("POR") is August 1, 2006, to January 31, 2007. This review is now being rescinded because Fashion Living withdrew its request in a timely manner.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 13, 2007. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nicole Bankhead, AD/GVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14<sup>th</sup> Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 4003, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–9068.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Background**

On August 28, 1986, the Department published in the Federal Register an antidumping duty order covering petroleum wax candles from the PRC. See Antidumping Duty Order: Petroleum Wax Candles From the People's Republic of China, 51 FR 30686 (August 28, 1986). On February 16, 2007, Fashion Living, requested, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), and 19 CFR 351.214(b), that the Department conduct a new shipper review of this antidumping duty order covering the period August 1, 2006, through January 31, 2007.

On March 19, 2007, the Department initiated a new shipper review of Fashion Living. See Fashion Living Initiation. On March 20, 2007, Fashion Living filed a letter withdrawing its request for a new shipper review.

# **Rescission of Review**

The Department's regulations state that if a party that requested a new shipper review withdraws the request within 60 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, the Secretary will rescind the review. See 19 CFR 351.214(f)(1). Fashion Living withdrew its new shipper review request within the 60day deadline. Accordingly, we are rescinding this new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on petroleum wax candles from the PRC for Fashion Living covering the period August 1, 2006, through January 31, 2007.

#### Notification of Interested Parties

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping

duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APOs") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation that is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(f)(3).

Dated: April 6, 2007.

### Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7–7051 Filed 4–12–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

A-580-844

Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from The Republic of Korea: Notice of Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 10, 2006, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the 2004 - 2005 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on steel concrete reinforcing bars from the Republic of Korea. The period of review (POR) is September 1, 2004, through August 31, 2005.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have not made changes in the margin calculations for the companies covered by this review. Therefore, the final results do not differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted—average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

Furthermore, we are rescinding this review with respect to Hanbo Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. (Hanbo), INI Steel (INI) and Kosteel Co., Ltd. (Kosteel), as

discussed below in the section entitled "Partial Rescission of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 13, 2007.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Terre Keaton Stefanova or Katherine Johnson, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1280 or (202) 482-4929, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

The review covers the following producers/exporters of the subject merchandise: Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Korea Iron and Steel Co., Hwanyoung Steel Industries Co., Ltd. (collectively DSM/KISCO/HSI or "the respondent"),¹ and Dongil Industries Co., Ltd (Dongil). The period of review is September 1, 2004, through August 31, 2005.

October 10, 2006, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on steel concrete reinforcing bars from the Republic of Korea. See Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar From The Republic of Korea: Notice of Preliminary Results and Preliminary Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 71 FR 59440 (October 10, 2006) (Preliminary Results). We invited interested parties to comment on the Preliminary Results.

The petitioners filed a case brief on December 12, 2006.<sup>2</sup> The respondent filed a rebuttal brief on December 18, 2006. The respondent requested a hearing but subsequently withdrew its request. In lieu of a hearing, the petitioners and respondent requested separate *ex parte* meetings to discuss the yield strength model—matching criterion issue raised in their briefs. These *ex parte* meetings were held in January and February 2007 (*see* January 29, 2007, and February 5, 2007, memoranda to the file). We have conducted this administrative review in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the preliminarily results, we determined that DSM, KISCO and HSI were affiliated and collapsed them into a single entity for margin calculation purposes because they met the regulatory criteria for collapsing affiliated producers/exporters. No interested party objected to our preliminary determination to collapse these companies. Therefore, for the final results margin calculation, we have continued to treat these companies as a single entity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The petitioners are Nucor Corporation, Commercial Metals Company, and Gerdau Ameristeel Inc., collectively, Rebar Trade Action Coalition.

accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

## Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is all rebar sold in straight lengths, currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number 7214.20.00 or any other tariff item number. Specifically excluded are plain rounds (i.e., non-deformed or smooth bars) and rebar that has been further processed through bending or coating. The HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

#### **Partial Rescission of Review**

In the Preliminary Results, the Department preliminarily rescinded the review with respect to Hanbo, INI and Kosteel because these companies notified the Department that they had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. We confirmed these claims with U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), the Department may rescind an administrative review, in whole or with respect to a particular exporter or producer, if the Department concludes that, during the period covered by the review, there were no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise. Because we found no evidence of POR entries of subject merchandise from these companies based on our review of entry data from CBP and we did not receive any comments from interested parties on our preliminary rescission decision, we are rescinding this review with respect to these companies. See, e.g., Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars From Turkey; Final Results, Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review in Part, and Determination not to Revoke in Part, 68 FR 53127 (September 9, 2003) (after finding no evidence of entries of subject merchandise from two companies that made "no-shipments" claims, the Department stated that "consistent with our practice, we are rescinding our review for Diler and Ekinciler").

# **Analysis of Comments Received**

The sole issue raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this antidumping duty administrative review is addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memo) from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated April 9, 2007, which is hereby adopted by this

notice. The issue which parties have raised and to which we have responded in the Decision Memo pertains to model–matching criteria. Parties can find a complete discussion of the issue raised in this review and the corresponding recommendation in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content

### **Facts Available**

In the Preliminary Results, pursuant to sections 776(a)(2)(A) and 776(b) of the Act, we based the dumping margin for Dongil on total adverse facts available (AFA) because Dongil failed to respond to the Department's antidumping questionnaire. A complete explanation of the application of AFA and the corroboration of the selected AFA rate can be found in the Preliminary Results, 71 FR at 59441-59443. The Department has neither received any comments from interested parties with regard to its preliminary decision to apply AFA to Dongil, nor obtained any additional information which would lead us to change that decision. Accordingly, for the final results, we continue to apply an AFA rate of 102.28 percent to Dongil.

