which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, we believe that this rule should be categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation. This event establishes a safety zone therefore paragraph (34)(g) of the Instruction applies.

A final "Environmental Analysis Check List" is available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. Comments on this section will be considered before we make the final decision on whether the rule should be categorically excluded from further environmental review.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

## PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Pub. L. 107–295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. A new temporary § 165.T09–011 is added as follows:

#### §165.T09–011 Safety zone; Michigan Aerospace Challenge, Muskegon Lake, Muskegon, MI.

(a) *Location.* The following area is a temporary safety zone: All waters of Muskegon Lake and within the arc of a circle with a 1500-yard radius from the rocket launch site located at the West Michigan Dock and Market Corp facility with its center in position 43°14′21″ N, 086°15′35″ W (NAD 83).

(b) *Effective period.* This regulation is effective from 8 a.m. (local) on April 28, 2007 to 6 p.m. (local), on April 28, 2007.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) In accordance with the general regulations in section 165.23 of this part, entry into, transiting, or anchoring within this safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan, or his designated on-scene representative. (2) This safety zone is closed to all vessel traffic, except as may be permitted by the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan or his designated onscene representative.

(3) The "on-scene representative" of the Captain of the Port is any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant, or petty officer who has been designated by the Captain of the Port to act on his behalf. The on-scene representative of the Captain of the Port will be aboard either a Coast Guard or Coast Guard Auxiliary vessel. The Captain of the Port or his designated on-scene representative may be contacted via VHF Channel 16.

(4) Vessel operators desiring to enter or operate within the safety zone shall contact the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan or his on-scene representative to obtain permission to do so. Vessel operators given permission to enter or operate in the safety zone must comply with all directions given to them by the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan or his on-scene representative.

Dated: March 31, 2007.

#### Bruce C. Jones,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Coast Guard Sector Lake Michigan. [FR Doc. E7–6777 Filed 4–10–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

## **Coast Guard**

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[CGD05-07-034]

#### RIN 1625-AA00

# Safety Zone; Fireworks Display, Potomac River, Oxon Hill, MD

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS. **ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone upon certain waters of the Potomac River during a fireworks display. This action is necessary to provide for the safety of life on navigable waters during a fireworks display launched from a barge, located in a cove near Oxon Hill, Maryland. This action will restrict vessel traffic in a portion of the Potomac River.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from 8 p.m. to 10 p.m. on May 31, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, are part of this docket and are available for inspection or copying at Commander, U.S. Coast Guard Sector Baltimore, 2401 Hawkins Point Road, Building 70, Waterways Management Division, Baltimore, Maryland 21226– 1791, between 8 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Ronald L. Houck, at Coast Guard Sector Baltimore, Waterways Management Division, at telephone number (410) 576–2674 or (410) 576–2693. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Regulatory Information**

We did not publish a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation. Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing an NPRM. There was insufficient time to allow for the publication of an NPRM followed by a temporary final rule before the effective date. Any delay of the effective date of this rule would be contrary to the public interest by exposing the public to the known dangers associated with Fireworks Displays.

#### **Background and Purpose**

Each year, thousands of spectators attend outdoor fireworks displays discharged from vessels or floating platforms on or near the navigable waters of the United States. Accidental discharge of fireworks and falling hot embers are a safety concern during such events. The Coast Guard has the authority to impose appropriate controls on marine events that may pose a threat to persons, vessels and facilities under its jurisdiction. The Coast Guard is establishing a safety zone that will be enforced during a fireworks display held over the Potomac River, in a cove near Oxon Hill, Maryland. The rule is needed to control movement through a portion of the waterway that is expected to be populated by vessels seeking to view the fireworks display.

#### **Discussion of Proposed Rule**

On May 31, 2007, the Peterson Companies, National Harbor, will sponsor a fireworks display launched from a barge located on the Potomac River near Oxon Hill, in Prince George's County, Maryland. The planned event includes an aerial fireworks display beginning at 9 p.m. Due to the need for vessel control during the fireworks display, vessel traffic will be restricted to provide for the safety of spectators and transiting vessels.

The purpose of this rule is to promote maritime safety, and to protect the environment and mariners transiting the area from the potential hazards due to falling embers or other debris associated with a fireworks display from a barge. This rule establishes a safety zone on the waters of the Potomac River, within a radius of 150 vards around a fireworks barge, which will be located at position latitude 38°47′24.2″ N, longitude 077°01'18.7" W. The Coast Guard anticipates a spectator fleet during this event. The rule will impact the movement of all vessels operating in a specified area of the Potomac River. Interference with normal port operations is unlikely; however, if required, will be kept to the minimum considered necessary to ensure the safety of life on the navigable waters immediately before, during, and after the scheduled event.

## **Regulatory Evaluation**

This rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order.

We expect the economic impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation is unnecessary.

#### **Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule will affect the following entities: The owners or operators of vessels intending to operate, remain or anchor within certain waters of the Potomac River, within a radius of 150 yards around a fireworks barge located at position latitude 38°47′24.2″ N, longitude 077°01′18.7″ W, from 8 p.m. to 10 p.m. on May 31, 2007. This safety zone will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons. This rule will be in effect for two hours, commercial vessel traffic in this area is limited, vessels not constrained by their draft may proceed safely around the safety zone, and the Coast Guard will issue maritime advisories widely available to users of the river before the effective period.

