significant increase in potential for, or consequences from, a radiological accident. Therefore, the categorical exclusion defined in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(11) is applicable, and no further environmental evaluation is needed.

4. Further Information

Documents related to this action, including the application for amendment and supporting documentation, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at http://www.nrc.gov/ reading-rm/adams.html. From this site, you can access the NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The ADAMS accession numbers for the documents related to this notice are: Licensee request of September 18, 2006, ML062690475. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov. The NRC exemption dated August 28, 1998, is available in the PDR.

These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC PDR, O 1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

5. Conclusion

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, the exemption is authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to public health and safety, and is consistent with the common defense and security. Also, special circumstances are present. Therefore, the Commission hereby grants CYAPCO an exemption to the licensee's previous exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(q), as granted by NRC on August 28, 1998 (ML980903182); which requires EPs to meet 10 CFR 50.47(b) and Appendix E to Part 50. Specifically, this exemption allows onsite EP exercises to be conducted once every two years, in lieu of the annual requirement currently in place.

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 16th day of March, 2007.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Larry W. Camper,

Director, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs.

[FR Doc. E7–5248 Filed 3–21–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 052-00007]

Notice of Issuance of Early Site Permit for Exelon Generation Company, LLC, Site Located 6 Miles East of the City of Clinton, IL

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of issuance of early site permit.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joelle L. Starefos, Senior Project Manager, AP1000 Projects Branch, Division of New Reactor Licensing, Office of New Reactors, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001. Telephone: (301) 415– 8488; Fax number: (301) 415–2390; email: jls1@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.106, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is providing notice of the issuance of Early Site Permit (ESP) ESP-001 to Exelon Generation Company, LLC (EGC or the permit holder), for approval of a site located 6 miles east of the city of Clinton, Illinois, for one or more nuclear power facilities separate from the filing of an application for a construction permit or combined license for such a facility. The NRC has found that the application for an ESP filed by EGC complies with the applicable requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the applicable rules and regulations of the Commission, and all required notifications to other agencies or bodies have been duly made. Based on consideration of the site criteria contained in 10 CFR Part 100, a reactor, or reactors, having design characteristics that fall within the site characteristics and controlling parameters of the EGC ESP Site can be constructed and operated without undue risk to the health and safety of the public. There is reasonable assurance that the permit holder will comply with the regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, and the health and safety of the public will not be

endangered. Issuance of an ESP to the permit holder will not be inimical to the common defense and security or the health and safety of the public.

There is no significant impediment to the development of emergency plans, as referenced in 10 CFR 52.17(b)(1) and 10 CFR 52.18, "Standards for Review of Applications." The descriptions of contacts and arrangements made with Federal, State, and local governmental agencies with emergency planning responsibilities, as set forth in 10 CFR 52.17(b)(3), are acceptable. Major features A, B, C, D, E, F, G, I, J, K, L, O, and P of the emergency plan are acceptable to the extent specified in NUREG-1844, "Safety Evaluation Report for an Early Site Permit (ESP) at the Exelon Generation Company, LLC (EGC) ESP Site." The issuance of this ESP, subject to the Environmental Protection Plan and the conditions for the protection of the environment set forth herein, is in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and with applicable sections of 10 CFR Part 51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions," as referenced by Subpart A of 10 CFR Part 52, "Early Site Permits; Standard Design Certifications; and Combined Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants," and all applicable requirements therein have been satisfied. The site redress plan incorporated into this permit demonstrates that there is reasonable assurance that redress carried out under the plan, if required, will achieve an environmentally stable and aesthetically acceptable site suitable for whatever non-nuclear use may conform with local zoning laws, and those activities performed described in the site redress plan will not result in any significant adverse environmental impact that cannot be redressed. The permit holder's request for the proposed permit was previously noticed in the Federal Register on December 12, 2003, (68 FR 69426) with a notice of hearing and opportunity to petition for leave to intervene.

