

*Estimated Charge Expiration Date:* October 1, 2009.

*Class of Air Carriers not Required to Collect PFC's:* Nonscheduled/on-demand air carriers filing FAA Form 1800-31.

*Determination:* Approved. Based on information submitted in the public agency's application, the FAA has determined that the approved class accounts for less than 1 percent of the total annual enplanements at Santa Barbara Municipal Airport.

*Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:* Schematic

design and design development for airline terminal improvements.

*Decision Date:* January 12, 2007.

*For Further Information Contact:* Chuck McCormick, Los Angeles Airports District Office, (310) 725-3626.

*Public Agency:* County of Eagle, Eagle, Colorado.

*Application Number:* 07-06-C-00-EGE.

*Application Type:* Impose and use a PFC.

*PFC Level:* \$4.50.

*Total PFC Revenue Approved in this Decision:* \$5,581,125.

*Earliest Charge Effective Date:* September 1, 2018.

*Estimated Charge Expiration Date:* July 1, 2024.

*Brief Description of Projects Approved for Collection and Use:*

Construct aircraft deicing facility.

Acquire development land.

Terminal road improvements.

*Decision Date:* January 17, 2007.

*For Further Information Contact:* Chris Schaffer, Denver Airports District Office, (303) 342-1258.

AMENDMENTS TO PFC APPROVALS

Amendment No. city, state	Amendment approved date	Original approved net PFC revenue	Amended approved net PVC revenue	Original estimated charge exp. date	Amended estimated charge exp. date
04-03-02-MFE; McAllen, TX	01/08/07	\$2,422,037	\$6,133,439	04/01/07	11/01/11
03-04-C-01-ILM; Wilmington, NC	01/11/07	12,785,647	11,585,647	04/01/18	05/01/17
02-02-C-01-SFO; San Francisco, CA	01/12/07	224,034,821	224,034,821	01/01/06	01/01/06
06-07-C-01-GJT; Grand Junction, CO	01/23/07	6,355,297	8,330,000	08/01/19	08/01/23
96-01-C-01-RST; Rochester, MN	01/31/07	1,160,582	1,117,326	04/01/99	04/01/99
99-02-C-02-GRB; Green Bay, WI	01/31/07	3,028,496	2,468,496	03/01/02	03/01/02
93-01-C-06-RHI; Rhinelander, WI	01/31/07	210,219	204,771	04/01/96	04/01/96
95-02-U-02-RHI; Rhinelander, WI	01/31/07	(*)	(*)	04/01/96	04/01/96

\*Non applicable.

Issued in Washington, DC, on March 5, 2007.

**Joe Hebert,**

Manager, Financial Analysis and Passenger Facility Charge Branch.

[FR Doc. 07-1129 Filed 3-9-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Highway Administration**

**Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on Proposed Highway in North Carolina**

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of limitation on claims for judicial review of actions by FHWA and other Federal Agencies.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces actions taken by the FHWA and other Federal agencies that are final within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. 139(I)(1). The actions relate to a proposed highway project, Greensboro-High Point Road Improvements, from US 311 (I-74) to Hilltop Road (SR 1424) in Greensboro, North Carolina, Guilford County, North Carolina. Those actions grant licenses, permits, and approvals for the project.

**DATES:** By this notice, the FHWA is advising the public of final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(I)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the

Federal agency actions on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before September 10, 2007. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less than 180 days for filing such claim, then that shorter time period still applies.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Clarence W. Coleman, P.E., Operations Engineer, Federal Highway Administration, 310 New Bern Avenue, Ste. 410, Raleigh, North Carolina 27601-1418; Telephone: (919) 856-4350 extension 133; e-mail:

