

proprietor with respect to which measures should be recommended for action. The FAA's approval or disapproval of FAR Part 150 program recommendations is measured according to the standards expressed in Part 150 and the Act and is limited to the following determinations:

a. The noise compatibility program was developed in accordance with the provisions and procedures of FAR Part 150;

b. Program measures are reasonably consistent with achieving the goals of reducing existing non-compatible land uses around the airport and preventing the introduction of additional non-compatible land uses;

c. Program measures would not create an undue burden on interstate or foreign commerce, unjustly discriminate against types of classes of aeronautical uses, violate the terms of airport grant agreements, or intrude into areas preempted by the Federal Government; and

d. Program measures relating to the use of flight procedures can be implemented within the period covered by the program without derogating safety, adversely affecting the efficient use and management of the navigable airspace and air traffic control systems, or adversely affecting other power and responsibilities of the Administrator prescribed by law.

Specific limitations with respect to FAA's approval of an airport noise compatibility program are delineated in FAR Part 150, section 150.5. Approval is not a determination concerning the acceptability of land uses under Federal, state, or local law. Approval does not by itself constitute an FAA implementing action. A request for Federal action or approval to implement specific noise compatibility measures may be required, and an FAA decision on the request may require an environmental assessment of the proposed action. Approval does not constitute a commitment by the FAA to financially assist in the implementation of the program nor a determination that all measures covered by the program are eligible for grant-in-aid funding from the FAA. Where federal funding is sought, requests for project grants must be submitted to the FAA Regional Office in Kansas City, Missouri.

St. Louis County submitted to the FAA on November 2, 2006, the noise exposure maps, descriptions, and other documentation produced during the noise compatibility planning study conducted from 2002 through 2006. The Spirit of St. Louis Airport noise exposure maps were determined by FAA to be in compliance with

applicable requirements on December 12, 2006. Notice of this determination was published in the **Federal Register**, Vol. 71, No. 242, on December 18, 2006.

The Spirit of St. Louis Airport study contains a proposed noise compatibility program comprised of actions designed for phased implementation by airport management and adjacent jurisdictions from 2006 to the year 2011. It was requested that the FAA evaluate and approve this material as a noise compatibility program as described in section 47504 of the Act. The FAA began its review of the program on December 12, 2006, and was required by a provision of the Act to approve or disapprove the program within 180 days (other than the use of new or modified flight procedures for noise control). Failure to approve or disapprove such program within the 180-day period shall be deemed to be an approval of such program.

The submitted program contained thirteen proposed actions for noise mitigation on and off the airport. The FAA completed its review and determined that the procedural and substantive requirements of the Act and FAR Part 150 have been satisfied. The overall program, therefore, was approved by the FAA effective April 6, 2007.

Outright approval was granted for twelve of the specific program elements. The extension of the north runway was disapproved for lack of noise benefit to noncompatible land uses exposed to noise levels of DNL 65 dBA.

These determinations are set forth in detail in a Record of Approval signed by the Central Region Airports Division Manager on April 6, 2007. The Record of Approval, as well as other evaluation materials and the documents comprising the submittal, are available for review at the FAA office listed above and at the administrative offices of St. Louis County. The Record of Approval also will be available on-line at <http://www.faa.gov/arp/environmental/14cfr150/index14.cfm>.

Issued in Central Region April 10, 2007.

George A. Hendon,

Central Region Airports Division Manager.

[FR Doc. 07-1906 Filed 4-17-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on Proposed Highway in Virginia

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Limitation on Claims for Judicial Review of Actions by FHWA.

SUMMARY: This notice announces actions taken by the FHWA that are final within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). The actions relate to a proposed highway project, Interstate 73 between Interstate 81 near the City of Roanoke and the Virginia/North Carolina State line south of the Town of Martinsville, in Roanoke, Franklin, and Henry Counties and the City of Roanoke, State of Virginia. Those actions grant approvals for the project.

DATES: By this notice, the FHWA is advising the public of final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the Federal agency actions on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before October 15, 2007. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less than 180 days for filing such claim, then that shorter time period still applies.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Edward S. Sundra, Senior Street, Richmond, Virginia, 23219-4725 telephone: (804) 775-3338; e-mail: Ed.Sundra@dot.gov. The FHWA Virginia Division Office's normal business hours are 7 a.m. to 5 p.m. (eastern time). You may also contact Mr. Bruce McAuliffe, Engineering Programs Supervisor, Virginia Department of Transportation, 1401 East Broad Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219; telephone (804) 786-6757; e-mail: Bruce.McAuliffe@vdot.virginia.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that the FHWA has taken final agency actions by issuing approvals for the following highway project in the State of Virginia: Interstate 73, between Interstate 81 near the City of Roanoke and the Virginia/North Carolina State line south of the Town of Martinsville, in Roanoke, Franklin, and Henry Counties and the City of Roanoke. The project covered by this notice will be approximately 116 km (72 mi) long and consists of improvements to existing Interstate 581 and U.S. Route 220 for approximately 9.7 k (6 mi) and construction on new location for approximately 106.3 km (66 mi). The project is part of the Interstate 73 high priority corridor that runs from Michigan to South Carolina, which was established by the U.S. Congress in 1991 with the passage of the Inter-modal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act. The actions by the Federal agencies, and the laws under which such actions were taken, are described in the Final environmental Impact Statement (FEIS)

for the project, approved on December 1, 2006, in the FHWA Record of Decision (ROD) issued on March 30, 2007, and in other documents in the FHWA administrative record file are available by contacting the FHWA or the Virginia Department of Transportation at the addresses provided above. The FHWA FEIS can be viewed and downloaded from the project Web site at <http://www.i73info.com/>.