## **Assistance for Small Entities**

Under section 213(a) of the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact Coast Guard Sector Baltimore, Waterways Management Division, at telephone number (410) 576-2674. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

## **Collection of Information**

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501– 3520.).

## Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

#### **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

## **Taking of Private Property**

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

## **Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### **Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and would not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

## **Indian Tribal Governments**

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

## **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions **Concerning Regulations That** Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### **Technical Standards**

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

#### Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.lD

and Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 5100.1, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have concluded that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, this rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation. This rule establishes a safety zone.

A final "Environmental Analysis Check List" and a final "Categorical Exclusion Determination" will be available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

## List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

■ For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

## PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1226, 1231; 46 U.S.C. Chapter 701; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g), 6.04-1, 6.04-6, and 160.5; Pub. L. 107-295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Add temporary § 165.T05–034 to read as follows:

#### §165.T05–034 Safety zone; Fireworks Display, Potomac River, Oxon Hill, MD.

(a) Location. The following area is a safety zone: All waters of the Potomac River near Oxon Hill, Maryland, surface to bottom, within a radius of 150 yards around a fireworks barge which will be located at position latitude 38° 47' 24.2" N, longitude 077° 01′ 18.7″ W. All coordinates reference Datum NAD 1983.

(b) Definition. As used in this section the Captain of the Port Baltimore means the Commander, Coast Guard Sector Baltimore or any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant or petty officer who has been authorized by the Captain of the Port to act on his behalf.

(c) *Regulations*. The general regulations governing safety zones, found in §165.23, apply to the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this section.

(1) All vessels and persons are prohibited from entering this zone, except as authorized by the Captain of the Port, Baltimore, Maryland.

(2) Persons or vessels requiring entry into or passage within the zone must request authorization from the Captain of the Port or his designated representative by telephone at (410) 576-2693 or by marine band radio on VHF channel 16 (156.8 MHz).

(3) All Coast Guard vessels enforcing this safety zone can be contacted on marine band radio VHF channel 16 (156.8 MHz).

(4) The operator of any vessel within or in the immediate vicinity of this safety zone shall:

(i) Stop the vessel immediately upon being directed to do so by any commissioned, warrant or petty officer on board a vessel displaying a Coast Guard Ensign, and

(ii) Proceed as directed by any commissioned, warrant or petty officer on board a vessel displaying a Coast Guard Ensign.

(d) Enforcement. The U.S. Coast Guard may be assisted in the patrol and enforcement of the zone by Federal, State and local agencies.

(e) Enforcement period. This section will be enforced from 8 p.m. to 10 p.m. on May 31, 2007.

Dated: April 2, 2007.

#### Jonathan C. Burton,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Captain of the Port, Baltimore, Maryland. [FR Doc. E7-6784 Filed 4-10-07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

## DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

38 CFR Part 17

RIN 2900-AE87

## Per Diem for Nursing Home Care of Veterans in State Homes; Correction

**AGENCY:** Department of Veterans Affairs. **ACTION:** Correcting amendment.

SUMMARY: This document contains a minor correction to the final regulation that the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) published in 65 FR 23412 on January 6, 2000. The regulation relates to the payment of per diem to State homes that provide nursing home care to eligible veterans.

DATES: Effective date: April 11. 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Candice Cornish, Office of Regulation Policy and Management (00REG), Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20420, (202) 273-9957.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The VA published a document in the Federal Register on January 6, 2000, 65 FR

23412, revising its medical regulations concerning payment of per diem to State homes that provide nursing home care to eligible veterans. In that document, we failed to properly punctuate the end of § 17.190(c). This document corrects that error by removing ", and" and adding, in its place, a period.

## List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 17

Administrative practice and procedure, Claims, Disability benefits, Health care, Pensions, Radioactive materials, Veterans, Vietnam.

#### Robert C. McFetridge,

Assistant to the Secretary for Regulation Policy and Management.

■ For the reason set out in the preamble, VA is correcting 38 CFR part 17 as follows.

## PART 17-MEDICAL

■ 1. The authority citation for part 17 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 501, 1721, and as stated in specific sections.

#### §17.190 [Corrected]

■ 2. In § 17.190, paragraph (c) is amended by removing ", and" and adding, in its place, a period at the end of the paragraph.

[FR Doc. E7-6762 Filed 4-10-07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8320-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 180

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2006-0576; FRL-8121-3]

#### **Tetraconazole; Pesticide Tolerance**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This regulation establishes tolerances for residues of tetraconazole in or on peanut, pecan, sugarbeet and soybean. Sipcam Agro USA, Inc. and Isagro S.p.A. requested these tolerances under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA).

DATES: This regulation is effective April 11, 2007. Objections and requests for hearings must be received on or before June 11, 2007, and must be filed in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178 (see also Unit I.C. of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION).

**ADDRESSES:** EPA has established a docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-