contrast to EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system is not an anonymous access system. If you send an e-mail comment directly to the docket without going through EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's e-mail system automatically captures your e-mail address. E-mail addresses that are automatically captured by EPA's e-mail system are included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket.

- iii. Disk or CD ROM. Your may submit comments on a disk or CD ROM mailed to the mailing address identified in Section I.B.2 of this notice. These electronic submissions will be accepted in Word, or rich text files. Avoid the use of special characters and any form of encryption.
- 2. By Mail. Send your comments to: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, OW Docket, EPA Docket Center (EPA/ DC), Mailcode: 2822T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460, Attention Docket ID No., OW-2004-0041.
- 3. By Hand Delivery or Courier. Deliver your comments to: EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. Attention Docket ID No., OW-2004-0041 (Note: this is not a mailing address). Such deliveries are only accepted during the docket's normal hours of operation as identified in Section I.A.1 of this notices. For those wishing to make public comments, it is important to note that EPA's policy is that comments, whether submitted electronically or on paper, will be made available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, confidential business information, or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. When EPA identifies a comment containing copyrighted material, EPA will provide a reference to that material in the version of the comment that is placed in EPA's electronic public docket. The entire printed comment, including the copyrighted material, will be available in the public docket. Public comments submitted on computer disks mailed or delivered to the docket will be transferred to EPA's electronic public docket. Written public comments mailed or delivered to the Docket will be scanned and placed in EPA's electronic public docket.

Dated: April 20, 2007.

#### Richard Reding,

Designated Federal Officer, Office of Water. [FR Doc. E7–8101 Filed 4–26–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2006-0944; FRL-8121-9]

## Dichlorprop-p Risk Assessments; Notice of Availability and Request for Risk Reduction Options

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the availability of EPA's risk assessments, and related documents for the pesticide dichlorprop-p, and opens a public comment period on these documents. The public is encouraged to suggest risk management ideas or proposals to address the risks identified. EPA is developing a Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) for dichlorprop-p through a modified, 4-Phase public participation process that the Agency uses to involve the public in developing pesticide reregistration decisions. Through this program, EPA is ensuring that all pesticides meet current health and safety standards.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before June 26, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2006-0944, by one of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
- Mail: Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) Regulatory Public Docket (7502P), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001.
- Delivery: OPP Regulatory Public Docket (7502P), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. S-4400, One Potomac Yard (South Bldg.), 2777 S. Crystal Dr., Arlington, VA. Deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation (8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays). Special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information. The Docket telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

Instructions: Direct your comments to docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2006-0944. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the docket without change and may be made

available on-line at http:// www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through regulations.gov or email. The Federal regulations.gov website is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through regulations.gov, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification. EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the docket index available in regulations.gov. To access the electronic docket, go to http:// www.regulations.gov, select "Advanced Search," then "Docket Search." Insert the docket ID number where indicated and select the "Submit" button. Follow the instructions on the regulations.gov web site to view the docket index or access available documents. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either in the electronic docket at http:// www.regulations.gov, or, if only available in hard copy, at the OPP Regulatory Public Docket in Rm. S-4400, One Potomac Yard (South Bldg.), 2777 S. Crystal Dr., Arlington, VA. The hours of operation of this Docket Facility are from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The Docket telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rosanna Louie, Special Review and

Reregistration Division (7508P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 308-0037; fax number: (703) 308-8005; e-mail address:louie.rosanna@epa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general, and may be of interest to a wide range of stakeholders including environmental, human health, and agricultural advocates; the chemical industry; pesticide users; and members of the public interested in the sale, distribution, or use of pesticides. Since others also may be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under for further information CONTACT.

- B. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?
- 1. Submitting CBI. Do not submit this information to EPA through regulations.gov or e-mail. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that vou claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.
- 2. Tips for preparing your comments. When submitting comments, remember to:
- i. Identify the document by docket ID number and other identifying information (subject heading, **Federal Register** date and page number).
- ii. Follow directions. The Agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.
- iii. Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.
- iv. Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/ or data that you used.

- v. If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.
- vi. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns and suggest alternatives.
- vii. Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.
- viii. Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

#### II. Background

A. What Action is the Agency Taking?

EPA is releasing for public comment its human health and environmental fate and effects risk assessments, and related documents for dichlorprop-p, a phenoxy pesticide, and soliciting public comment on risk management ideas or proposals. Dichlorprop-p is a post emergence herbicide frequently coformulated with other phenoxy herbicides. Dichlorprop-p is used for the management of annual and perennial broadleaf weeds and brush control in ornamental turf (i.e. golf courses, cemeteries, parks, sports fields, turf, residential lawns) and woody plant control in non-crop areas (i.e. rights-ofway, firebreaks, fence rows, forests, and industrial sites). EPA developed the risk assessments and risk characterization for dichlorprop-p through a modified version of its public process for making pesticide reregistration eligibility decisions. Through these programs, EPA is ensuring that pesticides meet current standards under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), as amended by the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (FQPA).

EPA is providing an opportunity, through this notice, for interested parties to provide comments and input on the Agency's risk assessments for dichlorprop-p. Such comments and input could address, for example, the availability of additional data to further refine the risk assessments, such as typical use rate data, or could address the Agency's risk assessment methodologies and assumptions as applied to this specific pesticide.

Through this notice, EPA also is providing an opportunity for interested parties to provide risk management proposals or otherwise comment on risk management for dichlorprop-p. Risks of concern associated with the use of dichlorprop-p are potential effects to some terrestrial and aquatic organisms. In targeting these risks of concern, the

Agency solicits information on effective and practical risk reduction measures.