This notice applies to all FHWA decisions and approvals as of the issuance date of this notice and all laws under which such actions were taken, including but not limited to:

1. General: National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4321–4351]; Federal-Aid Highway Act [23 U.S.C. 109].

2. Air: Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671(q).

3. Land: Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966 [49 U.S.C. 303]; Landscaping and Scenic Enhancement (Wildflowers) [23 U.S.C. 319].

4. Wildlife: Marine Mammal Protection Act [16 U.S.C. 1361], Fish Wildlife Coordination Act [16 U.S.C. 661–667(d)], Migratory Bird Treaty Act [16 U.S.C. 703–712].

5. Historic and Cultural Resources: Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended [16 U.S.C. 470(f) *et seq.*]; Archeological Resources Protection Act of 1977 [16 U.S.C. 470(aa)–11]; Archeological and Historic Preservation Act [16 U.S.C. 469–469(c)]; Native American Grave Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) [25 U.S.C. 3001–3013].

6. Social and Economic: Civil Rights Act of 1964 [42 U.S.C. 2000(d)–2000(d)(1)]; American Indian Religious Freedom Act [42 U.S.C. 1996]; Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) [7 U.S.C. 4201–4209].

7. Executive Orders: E.O. 11990 Protection of Wetlands; E.O. 11988 Floodplain Management; E.O. 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low Income Populations; E.O. 11593 Protection and Enhancement of Cultural Resources; E.O. 13007 Indian Sacred Sites; E.O. 13287 Preserve America; E.O. 13175 Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments; E.O. 11514 Protection and Enhancement of Environmental Quality; E.O. 13112 Invasive Species.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205 Highway Planning and Construction. The regulation implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on

Federal program and activities apply to this program.)

Authority: 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1).

Issued on: April 6, 2007.

Edward Sundra,

Senior Environmental Specialist, Richmond, Virginia.

[FR Doc. 07–1914 Filed 4–17–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-RY-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket Number FRA–2006–24646]

Union Pacific Railroad Company; Notice of Public Hearing and Extension of Comment Period

The Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) has petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) seeking relief from the requirements of the Rules, Standards and Instructions found in Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Section 236.586, Daily or after-trip test. Specifically, UP is seeking to change the administration of the first sentence in paragraph (a) from “intervals of not more than 2 months” to “intervals of not more than 92 days” for all cab signal devices on locomotives operated by UP. This waiver application is identified as Docket Number FRA–2006–24646.

FRA issued a public notice seeking comments from interested parties. After examining the carrier’s proposal and the submitted letters of protest from interested parties, FRA has determined that a public hearing is necessary before a final decision will be made on this proposal. Accordingly, a public hearing is hereby set for 9 a.m. on Thursday, May 31, 2007, at the Holiday Inn Express Hotel & Suites, 300 Holiday Frontage Road, in North Platte, Nebraska 69101. Interested parties are invited to present oral statements at the hearing.

The hearing will be informal and will be conducted by a representative designated by FRA in accordance with Rule 25 of the FRA Rules of Practice (49 CFR Section 211.25). The hearing will be a non-adversary proceeding and, as such, there will be no cross-examination of persons presenting statements. The FRA representative will make an opening statement outlining the scope of the hearing. After all initial statements have been completed, persons wishing to make brief rebuttal statements will be given the opportunity to do so in the same order in which they made their initial statements. Any

additional procedures, if necessary, will be announced at the hearing.

FRA is also extending the comment period to June 10, 2007. If information received at the public hearing warrants the need to extend the comment period further, a separate notice will be published indicating such extension.

All communications concerning these proceedings should identify the appropriate docket number (e.g.), Docket Number FRA–2006–24646) and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, DOT Docket Management Facility, Room PL–401 (Plaza Level), 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m.–5 p.m.) at the above facility. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the Internet at the docket facility’s Web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT’s complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477–78). The Statement may also be found at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

Issued in Washington, DC on April 12, 2007.

Michael J. Logue,

Deputy Associate of Administrator for Safety Compliance and Program Implementation.

[FR Doc. E7–7301 Filed 4–17–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

[Docket: PHMSA–98–4957]

Request for Public Comments and Office of Management and Budget Approval of an Existing Information Collection (2137–0614)

AGENCY: Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, PHMSA published a notice with request for comments in the **Federal Register** on February 12, 2007 (72 FR 6664) and