1,778

#### RESPONDENTS' ESTIMATED ANNUAL BURDEN HOURS—Continued Average No. an-Annual burden Citation 30 CFR Hour burden Reporting & recordkeeping requirement nual responses hours Part 218—Collection of Royalties, Rentals, Bonuses and Other Monies Due the Federal Government Subpart E-Solid Minerals-General 218.201(b) ..... .0055 1,368 8 lows: \* \* \* (b) For Form MMS-4430 payments, include both your customer identification and your customer document identification numbers on your payment document \* \* \*.

Note: AUDIT PROCESS—The Office of Regulatory Affairs determined that the audit process is exempt from the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 because MMS staff asks non-standard questions to resolve exceptions.

Total Burden .....

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping "Non-hour Cost" Burden: We have identified no "non-hour cost" burden associated with the collection of information.

Public Disclosure Statement: The PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) provides that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Comments: Before submitting an ICR to OMB, PRA Section 3506(c)(2)(A)requires each agency "\* \* \* to provide notice \* \* \* and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information \* \* \* \*." Agencies must specifically solicit comments to: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the agency to perform its duties, including whether the information is useful; (b) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) minimize the burden on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

The PRA also requires agencies to estimate the total annual reporting "non-hour cost" burden to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information. If you have costs to generate, maintain, and disclose this information, you should comment and provide your total capital and startup cost components or annual operation, maintenance, and purchase of service components. You should describe the methods you use to estimate major cost factors, including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, discount rate(s), and the

period over which you incur costs. Capital and startup costs include, among other items, computers and software you purchase to prepare for collecting information; monitoring, sampling, and testing equipment; and record storage facilities. Generally, your estimates should not include equipment or services purchased: (i) Before October 1, 1995; (ii) to comply with requirements not associated with the information collection; (iii) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the Government; or (iv) as part of customary and usual business or private practices.

We will summarize written responses to this notice and address them in our ICR submission for OMB approval, including appropriate adjustments to the estimated burden. We will provide a copy of the ICR to you without charge upon request. The ICR also will be posted on our Web site at http://www.mrm.mms.gov/Laws\_R\_D/FRNotices/FRInfColl.htm.

Public Comment Policy: We will post all comments in response to this notice on our Web site at http:// www.mrm.mms.gov/Laws R D/ FRNotices/FRInfColl.htm. We also will make copies of the comments available for public review, including names and addresses of respondents, during regular business hours at our offices in Lakewood, Colorado. Upon request, we will withhold an individual respondent's home address from the public record, as allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity, as allowable by law. If you request that we withhold your name and/or address, state your request prominently at the beginning of your comment. However, we will not consider anonymous comments. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as

representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

5,777

MMS Information Collection Clearance Officer: Arlene Bajusz (202) 208–7744.

Dated: February 13, 2007.

#### Steven D. Textoris,

Acting Associate Director for Minerals Revenue Management.

[FR Doc. E7–3737 Filed 3–6–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### **National Park Service**

Notice of Proposed Award; Temporary Concession Contract for Great Island Cabin and Ferry Service at Cape Lookout National Seashore, NC

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed award of temporary concession contract.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 1, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ben Hanslin, Concessions Management Specialist, Southeast Region, National Park Service, 100 Alabama Street, SW., Building 1924, Atlanta, GA 30303 404/562–3108, extension 740.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to 36 CFR part 51, public notice is hereby given that the National Park Service proposes to award a temporary concession contract for continuation of visitor reservations and cabin rental in the Great Island cabin area on South Core Banks (Banks), Cape Lookout National Seashore and ferry service to and from the community of Davis, North Carolina to the Banks for a term not to exceed December 31, 2007.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The temporary concession contract is being awarded to Morris Marina Kabin Kamps and Ferry Service, Inc., a qualified person, as that term is defined in 36

CFR 51.3. Following termination of the prior concession contract at Great Island Camps on December 31, 2004, the National Park Service awarded a temporary concession contract to Morris Marina Kabin Kamps and Ferry Service, Inc., on May 15, 2005, that expires on December 31, 2006. A new concession contract cannot be awarded in time to avoid the interruption of visitor services during the 2007 operating season. The National Park Service has taken all reasonable and necessary steps to consider alternatives to avoid interruption of visitor services, and has determined that this award is necessary to avoid interruption of visitor services.

This action is issued pursuant to 36 CFR 51.24(a). This is not a request for proposals and no prospectus is being issued at this time. The Director intends to issue a prospectus in 2007 to allow the competitive award of a long-term concession contract that will be effective prior to the 2008 season for visitor reservations and cabin rental in the Great Island cabin area on South Core Banks (Banks), Cape Lookout National Seashore and ferry service to and from the community of Davis, North Carolina to the Banks. You may be placed on a mailing list for receiving information regarding the prospectus by sending a written request to the above address.