This early site permit complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and NRC's rules and regulations as set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I. Accordingly, Early Site Permit No. ESP–001 was issued to Exelon Generation Company, LLC on March 15, 2007, and is effective immediately.

II. Further Information

The NRC has prepared a Safety Evaluation Report (SER) and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), that document the information that was reviewed and NRC's conclusions. In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," details with respect to this action, including the SER, EIS, and accompanying documentation included in the early site permit package, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. From this site, members of the public can access the

NRC's Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The ADAMS accession numbers for the documents related to this notice are:

ML070670140	
ML061210203	NUREG-1844—"Safety Evaluation Report for an Early Site Permit (ESP) at the Exelon Generation Company,
	LLC (EGC) ESP Site".
ML061930264	NUREG-1815 Vol 1-"Environmental Impact Statement for an Early Site Permit (ESP) at the Exelon ESP
	Site" Main Report.
ML061930275	NUREG-1815 Vol 2"Environmental Impact Statement for an Early Site Permit (ESP) at the Exelon ESP
	Site" Appendices A–K.
ML061100260	Exelon Early Site Permit Application—Revision 4.

Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), O 1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of March, 2007.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Stephanie M. Coffin,**

Chief, AP1000 Projects Branch, Division of New Reactor Licensing, Office of New Reactors.

[FR Doc. E7–5247 Filed 3–21–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Florida Power Corporation; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing; Correction

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory

Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Issuance; Correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects a notice published in the **Federal Register** on March 13, 2007 (72 FR 11381), which informs the public that the NRC is considering issuance of an amendment to Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR–72. This action is necessary to correct the name of the licensee.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beverly A. Clayton, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 205550001, telephone: 301–415–3475, e-mail: *bac2@nrc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On page 11381, appearing near the bottom of the second column, the heading is corrected to read as above, and in the last line of the second column and the first line of the third column, "Florida Power and Light" is corrected to read "Florida Power Corporation."

Dated in Rockville, Maryland, this 16th day of March 2007.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Stewart N. Bailey,

Senior Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch II–2, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. E7–5249 Filed 3–21–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[HLWRS-ISG-02]

Preclosure Safety Analysis—Level of Information and Reliability Estimation; Availability of Final Interim Staff Guidance Document

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is announcing the availability of the final interim staff guidance (ISG) document HLWRS-ISG-02, "Preclosure Safety Analysis—Level of Information and Reliability Estimation," and NRC responses to the public comments received on that document. The ISG clarifies or refines the guidance provided in the Yucca Mountain Review Plan (YMRP) (NUREG-1804, Revision 2, July 2003). The YMRP provides guidance to NRC staff to evaluate a potential license application for a high-level radioactive waste at a geologic repository

constructed or operated at Yucca Mountain (YM), Nevada.

ADDRESSES: The document HLWRS-ISG-02 is available electronically at NRC's Electronic Reading Room, at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html. From this site, a member of the public can access NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The ADAMS accession number for ISG-02 is ML070260204. If an individual does not have access to ADAMS, or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, or (301) 415-4737. or (by e-mail) at pdr@nrc.gov.

This document may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at NRC's PDR, Mail Stop: O–1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents, for a fee.

NRC Responses to Public Comments on HLWRS-ISG-02: In preparing final HLWRS-ISG-02, "Preclosure Safety Analysis—Level of Information and Reliability Estimation," ADAMS ML070260204, the NRC staff reviewed and considered 23 comments, including two editorial comments, received from two organizations during the public comment period. Two of the comments were identical; three comments were related to the ISG process; one comment endorsed NRC's recognition of the use of the published reliability values for structures, systems, and components (SSCs); and the remaining comments included recommendations on specific clarifying changes to the ISG. Three comments on the ISG process were consistent with the comments made earlier on HLWRS-ISG-01, and were addressed in responses to public comment on HLWRS-ISG-01 [see 71 FR 57582, Comments 13(a) and (b)].