of the historic commercial and residential development has occurred on the dry soils which previously supported scrub-jay habitat. Much of this area of Florida was settled early because few wetlands restricted urban and agricultural development. Due to the effects of urban and agricultural development over the past 100 years, much of the remaining scrub-

jay habitat is now relatively small and isolated. What remains is largely degraded due to the exclusion of fire, which is needed to maintain xeric uplands in conditions suitable for scrub-jays.

Applicant's Proposal

The applicant is requesting take of approximately 2.2 ac of occupied scrub-jay habitat incidental to the construction of an industrial facility. The project is located within Section 23, Township 25 South, Range 36 East, Rockledge, Brevard County, Florida, on the west side of Riomar Drive near its southern terminus.

Development of the project, including infrastructure, parking areas and landscaping, preclude retention of scrub-jay habitat onsite. Therefore, the applicant proposes to mitigate for the loss of 2.2 ac of occupied scrub-jay habitat by donating \$44,880 to the Florida Scrub-jay Fund administered by The Nature Conservancy. Funds in this account are earmarked for use in the conservation and recovery of scrub-jays and may include habitat acquisition, restoration, and/or management.

We have determined that the applicant's proposal, including the proposed mitigation and minimization measures, would have minor or negligible effects on the species covered in the HCP. Therefore, the ITP is a "low-effect" project and qualifies for categorical exclusions under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), as provided by the Department of the Interior Manual (516 DM 2 Appendix 1 and 516 DM 6 Appendix 1). This preliminary information may be revised based on our review of public comments that we receive in response to this notice. A low-effect HCP is one involving (1) minor or negligible effects on federally listed or candidate species and their habitats, and (2) minor or negligible effects on other environmental values or resources.

We will evaluate the HCP and comments submitted thereon to determine whether the application meets the requirements of section 10(a) of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.). If we determine that the application meets those requirements, we will issue the ITP for incidental take of the Florida scrub-jay. We will also evaluate whether issuance of the section 10(a)(1)(B) ITP complies with section 7 of the Act by conducting an intra-Service section 7 consultation. We will use the results of this consultation, in combination with the above findings, in our final analysis to determine whether or not to issue the ITP.