Dated: February 20, 2007.

### Katherine H. Stevenson,

Assistant Director, Business Services.
[FR Doc. 07–1051 Filed 3–6–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4312–53–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

# **National Park Service**

## **Bureau of Reclamation**

Final Environmental Impact Statement for Clean Water Coalition Systems Conveyance and Operations Program Lake Mead National Recreation Area, Clark County, NV; Notice of Availability

SUMMARY: Pursuant to § 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the corresponding Council of Environmental Quality implementing regulations (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), the National Park Service and Bureau of Reclamation, as lead agencies for the Department of Interior, announce the availability of the Clean Water Coalition Systems Conveyance and Operations Program (SCOP) Final Environmental Impact Statement (Final EIS). The SCOP Final EIS completes the evaluation of potential environmental impacts associated with a proposed pipeline

alternative, two additional pipeline alternatives, and the baseline No Action alternative (and also presents a Process Improvements option derived from the No Action Alternative). The purpose of implementing the proposal is to put into operation a treatment and conveyance system that will allow for flexible management of wastewater flow in the Las Vegas Valley, while maintaining water quality standards. Clark County, Nevada is one of the fastest growing counties in the U.S., with a projected population in the area of approximately 3,130,000 by 2035. The quantity of effluent treated and discharged in the Las Vegas Valley will increase with the Valley populations. The treatment and conveyance facilities must accommodate the additional flows while continuing to meet current or future water quality standards for Las Vegas Wash and Bay, and Lake Mead.

The Final EIS evaluates effects of the alternatives on both visitor experience and park resources including: surface water hydrology, groundwater, water quality, biological resources/endangered species, cultural resources, recreation, land use, air quality, noise, socioeconomics, and other appropriate resource issues identified during the public scoping phase. An impairment analysis was also completed by the National Park Service (NPS) for the portion of the proposed actions that would impinge upon this unit of the National Park System.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Copies of the Final EIS may be obtained by contacting the SCOP EIS Project Manager, PBS&J, 2270 Corporate Circle, Suite 100, Henderson, NV 89074 (or empiling to

mailing to eis@cleanwatercoalition.com). The Final EIS will also be made available at public libraries in the following locations: Nevada: Boulder City Library, Las Vegas Public Library, Searchlight Library, Community College of Southern Nevada, Sahara West Library, Mesquite Library, University of Nevada-Las Vegas, James I. Gibson Library, Clark County Library, James R. Dickinson Library, Moapa Valley Library, Green Valley Library, Sunrise Public Library, Laughlin Library. Arizona: Burton Barr Central Library, Tempe Public Library, University of Arizona Library, Meadview Community Library, Mohave County Library. *Utah:* Washington County Library. California: Environmental Services Library in San Diego, Palm Springs Public Library. Finally, the document will also be available via the Internet at http:// www.cleanwatercoalition.com and http://www.nps.gov/lame/docs.html.

For questions concerning release of the Final EIS, please contact: Mr. Michael Boyles, National Park Service, Lake Mead National Recreation Area, 601 Nevada Way, Boulder City, NV 89005, telephone (702) 293–8978; or Mr. Anthony Vigil (LC–2621), Bureau of Reclamation, P.O. Box 61470, Boulder City, NV 89006–1470, telephone (702) 293–8674.

Please note that all information received in support of preparing the EIS becomes part of the public record. Our practice is to make comments, including names, home addresses, home phone numbers, and e-mail addresses of respondents, available for public review. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to

Public Involvement and Other Agency Coordination: The NPS, along with the Bureau of Reclamation, began the conservation planning and environmental impact analysis process for SCOP in 2002. The Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare an EIS was published in the **Federal Register** on July 26, 2002. In addition to the NOI, notices were published in local and regional newspapers announcing public scoping meetings, which were held in August of 2002 in Las Vegas and Henderson, NV, Kingman and Phoenix, AZ, and San Diego and Palm Springs, CA. Postcards including a brief description of the proposed project and the locations and dates of the public meetings were mailed to all interested parties in Nevada, Arizona, and California. The Draft EIS was released for public review (and also distributed to the area libraries listed above) in late September 2005; the EPA's announcement of availability of the Draft EIS was noticed in the Federal Register on October 7, 2005. Nine public comment meetings were held during October, 2005 in the same cities in which the initial public scoping sessions were conducted. The public comment period on the Draft EIS ended December 6, 2005. Over 500 oral and written comments were received. The Final EIS contains responses to all comments received and incorporates additional information obtained during the review period.

Implementation of SCOP will require a permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, which regulates construction and dredging of navigable waters of the