

2. U.S. mail or in-person pickup: By appointment, during normal business hours, at: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, University Hall, Room 309, University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812. Call (406) 243-4903 to make arrangements.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Christopher Servheen, Grizzly Bear Recovery Coordinator (see **ADDRESSES** above), (406) 243-4903.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

Restoring an endangered or threatened animal or plant to the point where it is again a secure member of its ecosystem is a primary goal of our endangered species program. Recovery plans help guide recovery efforts by describing actions we consider necessary for the conservation of the species, establishing criteria for downlisting and delisting listed species, and estimating time and cost for implementing the measures needed for recovery measures. Under the provisions of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act) (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), we approved the first Grizzly Bear Recovery Plan on January 29, 1982 (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1982). In 1993, we approved a revision to the Grizzly Bear Recovery Plan (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1993), which included additional tasks and new information that increased the focus and effectiveness of recovery efforts. Supplements to the Recovery Plan were approved in 1997 and 1998 (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1997, 1998).

In 1994, The Fund for Animals, Inc., and 42 other organizations and individuals filed suit over the adequacy of the 1993 Recovery Plan. In 1995, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia issued an order remanding for further study and clarification four issues relevant to the Yellowstone grizzly bear population including the methods we use to measure the status of bear populations (*Fund for Animals v. Babbitt*, 903 F. Supp. 96 (D. D.C. 1995)). Following appeals by both parties, we entered into a subsequent settlement agreeing to establish habitat-based recovery criteria prior to any delisting action (*Fund for Animals v. Babbitt*, 967 F. Supp. 6 (D. D.C. 1997)).

Regarding the methods used to measure the status of bear populations, beginning in 2000, the Interagency Grizzly Bear Study Team, which the U.S. Geological Survey leads in cooperation with various University specialists, began a comprehensive evaluation of the demographic data and

the methodology used to estimate population size and establish the sustainable level of mortality for grizzly bears in the Greater Yellowstone Area. The Grizzly Bear Recovery Plan Task Y11 also recommended further consideration of population objectives, stating that the team should work to “determine population conditions at which the species is viable and self sustaining” and “reevaluate and refine population criteria as new information becomes available” for the Yellowstone population of grizzly bears (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1993, p. 44). After evaluating current methods, scientific literature, and alternative methods, the Interagency Grizzly Bear Study Team recommended the most valid technique based on the best available science (Interagency Grizzly Bear Study Team 2005, 2007) and drafted the Reassessing Methods to Estimate Population Size and Sustainable Mortality Limits for the Yellowstone Grizzly Bear document (Reassessing Methods document). As per section 4(f) of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1533(f)), we released a draft version of the Reassessing Methods document for public comment on November 22, 2005 (70 FR 70632). Considering all comments received, the Study Team produced a Supplement to the Reassessing Methods document (Interagency Grizzly Bear Study Team 2006) and finalized this document. We have attached relevant portions of these reports to the Grizzly Bear Recovery Plan (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1993) in a supplement.

Regarding the settlement agreeing to establish habitat-based recovery criteria prior to any delisting action, on June 17, 1997, we held a public workshop in Bozeman, Montana, to develop and refine habitat-based recovery criteria for the Yellowstone grizzly bear population. A **Federal Register** notice notified the public of this workshop and provided interested parties an opportunity to participate and submit comments (62 FR 19777, April 23, 1997). Grizzly Bear Recovery Plan Task Y423 also recommended further consideration of this issue, stating that we should work to “establish a threshold of minimal habitat values to be maintained within each Cumulative Effects Analysis Unit in order to ensure that sufficient habitat is available to support a viable population” (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1993, p. 55). After considering 1,167 written comments, we developed biologically-based habitat criteria with the goal of maintaining or improving habitat conditions at 1998 levels. As per section 4(f) of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1533(f)), we published these draft

criteria in the **Federal Register** for review and comment on July 16, 1999 (64 FR 38464). Considering all comments we received, we finalized the Habitat-Based Recovery Criteria. We have attached the established Habitat-Based Recovery Criteria to the Grizzly Bear Recovery Plan (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1993) in a supplement.

**References Cited**

To obtain a complete list of all references cited herein, contact the Grizzly Bear Recovery Coordinator (see **ADDRESSES** above).

**Authority**

The authority for this Notice is section 4(f) of the Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. 1533(f).

Dated: January 10, 2007.

**James J. Slack,**

*Deputy Regional Director, Denver, Colorado.*  
[FR Doc. E7-4568 Filed 3-12-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-55-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Fish and Wildlife Service**

**Issuance of Permits**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of issuance of permits for endangered species and marine mammals.

**SUMMARY:** The following permits were issued.

**ADDRESSES:** Documents and other information submitted with these applications are available for review, subject to the requirements of the Privacy Act and Freedom of Information Act, by any party who submits a written request for a copy of such documents to: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Management Authority, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Room 700, Arlington, Virginia 22203; fax 703/358-2281.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Division of Management Authority, telephone 703/358-2104.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that on the dates below, as authorized by the provisions of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), and the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1361 *et seq.*), the Fish and Wildlife Service issued the requested permits subject to certain conditions set forth therein. For each permit for an endangered species, the Service found that (1) The application was filed in good faith, (2)

the granted permit would not operate to the disadvantage of the endangered species, and (3) the granted permit

would be consistent with the purposes and policy set forth in Section 2 of the

Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended.

ENDANGERED SPECIES

Permit number	Applicant	Receipt of application Federal Register notice	Permit issuance date
138823 .....	Kathlyn C. Story .....	71 FR 76685, December 21, 2006.	January 30, 2007.
139893 .....	Dr. Michael L. Fetterolf .....	71 FR 76684, December 21, 2006.	January 30, 2007.
140189 .....	James M. Shook .....	71 FR 76684, December 21, 2006.	January 30, 2007.
140644 .....	Carroll E. Moran .....	71 FR 76682, December 21, 2006.	January 30, 2007.

Marine Mammals

Permit number	Applicant	Receipt of application Federal Register notice	Permit issuance date
MA101713 .....	The Marine Mammal Center .....	71 FR 44679; August 3, 2005 ....	January 29, 2007.

Dated: February 16, 2007.

Monica Farris,

Senior Permit Biologist, Branch of Permits, Division of Management Authority.

[FR Doc. E7-4547 Filed 3-12-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-596]

In the Matter of Certain GPS Chips, Associated Software and Systems, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on February 8, 2007, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of SiRF Technology, Inc. of San Jose, California. A supplemental letter was filed on February 22, 2007. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain GPS chips, associated software and systems, and products containing same by reason of infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,304,216; 7,043,363; 7,091,904; and 7,132,980. The complaint, as supplemented, further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as

required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a permanent exclusion order and a permanent cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint and supplement, except for any confidential information contained therein, are available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kevin Baer, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2221.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of

Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2006).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on March 7, 2007, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain GPS chips, associated software or systems, or products containing same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 3, 5-19, 21-36, 38-59, 61-78, and 80-87 of U.S. Patent No. 6,304,216; claims 1-2, 4-8, 10-12, 16, and 18-20 of U.S. Patent No. 7,043,363; claims 1, 3, 5-8, 10-12, 14, 16-18, and 20-21 of U.S. Patent No. 7,091,904; and claims 1, 5-7, 10-11, 13-14, 16-17, 24, 29-32, and 34 of U.S. Patent No. 7,132,980; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—SiRF Technology, Inc., 217 Devcon Drive, San Jose, CA 95112.

(b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served: Global Locate, Inc., 3190 South Bascom Ave., San Jose, CA 92618.