

conference in connection with these investigations for 9:30 a.m. on Friday, April 20, 2007, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC. Parties wishing to participate in the conference should contact Russell Duncan (202-708-4727) not later than April 18, 2007, to arrange for their appearance. Parties in support of the imposition of antidumping duties in these investigations and parties in opposition to the imposition of such duties will each be collectively allocated one hour within which to make an oral presentation at the conference. A nonparty who has testimony that may aid the Commission's deliberations may request permission to present a short statement at the conference.

Written submissions. As provided in §§ 201.8 and 207.15 of the Commission's rules, any person may submit to the Commission on or before April 25, 2007, a written brief containing information and arguments pertinent to the subject matter of the investigations. Parties may file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the conference no later than three days before the conference. If briefs or written testimony contain BPI, they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by § 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in II(C) of the Commission's Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002).

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the investigations must be served on all other parties to the investigations (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: These investigations are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.12 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: April 2, 2007.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E7-6601 Filed 4-6-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-1110 (Preliminary)]

Sodium Hexametaphosphate (SHMP) From China

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject investigation, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 733(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673b(a)) (the Act), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from China of sodium hexametaphosphate, provided for in subheadings 2835.39.50 and 3823.90.39 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

Commencement of Final Phase Investigation

Pursuant to § 207.18 of the Commission's rules, the Commission also gives notice of the commencement of the final phase of its investigation. The Commission will issue a final phase notice of scheduling, which will be published in the **Federal Register** as provided in section 207.21 of the Commission's rules, upon notice from the Department of Commerce (Commerce) of an affirmative preliminary determination in the investigation under section 733(b) of the Act, or, if the preliminary determination is negative, upon notice of an affirmative final determination in that investigation under section 735(a) of the Act. Parties that filed entries of appearance in the preliminary phase of the investigation need not enter a separate appearance for the final phase of the investigation. Industrial users, and, if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping and countervailing duty investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigation.

Background

On February 8, 2007, a petition was filed with the Commission and Commerce by ICL Performance

Products, LP, St. Louis, MO, and Innophos, Inc., Cranbury, NJ, alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of LTFV imports of sodium hexametaphosphate from China. Accordingly, effective February 8, 2007, the Commission instituted antidumping duty investigation No. 731-TA-1110 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission's investigation and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of 72 FR 7458, February 15, 2007. The conference was held in Washington, DC, on March 1, 2007, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on March 26, 2007. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3912 (April 2007), entitled *Sodium Hexametaphosphate from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-1110 (Preliminary)*.

Issued: April 3, 2007.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E7-6599 Filed 4-6-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

Notice is hereby given that on March 14, 2007, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States of America v. William Montgomery, et al.*, Civil Action No. 2:05-CV-0131 was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan.

In this action, pursuant to Sections 309(b) and (g), 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(b) and (g) of the Clean Water Act, the United States sought judicial enforcement of an administrative Consent Agreement and Final Order ("CAFO") that William Montgomery ("Montgomery") and CCMS Associates, Inc. ("CCMS") entered into on September 17, 2003. The CAFO resolved violations by Montgomery and CCMS of the Clean Water Act, requiring them to pay a \$30,000 civil penalty and restore 18.51 acres of wetlands. The complaint also

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).