# **Final Results of Review**

We determine that the following weighted—average margin percentages exist:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd./ Korea Iron and Steel Co., Ltd./ Hwanyoung Steel Industries	
Co., Ltd	0.00 102.28

#### Assessment

The Department shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b). The Department will issue assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review if any importer—specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above de minimis (i.e., is not less than 0.50

percent). With respect to DSM/KISCO/HSI, we calculated an importer–specific assessment rate for the subject merchandise by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all the U.S. sales examined and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales examined.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by DSM/KISCO/HSI included in these final results of review for which DSM/KISCO/HSI did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the "All Others" rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

With respect to the companies for which this review was rescinded, although they did not have any sales or exports of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR, their subject merchandise may have entered the United States during the POR under their CBP antidumping case number by way of intermediaries (without their knowledge). Fifteen days after publication of this notice, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the "All Others" rate in effect on the date of the entry. See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) no cash deposit will be required for DSM/ KISCO/HSI and the cash deposit rate for Dongil will be 102.28 percent; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fairvalue (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash

deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 22.89 percent. This rate is the "All Others" rate from the LTFV investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/ destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221.

Dated: April 9, 2007.

#### David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7–7084 Filed 4–12–07; 8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# National Institute of Standards and Technology

## Advanced Technology Program Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Standards and Technology; Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of partially closed meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. app. 2, notice is hereby given that the Advanced Technology Program Advisory Committee, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) will meet Tuesday, May 15, 2007 from 8:30 a.m. to 3 p.m. The Advanced Technology Program Advisory Committee is composed of ten members appointed by the Director of NIST who

are eminent in such fields as business, research, new product development, engineering, education, and management consulting. The purpose of this meeting is to review and make recommendations regarding general policy for the Advanced Technology Program (ATP), its organization, its budget, and its programs within the framework of applicable national policies as set forth by the President and the Congress. The agenda will include an ATP Update and a presentation on "The Data Enclave." A discussion scheduled to begin at 11 a.m. and to end at 3 p.m. on May 15, 2007, on ATP budget issues will be closed. Agenda may change to accommodate Committee business.

**DATES:** The meeting will convene Tuesday, May 15, at 8:30 a.m. and will adjourn at 3 p.m. on Tuesday, May 15, 2007.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the National Institute of Standards and Technology, Administration Building, Employees' Lounge, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899. All visitors to the National Institute of Standards and Technology site will have to pre-register to be admitted. Please submit your name, time of arrival, e-mail address and phone number to Donna Paul no later than Friday, May 11, and she will provide you with instructions for admittance. Ms. Paul's e-mail address is donna.paul@nist.gov and her phone number is 301/975–2162.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Donna Paul, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899–4700, telephone number (301) 975–2162.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The agenda will include an ATP Update and a presentation on The Data Enclave. A discussion scheduled to begin at 11 a.m. and to end at 3 p.m. on May 15, 2007, on ATP budget issues will be closed. Agenda may change to accommodate Committee business. The Assistant Secretary for Administration, with the concurrence of the General Counsel, formally determined on January 9, 2007, that portions of the meeting of the Advanced Technology Program Advisory Committee which involve discussion of proposed funding of the Advanced Technology Program may be closed in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B), because that portion will divulge matters the premature disclosure of which would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of proposed agency actions.

Dated: April 5, 2007.

## William Jeffrey,

Director.

[FR Doc. E7–7075 Filed 4–12–07; 8:45 am]

## BILLING CODE 3510-13-P

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# National Institute of Standards and Technology

## National Fire Codes: Request for Proposals for Revision of Codes and Standards

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Standards and Technology, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) proposes to revise some of its fire safety codes and standards and requests proposals from the public to amend existing or begin the process of developing new NFPA fire safety codes and standards. The purpose of this request is to increase public participation in the system used by NFPA to develop its codes and standards. The publication of this notice of request for proposals by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) on behalf of NFPA is being undertaken as a public service; NIST does not necessarily endorse, approve, or recommend any of the standards referenced in the notice.

The NFPA process provides ample opportunity for public participation in the development of its codes and standards. All NFPA codes and standards are revised and updated every three to five years in Revision Cycles that begin twice each year and that takes approximately two years to complete. Each Revision Cycle proceeds according to a published schedule that includes final dates for all major events in the process. The process contains five basic steps that are followed both for developing new documents as well as revising existing documents. These steps are: Calling for Proposals; Publishing the Proposals in the Report on Proposals (ROP); Calling for Comments on the Committee's disposition of the proposals and these Comments are published in the Report on Comments (ROC); having a Technical Report Session at the NFPA Annual Meeting; and finally, the Standards Council Consideration and Issuance of documents.

Note: Under new rules effective Fall 2005, anyone wishing to make Amending Motions on the Technical Committee Reports (ROP and ROC) must signal their intention by submitting a Notice of Intent to Make a Motion by the Deadline stated in the ROC.