[clarence.coleman@fhwa.dot.gov](mailto:clarence.coleman@fhwa.dot.gov). FHWA North Carolina Division Office's normal business hours are 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. (Eastern Time). You may also contact Gregory J. Thorpe, PhD, Project Development and Environmental Analysis Branch Manager, North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT), 1 South Wilmington Street (Delivery), 1548 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-1548; Telephone (919) 733-3141, [gthorpe@dot.state.nc.us](mailto:gthorpe@dot.state.nc.us). NCDOT—Project Development and Environmental Analysis Branch Office's normal business hours are 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. (Eastern Time).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that the FHWA and other Federal agencies have taken final agency actions by issuing licenses, permits, and

approvals for the following highway project in the State of North Carolina: Greensboro-High Point Road Improvements, Federal Air No. STP-4121(1), Guilford County, North Carolina. the proposed action will improve 12.7 km (7.9 miles) of the Greensboro-High Point Road from the northbound ramp terminal of the US 311 bypass (future I-74) interchange in High Point to the Hilltop Road intersection in Greensboro, North Carolina. Portions of the selected alternative (Corridor 2) widen existing roadways and portions construct a four to six-land facility on new location. The actions by the Federal agencies, and the laws under which such actions were taken, are described in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the project, approved on May 15, 2006, in the FHWA Record of Decision (ROD) issued on December 30, 2006, and in other documents in the FHWA administrative record. The FEIS, ROD, and other documents in the FHWA administrative record file are available by contacting the FHWA or NCDOT at the addresses provided above. The FHWA FEIS and ROD can be viewed at the NCDOT—Project Development and Environmental Analysis Branch, 1 South Wilmington Street, Raleigh, North Carolina; NCDOT—Division 7 Construction Engineer Office, 1584 Yanceyville Street, Greensboro, North Carolina and Greensboro MPO Office,

300 West Washington Street,  
Greensboro, North Carolina.

This notice applies to all Federal agency decisions as of the issuance date of this notice and all laws under which such actions were taken, including but not limited to:

1. *General*: National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4321–4351]; Federal-Aid Highway Act [23 U.S.C. 109].

2. *Air*: Clean Air Act [42 U.S.C. 7401–7671(q)].

3. *Land*: Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966 [49 U.S.C. 303]; Landscaping and Scenic Enhancement (Wildflowers) [23 U.S.C. 319].

4. *Wildlife*: Endangered Species Act [16 U.S.C. 1531–1544 and Section 1536], Marine Mammal Protection Act [16 U.S.C. 1361], Anadromous Fish Conservation Act [16 U.S.C. 757(a)–757(g)], Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act [16 U.S.C. 661–667(d)], Migratory Bird Treaty Act [16 U.S.C. 703–712], Magnuson-Stevenson Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976, as amended [16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.].

5. *Historic and Cultural Resources*: Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended [16 U.S.C. 470(f) et seq.]; Archeological Resources Protection Act of 1977 [16 U.S.C. 470(aa)–11]; Archeological and Historic Preservation Act [16 U.S.C. 469–469(c)]; Native American Grave Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) [25 U.S.C. 3001–3013].

6. *Social and Economic*: Civil Rights Act of 1964 [42 U.S.C. 2000(d)–2000(d)(1)]; American Indian Religious Freedom Act [42 U.S.C. 1996]; Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) [7 U.S.C. 4201–4209].

7. *Wetlands and Water Resources*: Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) [16 U.S.C. 4601–4604]; Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) [42 U.S.C. 300(f)–300(j)(6)]; Wild and Scenic Rivers [16 U.S.C. 1271–1287]; Emergency Wetlands Resources Act [16 U.S.C. 3921, 3931]; TEA–21 Wetlands Mitigation [23 U.S.C. 103(b)(6)(m), 133(b)(11)]; Flood Disaster Protection Act [42 U.S.C. 4001–4128].

8. *Hazardous Materials*: Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) [42 U.S.C. 9601–9675]; Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA); Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) [42 U.S.C. 6901–6992(k)].

