

through September 30, 2006. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection 15 days after the publication of this notice of rescission of administrative review.

This notice is in accordance with section 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: March 23, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-6405 Filed 4-4-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-405-803, A-401-808, A-421-811, A-201-834)

Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Finland, Sweden, the Netherlands, and Mexico: Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Determinations of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 5, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tyler Weinhold, Robert James (Mexico and Finland), or Angelica Mendoza (Sweden and the Netherlands), AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1121, (202) 482-0649, and (202) 482-3019, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 30, 2006, the Department published a notice of initiation of an antidumping duty administrative review for, *inter alia*, Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Finland, Sweden, the Netherlands, and Mexico for the December 27, 2004, through June 30, 2006, period of review (POR). See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 71 FR 51573 (August 30, 2006). On December 11, 2006, the Department received requests from Aqualon Company, a division of Hercules, Inc. (Petitioner) that a cost investigation be initiated in the review of CMC from Finland, Sweden, and the Netherlands. See Letters from Petitioner dated December 8, 2006. On January 22, 2007,

the Department initiated a sales below cost of production investigation in the instant review of CMC from the Netherlands. See January 22, 2007, memorandum to Richard Weible, regarding Petitioner's allegation of sales below the cost of production in the review of CMC from the Netherlands. On January 24, 2007, the Department initiated a sales below cost of production investigation in the instant review of CMC from Sweden. See January 24, 2007, memorandum to Richard Weible, regarding Petitioner's allegation of sales below the cost of production in the review of CMC from Sweden. On February 5, 2007, the Department initiated a sales below cost of production investigation in the instant review of CMC from Finland. See February 5, 2007, memorandum to Richard Weible, regarding Petitioner's allegation of sales below the cost of production in the review of CMC from Finland. The preliminary results for these administrative reviews are currently due no later than April 2, 2007.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to complete the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 245 day time period for the preliminary results to 365 days.

The Department has determined it is not practicable to complete these reviews within the statutory time limit because we require additional time to conduct sales below-cost investigations in these administrative reviews and to collect and analyze other information needed for our preliminary determinations. Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limits for completion of the preliminary results of these administrative reviews until no later than July 31, 2007, which is 365 days from the last day of the anniversary month of these orders. We intend to issue the final results in these reviews no later than 120 days after publication of the preliminary results notices.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 30, 2007.

Stephen Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-6381 Filed 4-4-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-449-804

Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Latvia; Final Results of the Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 27, 2006, the Department ("the Department") published a notice of preliminary results of the full sunset review of the antidumping duty order on steel concrete reinforcing bars ("rebar") from Latvia pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). As a result of this review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 5, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Audrey R. Twyman or Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: 202-482-3534 and 202-482-0182, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 27, 2006, the Department published a notice of preliminary results of the full sunset review of the antidumping duty order on rebar from Latvia pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See *Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Latvia; Preliminary Results of the Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order*, 71 FR 68544 (November 27, 2006) ("*Preliminary Results*"). We provided interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. The Department received a case brief from Joint Stock Company Liepajas Metalurgs on January 16, 2007, and a rebuttal brief from the Rebar Trade Action Coalition and its individual producer members Nucor Corporation, CMC Steel Group, and Gerdau Ameristeel, as well as TAMCO Steel, and Cascade Steel Rolling Mills, Inc. on

January 22, 2007. A hearing was not held because none was requested.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is all steel concrete reinforcing bars sold in straight lengths, currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) under item numbers 7214.20.00, 7228.30.8050, 7222.11.0050, 7222.30.0000, 7228.60.6000, 7228.20.1000, or any other tariff item number. Specifically excluded are plain rounds (*i.e.*, non-deformed or smooth bars) and rebar that has been further processed through bending or coating.

HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Latvia; Final Results,” to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated March 29, 2007 (“Decision Memo”), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail if the antidumping duty order were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in room B-099 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

The Department determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on rebar from Latvia is likely to lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average margins:

Manufacturers/Producers/Exporters	Weighted-Average Margin (Percentage)
Joint Stock Company Liepajas Metalurgs	17.21
All Others	17.21

This notice serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the

disposition of proprietary material disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This sunset review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 29, 2007.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-6398 Filed 4-4-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**International Trade Administration
(C-357-813)**

Honey from Argentina: Notice of Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 5, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elfi Blum, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0197.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 1, 2006, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on Honey from Argentina. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 71 FR 69543 (December 1, 2006). On December 29, 2006, the American Honey Producers Association and the Sioux Honey Association (petitioners) timely requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on honey from Argentina for the period January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006. Shortly thereafter, the Department published a notice of the initiation of the countervailing duty administrative review of honey from Argentina for the period January 1, 2006 through December 21, 2006. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty*

Administrative Reviews, 72 FR 5005 (February 2, 2007). On March 9, 2007, petitioners withdrew their request for this administrative review of the countervailing duty order of honey from Argentina. No other party requested an administrative review of this countervailing duty order.

Rescission of Review

The Department’s regulations at section 351.213(d)(1) provide that the Department will rescind an administrative review if the party that requested the review withdraws its request for review within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, or withdraws its request at a later date if the Department determines that it is reasonable to extend the time limit for withdrawing the request. Petitioners, the only requestors of this review, submitted their request for withdrawal in a timely manner. Therefore, the Department is rescinding the administrative review of the countervailing duty order on honey from Argentina for the period January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection within 15 days of publication of this notice.

Notification Regarding APOs

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 351.305 of the Department’s regulations, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and section 351.213(d)(4) of the Department’s regulations.

Dated: March 30, 2007.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-6385 Filed 4-4-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S