

concerning insider stock holdings and transactions.

31. Sports-stuff.com Inc. is a Nevada company. Questions have arisen regarding the adequacy and accuracy of press releases concerning the company's operations.

32. UBA Technology, Inc., is a Nevada company. Questions have arisen regarding the adequacy and accuracy of press releases concerning the company's operations.

33. Wataire Industries Inc. is a Nevada company with offices in Surrey, British Columbia, Canada. Questions have arisen regarding the adequacy and accuracy of press releases concerning the company's operations and assets.

34. WayPoint Biomedical Holdings, Inc., is a Nevada company with offices in California. Questions have arisen regarding the adequacy and accuracy of press releases concerning the company's operations and financing arrangements.

35. Wineco Productions Inc. is a Nevada company with offices in Florida. Questions have arisen regarding the adequacy and accuracy of press releases concerning the company's operations.

The Commission is of the opinion that the public interest and the protection of investors require a suspension of trading in the securities of the companies listed above.

Therefore, it is ordered, pursuant to Section 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in the companies listed above is suspended for the period from 9:30 a.m. EST, March 8, 2007, through 11:59 p.m. EDT, on March 21, 2007.

By the Commission.

Nancy M. Morris,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 07-1163 Filed 3-8-07; 1:43 pm]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-55415; File No. SR-BSE-2006-03]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Boston Stock Exchange, Inc.; Order Granting Approval of Proposed Rule Change as Modified by Amendment No. 1, Relating to the Treatment of Limit Orders That Are Submitted to the Boston Options Exchange During a Price Improvement Period

March 7, 2007.

On December 8, 2006, the Boston Stock Exchange, Inc. ("BSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission

("Commission") a proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² to amend the rules of the Boston Options Exchange ("BOX") relating to the treatment of Limit Orders that are submitted to the BOX during a Price Improvement Period ("PIP"). On January 4, 2007, the BSE filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposal. The proposed rule change, as amended, was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on January 16, 2007.³ The Commission received no comments on the proposal. This order approves the proposed rule change as modified by Amendment No. 1.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange⁴ and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act.⁵ Specifically, the Commission believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act because it makes explicit how unrelated Limit Orders⁶ in the same series as a PIP Order, submitted to the BOX during the PIP,⁷ are treated, and specifies the circumstances under which Improvement Orders are not accepted by the BOX Trading Host.⁸ The Commission believes that these rule amendments are reasonable and consistent with the Act, and should help clarify for investors and market

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 55050 (January 5, 2007), 72 FR 1786 (SR-BSE-2006-03) ("Notice").

⁴ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁶ An "unrelated order," generally, is a non-Improvement Order entered into the BOX market while a PIP is in progress. See paragraph (a) of Section 18 of Chapter V of the BOX rules. An "Improvement Order," generally, is an order submitted to a PIP to compete for a "PIP Order" (a customer order submitted to the PIP for price improvement). See paragraph (e)(i) of Section 18 of Chapter V of the BOX Rules.

⁷ As detailed in the Notice, certain unrelated Limit Orders on the same side of the market as a PIP Order terminate the PIP prematurely, while certain unrelated Limit Orders on the opposite side of the market immediately execute against the PIP Order (and allow the PIP to continue if the PIP Order has not been filled). The proposal clarifies the circumstances in which these early terminations and immediate executions take place, as well as the rules governing the prices that the PIP Order and unrelated Limit Order receive in each of these circumstances.

⁸ The proposal specifies that the BOX Trading Host does not accept Improvement Orders that would lock or cross the BOX Book.

participants how their orders are executed in various situations.⁹

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,¹⁰ that the proposed rule change (SR-BSE-2006-03) as modified by Amendment No. 1, be, and hereby is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹¹

Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-4503 Filed 3-12-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-55407; File No. SR-ISE-2007-13]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; International Securities Exchange, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Fee Changes

March 6, 2007.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on February 7, 2007, the International Securities Exchange, LLC ("ISE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been substantially prepared by the ISE. The ISE has designated this proposal as one establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge applicable only to a member under Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder,⁴ which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

⁹ In addition, the Commission notes that BSE is currently obligated to provide certain reports to the Commission that provide data about BOX-Top and Market Orders that terminate the PIP prematurely, as well as BOX-Top and Market Orders that immediately execute against a PIP Order. BSE represents that it will provide the same information for Limit Orders that terminate the PIP prematurely or immediately execute against a PIP Order.

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

¹¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).