TA–W–61,004; Seydel Companies (The), Pendergrass, GA: February 12, 2006

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(b) (supplier to a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA) and Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

- TA–W–60,855A; Ixtlan Technology, LLC, Adrian, MI: January 15, 2006
- TA–W–60,870; Lear Corporation, Interior Systems Division, Sidney, OH: January 25, 2006
- TA–W–60,959; Appalachian Veneer and Lumber, dba Mundy's Lumber and Veneer, Marble, NC: February 12, 2006
- TA–W–61,031; Hitachi Transport System (America), Ltd., Greenville, SC: February 26, 2006

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(b) (downstream producer for a firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for TAA based on increased imports from or a shift in production to Mexico or Canada) and Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

None

Negative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, it has been determined that the requirements of 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) have not been met for the reasons specified.

The Department has determined that criterion (1) of Section 246 has not been met. Workers at the firm are 50 years of age or older.

None

The Department has determined that criterion (2) of Section 246 has not been met. Workers at the firm possess skills that are easily transferable.

- TA-W-60,788; Hearth and Home Technologies, Division of HNI Industries, Mt. Pleasant, IA.
- TA–W–60,893; Wayne Wire Air Bag Components, Inc., Div. of Wayne Wire Cloth Products, Inc., Kalkaska, MI.

The Department has determined that criterion (3) of Section 246 has not been met. Competition conditions within the workers' industry are not adverse. *None*

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the eligibility criteria for worker adjustment assistance have not been met for the reasons specified. Because the workers of the firm are not eligible to apply for TAA, the workers cannot be certified eligible for ATAA.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.A.) and (a)(2)(B)(II.A.) (employment decline) have not been met.

TA–W–61,015; Dan D Company, Tillamook, OR.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.B.) (Sales or production, or both, did not decline) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met. *None*

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

- TA–W–59,773; Euro Matic Plastics, Wilson, NC.
- TA–W–60,623; Holiday Housewares, Inc., Leominster, MA.
- TA–W–60,734; Primary Staffing Services, Inc., Workers Employed at Pearson Artworks, York, PA.
- TA–W–60,801; Collins and Aikman— Tooling and Equipment Group, Dover, NH.
- TA–W–60,821; Hillsdale Automotive, A Subsidiary of Eaglepicher, Traverse City, MI.
- TA–W–60,882; CAMACO, LLC, Mariana Division, Marianna, AR.
- TA–W–60,883; Gleason Works (The), Rochester, NY.
- TA–W–60,894; Carpenter Company, Leominster, MA.
- TA–W–60,827; Sun Microsystems, Inc., Louisville, CO.
- TA–W–60,886; Liebert Corporation, Irvine, CA.

The investigation revealed that the predominate cause of worker separations is unrelated to criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.C) (shift in production to a foreign country under a free trade agreement or a beneficiary country under a preferential trade agreement, or there has been or is likely to be an increase in imports). *None*

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA–W–60,086; Ford Motor Company, Product Development and Engineering Center, Dearborn, MI.

- TA–W–60,843; Clorox Services Company, A Subsidiary of the Clorox Company, Oakland, CA.
- TA–W–60,971; PHD Michigan, LLC, A Subsidiary of PHD Media, LLC, Troy, MI.

TA–W–61,027; World Aviation Rewind, Santa Ana, CA.

TA–W–61,052; Allied Systems, Chesapeake, VA.

The investigation revealed that criteria of Section 222(b)(2) has not been met. The workers' firm (or subdivision) is not a supplier to or a downstream producer for a firm whose workers were certified eligible to apply for TAA.

None

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of March 12 through March 16, 2007. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C–5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: March 23,2007.

Ralph DiBattista,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7–5853 Filed 3–29–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-61,115]

Hoke, Inc.; Berlin, CT; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on March 15, 2007 in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers of Hoke, Inc., Berlin, Connecticut.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by a duplicate petition (TA–W– 61,106) instituted on March 15, 2007 that is the subject of an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued. Further investigation in this case would duplicate efforts; therefore the investigation under this petition has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of March 2007.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E7–5850 Filed 3–29–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P