EPA seeks to achieve environmental justice, the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people, regardless of race, color, national origin, or income, in the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. To help address potential environmental justice issues, the Agency seeks information on any groups or segments of the population who, as a result of their location, cultural practices, or other factors, may have atypical, unusually high exposure to dichlorprop-p, compared to the general population.

EPA is applying the principles of public participation to all pesticides undergoing reregistration. The Agency's Pesticide Tolerance Reassessment and Reregistration; Public Participation Process, published in the **Federal** Register on May 14, 2004 (69 FR 26819)(FRL-7357-9), explains that in conducting these programs, the Agency is tailoring its public participation process to be commensurate with the level of risk, extent of use, complexity of the issues, and degree of public concern associated with each pesticide. For dichlorprop-p, a modified, 4-Phase process with 1 comment period and ample opportunity for public consultation seems appropriate in view of its few complex issues. However, if as a result of comments received during this comment period EPA finds that additional issues warranting further discussion are raised, the Agency may lengthen the process and include a second comment period, as needed.

All comments should be submitted using the methods in ADDRESSES, and must be received by EPA on or before the closing date. Comments will become part of the Agency Docket for dichlorprop-p. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

B. What is the Agency's Authority for Taking this Action?

Section 4(g)(2) of FIFRA as amended directs that, after submission of all data concerning a pesticide active ingredient, "the Administrator shall determine whether pesticides containing such active ingredient are eligible for reregistration," before calling in product-specific data on individual enduse products and either reregistering products or taking other "appropriate regulatory action."

#### List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: April 12, 2007.

#### Debra Edwards,

Director, Special Review and Reregistration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs. [FR Doc. E7-8109 Filed 4-26-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-S

#### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### **Public Information Collection** Requirement Submitted to OMB for **Emergency Review and Approval**

April 25, 2007.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before May 4, 2007. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contacts listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Jasmeet K. Seehra, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Room 10236 NEOB, Washington, DC 20503, (202) 395-3123, or via fax at (202) 395-5167 or via Internet at Jasmeet\_K.\_Seehra@omb.eop.gov and to Cathy Williams, Federal

Communications Commission, Room 1-C823, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. If you would like to obtain or view a copy of this information collection, you may do so by visiting the FCC PRA Web page at: http://www.fcc.gov/omd/

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Cathy Williams at (202) 418–2918 or via the Internet at PRA@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission is requesting emergency OMB processing of this information collection and has requested OMB approval by May 8, 2007.

OMB Control Number: 3060–XXXX. Title: How People Get News and Information—Media Ownership Study

Form No.: Not applicable. Type of Review: New collection. Respondents: Individuals or household.

Number of Respondents: 3,000 respondents; 3,000 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: 0.25–

0.3333333 hours (15 to 20 minutes). Frequency of Response: One time

reporting requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Voluntary. Total Annual Burden: 1,000 hours. Total Annual Cost: \$58,000. Nature and Extent of Confidentiality:

An assurance of confidentiality is not offered because this information collection does not require the collection of personal identifiable information (PII) from individuals.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: Not applicable.

*Needs and Uses:* The Commission is seeking emergency processing of this information collection by May 8, 2007.

This collection is part of the Commission's on-going review of its media ownership rules. This review began in June 2006 when the Commission opened a new phase of a rulemaking proceeding that concerns its major broadcast ownership rules.

In July 2006 the Commission began to seek comment on how to address the issues raised by the opinion of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit in Prometheus v. FCC and on whether the media ownership rules are "necessary in the public interest as the result of competition." The Commission has six broadcast ownership rules (the national television multiple ownership rule, the local television multiple ownership rule, the radio/television cross-ownership rule, the dual network rule, the local radio ownership rule, and the newspaper/broadcast crossownership rule) that the public was invited to comment on.

The Commission particularly asked commenters to address the impact of various ownership rules on minorities, women, and small businesses. We also urged commenters to discuss the potential effects, if any, of the broadcast ownership rules currently in effect, and the effect of any changes on advertising markets, the ability of independent stations to compete, the availability of family-friendly and children's programming, the amount of indecent and/or violent content broadcast overthe-air, and the availability of independent programming.

Congress has also indicated a high level of interest in the topic of media ownership. One evidence of this is an August 1, 2006, letter to Chairman Martin that was signed by 84 members of the House of Representatives. These members expressed their view that "access to diverse sources of information is necessary to maintain the informed citizenry that is crucial to a functioning democracy." And, they encouraged the Commission "to invite the greatest level of public participation possible in your deliberation of new ownership rules." To do this the Commission decided to hold public hearings on this topic and conducted such hearings in Los Angeles (October 2006), Nashville (December 2006), Harrisburg, PA (February 2007). A public hearing will be held in Tampa on April 30, 2007, and additional public meetings are also planned.

Finally, to supplement the information received from the public and Congress, the Commission also decided (November 2006) to sponsor ten economic studies on media ownership rules. This information collection relates to the first of these studies-"How People Get News and Information." This study will be conducted by Nielsen Media Research under contract to the Commission. It will be conducted as a phone survey of 3,000 consumers and will ask questions about their use of media. It will identify consumers' primary, secondary, and tertiary sources of news and information; whether these sources change depending on the time of day or day of the week; and the frequency with which consumers access these sources.

Understanding whether consumers rely most heavily on traditional broadcast and print media outlets versus newer media sources such as the Internet will help the Commission fully understand the realities of today's market. This will allow the Commission, the Administration, and Congress to make decisions on media ownership based on current, on-theground realities and not on old