**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Greg Clark, District Ranger, at the Big Piney Ranger District at 307–276–3375.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This revised notice updates the original NOI, which appeared Wednesday January 11, 2006, in the **Federal Register** (71 FR pages 1731–1732). There will be no additional scoping on this revised NOI. The next opportunity to comment will be on the DEIS (see discussion below).

Comments on the DEIS will be requested during the 45 day comment period following the Notice of Availability (NOA), anticipated to be published in the Federal Register in March 2007. The publication date of the NOA in the Federal Register is the exclusive means for calculating the comment period for a proposed action documented in a DEIS. Those wishing to comment should not rely on dates or timeframe information provided by any other source.

Reviewers should provide the Forest Service with their comments during the review period of the DEIS. This will enable the Forest Service to analyze and respond to the comments at one time and to use information acquired in the preparation of the FEIS, thus avoiding undue delay in the decisionmaking process. Reviewers have an obligation to structure their participation in the National Environmental Policy Act process so that it is meaningful and alerts the agency to the reviewers' position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 533 (1978). Environmental objections that could have been raised at the draft stage may be waived if not raised until after completion of the FEIS. City of Angoon v. Hodel (9th Circuit, 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Comments on the DEIS should be specific and should address the adequacy of the Statement and the merits of the alternatives discussed (40 CFR 1503.3).

## **Responsible Officials**

Greg Clark, District Ranger; Big Piney Ranger District; P.O. Box 218; Big Piney, Wyoming 83113.

Robert A. Bennett, State Director, BLM—Wyoming State Office 5353 Yellowstone (P.O. Box 1828), Cheyenne, Wyoming 82009.

#### Nature of Decision To Be Made

The decisions, which will be based on the EIS analysis, will be to make the final decisions on the Applications for Permits to Drill (APDs) and Surface Use Plans of Operation (SUPOs) submitted by PXP for the Eagle # 1–8, Eagle # 2– 8, and Eagle # 3–8 wells, and to specify the access route, project design criteria, best management practices, and agency requirements that will be included as conditions of approval for these wells. The decisions made will include reasonable measures identified as being needed during the EIS analysis in addition to any prescribed in the Forest Plan for the Bridger-Teton National Forest.

Dated: February 26, 2007.

## Greg W. Clark,

District Ranger, Big Piney Ranger District, Bridger-Teton National Forest.

[FR Doc. 07-987 Filed 3-2-07; 8:45am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

# CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

## **Sunshine Act Meeting**

In connection with its investigation into the cause of an explosion and fire which occurred at BP's Texas City refinery on March 23, 2005, the United States Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board (CSB) announces that it will convene a public meeting on March 20, 2007 starting at 6 p.m. at The Nessler Center, Wings of Heritage Room, 2010 5th Avenue North, Texas City, Texas 77590. At the meeting, CSB staff will present to the Board the results of their investigation into this incident. There will be a public comment period after the investigators' presentation.

At approximately 1:20 p.m. on Wednesday, March 23rd, a series of explosions occurred at the BP Texas City refinery during the restarting of an isomerization unit processing flammable hydrocarbons. Fifteen workers were killed and about 180 others were injured. Many of the victims were in or around work trailers located near a blowdown drum and stack that were open to the atmosphere. The explosions occurred when a distillation tower flooded with hydrocarbons and was over pressurized, resulting in a release of flammable hydrocarbons from the blowdown stack and a subsequent vapor cloud explosion. After the staff presentation, the Board will allow time for public comment. Following the conclusion of the public comment period, the Board will consider whether the preliminary facts presented necessitate any recommendations prior to the final completion of the Board's investigative report.

At the meeting, CSB staff will present the Board with the results of their investigation into this incident, including a discussion of key findings, root and contributing causes, and proposed recommendations. The CSB staff presentation will focus on four key safety issues: safety culture, regulatory oversight, process safety metrics, and human factors.

After the staff presentation, the Board will ask for public comments. Following the conclusion of the public comment period, the Board will consider whether to approve the final report and recommendations. All staff presentations are preliminary and are solely intended to allow the Board to consider in a public forum the issues and factors involved in this case. No factual analyses, conclusions or findings of the staff should be considered final. Only after the Board has considered the staff presentation and approved the staff report will there be an approved final record of this incident investigation.

The meeting will be open to the public, and there is no fee or preregistration required. Please notify CSB if a translator or interpreter is needed, at least 5 business days prior to the public meeting. For more information, please contact the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board at (202) 261–7600, or visit our Web site at: http://www.csb.gov.

# Christopher W. Warner,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 07–1010 Filed 3–1–07; 2:10 pm] BILLING CODE 6350–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

DOC will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: U.S. Census Bureau. Title: Construction Progress Reporting Surveys.

Form Number(s): C-700, Private Construction Projects; C-700 (SL), State and Local Governments Projects; C-700 (R), Multi-Family Residential Projects. Agency Approval Number: 0607-

*Type of Request:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

Burden: 50,700 hours.

Number of Respondents: 19,500.

Average Hours Per Response: 15

minutes for mailed responses; 5 minutes

for telephone responses.

Needs and Uses: The U.S. Census Bureau is requesting an extension of a currently approved collection for forms C-700, Private Construction Projects; C-