9. *Executive Orders*: E.O. 11990 Protection of Wetlands; E.O. 11988 Floodplain Management; E.O. 12898, Federal Actions to Address

Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low Income Populations; E.O. 11593 Protection and Enhancement of Cultural Resources; E.O. 13007 Indian Sacred Sites; E.O. 13287 Preserve America; E.O. 13175 Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments; E.O. 11514 Protection and Enhancement of Environmental Quality; E.O. 13112 Invasive Species.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

**Authority**: 23 U.S.C. 139(I)(1).

Issued on: February 28, 2007.

**Clarence W. Coleman**,  
*Operations Engineer, Raleigh, North Carolina.*  
[FR Doc. 07–1143 Filed 3–9–07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 1143–RY–M**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA–2007–27505]

#### Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards: New Pneumatic Tires for Vehicles Other Than Passenger Cars

**AGENCY**: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DoT.

**ACTION**: Denial of petition for rulemaking.

**SUMMARY**: This document responds to a petition for rulemaking regarding Federal motor vehicle safety standard (FMVSS) No. 119, *Pneumatic Tires for Vehicles Other Than Passenger Cars*. Pacific Coast Retreaders (PCR), a distributor of new truck tires that are manufactured in China, petitioned the agency on February 1, 2006, to amend FMVSS No. 119, paragraph S5.1, Tire and rim matching information (b). Specifically, PCR petitioned the agency to accept tire load rating data for two bias ply truck tire sizes, 10–20 and 11–22.5, from the Chinese tire standardization organization Guo Biao 9744–1997 (GB 9744). NHTSA has determined that this petition is moot with regard to the 10–20 size tires because the maximum load rating labeled on these tires, in the single and dual wheel configurations, meets the requirements of FMVSS No. 119. With regard to the 11–22.5 size tires, the agency denies the request by the petitioner that FMVSS No. 119, paragraph S5.1(b) be amended to

include the GB 9744 maximum load rating for this single tire size. The agency does not consider amending the standard to include the specifications of a tire standardization organization for one tire size.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**: Mr. Samuel Daniel, Office of Crash Avoidance Standards, NVS–122, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590, telephone (202) 366–4921, facsimile (202) 366–7002, electronic mail [sam.daniel@dot.gov](mailto:sam.daniel@dot.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### A. Background

PCR, a distributor of new truck tires manufactured in China, petitioned the agency on February 1, 2006, to accept the Chinese tire marking standards for two bias ply truck tire sizes, 10–20 and 11–22.5. The petitioner stated that the requirements in FMVSS No. 119, paragraph S5.1(b) are outdated and “are well behind the changes in the intermodal transportation industry.” The petitioner further stated that the Chinese tire marking standards from GB 9744 are not included in the list of marking standards referenced by NHTSA in FMVSS No. 119. However, PCR did not explicitly request that the GB 9744 publication be added to FMVSS No. 119.

The petitioner provided a copy of a page from the Chinese GB 9744 publication with information on the tire load limits at various cold inflation pressures, similar to a page of truck tire load-pressure values from the publications listed in paragraph S5.1(b) of FMVSS No. 119. The publications that are already listed are as follows: Tire and Rim Association (TRA); European Tyre and Rim Technical Organization (ETRTO); Japan Automobile Tyre Manufacturers Association, Inc. (JATMA); Deutsche Industrie Norm; British Standards Institution; Scandinavian Tire and Rim Organization (STRO); and the Tyre and Rim Association of Australia. The table on the page from the GB 9744 publication specifies a maximum load rating of 2,160 kg (4,752 pounds) for the 10–20 size tire load range F (12 ply-rated) in the dual wheel (four tires per axle) configuration. However, the Tire and Rim Association (TRA), which is the United States voluntary standards organization, in its 2005 Year Book specifies a maximum load rating of 2,180 kg (4,805 pounds) for the same tire size and configuration. The TRA publication also lists the value of the maximum load rating for the 11–22.5