term agreement for power interference in the Colorado-Big Thompson Project.

35. Frenchman-Cambridge ID; Meeker-Driftwood, Red Willow, and Cambridge Units; Frenchman Division; P–SMBP; Cambridge, Nebraska: Amend the repayment contract for equalization of the construction obligation payments over the remaining years of the water supply repayment obligation period, and to delay the increase in the reserve fund payments pursuant to Pub. L. 109–386, which was enacted on December 12, 2006.

36. Kansas-Bostwick ID No. 2; Courtland Unit, Bostwick Division, P–SMBP; Courtland, Kansas: Amend the repayment contract for equalization of the construction obligation payments over the remaining years of the water supply repayment obligation period, and to delay the increase in the reserve fund payments pursuant to Pub. L. 109– 386, which was enacted on December 12, 2006.

37. Bostwick ID in Nebraska; Superior-Courtland and Franklin Units, Bostwick Division, P–SMBP; Red Cloud, Nebraska: Amend the repayment contract for equalization of the construction obligation payments over the remaining years of the water supply repayment obligation period, and to delay the increase in the reserve fund payments pursuant to Pub. L. 109–386, which was enacted on December 12, 2006.

38. Webster ID; Webster Unit, Solomon Division, P-SMBP; Gaylord, Kansas: Amend the repayment contract for equalization of the construction obligation payments over the remaining years of the water supply repayment obligation period, and to delay the increase in the reserve fund payments pursuant to Pub. L. 109–386, which was enacted on December 12, 2006.

39. City of Grand Junction, City of Fruita, and Town of Palisade (Municipal Recreation Agreement), Colorado-Big Thompson Project; Colorado:
Negotiation of renewal of the Municipal Recreation Agreement to provide historic users pool surplus water from Green Mountain Reservoir for nonconsumptive municipal recreation uses.

40. Colorado River Water
Conservation District, Ruedi Reservoir,
Fryingpan-Arkansas Project, Colorado:
Consideration of a request for a second
round water sales or repayment contract
from the regulatory capacity of Ruedi
Reservoir for up to 5,000 acre-feet
annually for M&I uses and also
providing water to the endangered fish
and supplementing in-stream flows.

41. Colorado River Water Conservation District, Colorado-Big Thompson Project, Colorado: Long-term exchange, conveyance, and storage contract to implement the Exhibit B Agreement of the Settlement Agreement on Operating Procedures for Green Mountain Reservoir Concerning Operating Limitations and in Resolution of the Petition Filed August 7, 2003, in Case No. 49–CV–2782 (*The United States v Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District, et al.*, U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado, Case No. 2782 and Consolidated Case Nos. 5016 and 5017).

42. Colorado River Water Conservation District, Colorado-Big Thompson Project, Colorado: Consideration of a request for a longterm contract for the use of excess capacity for storage and exchange in Green Mountain Reservoir in the Colorado-Big Thompson Project.

43. Greenfields ID, Sun River Project, Montana: Modification of Gibson Dam will be required depending on the outcome of the Corrective Action Study, and will require a contract for repayment of allocable SOD costs.

44. Glendo Unit, P–SMBP, Wyoming: Contract renewal for long-term water service contracts with Burbank Ditch, New Grattan Ditch Company, Torrington ID, Lucernce Canal and Power Company, and Wright and Murphy Ditch Company.

45. Glendo Unit, P–SMBP, Nebraska: Contract renewal for long-term water service contracts with Bridgeport, Enterprise, and Mitchell IDs, and Central Nebraska Public Power and ID.

46. Glendo Unit, P–SMBP, Wyoming: Contract renewal for long-term water storage contract with Pacificorp.

47. Roger W. Evans (Individual), Boysen Unit, P–SMBP, Wyoming: Renewal of long-term water service contracts.

48. City of Beloit, P–SMBP, Kansas: Execution of a contract amendment to the original contract to add a renewal provision in accordance with Section 1 of the Act of June 21, 1963.

The following actions have been reported as completed since the last publication of this notice on November 20, 2006:

1. (19) Clark Canyon Water Supply Company, East Bench Unit, P–SMBP, Montana: Negotiating renewal of contract No. 14–06–600–3592 which was amended to expire December 31, 2006. Current contract may be amended again to extend the term not to exceed an additional 1 year pursuant to Section 208 of the 2005 Consolidated Appropriations Act if necessary and agreed to by both parties. Contract executed November 9, 2006.

2. (20) East Bench ID, East Bench Unit, P–SMBP, Montana: Negotiating renewal of contract No. 14–06–600–3593 which was amended to expire December 31, 2006. Current contract may be amended again to extend the term not to exceed an additional 1 year pursuant to Section 208 of the 2005 Consolidated Appropriations Act if necessary and agreed to by both parties. Contract executed November 9, 2006.

Dated: January 26, 2007.

### Roseann Gonzales,

Director, Office of Program and Policy Services.

[FR Doc. E7–3376 Filed 2–26–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

# Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

# Notice of Proposed Information Collection for 1029–0039

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing its intention to request renewed approval for the collection of information on 30 CFR Part 784, Underground Mining Permit Applications—Minimum Requirements for Reclamation and Operation Plans.

DATES: Comments on the proposed information collection must be received by April 30, 2007, to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to John A. Trelease, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 1951 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room 202—SIB, Washington, DC 20240. Comments may also be submitted electronically to jtrelease@osmre.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request a copy of the information collection request and explanatory information, contact John A. Trelease, at (202) 208–2783, or electronically at *jtrelease@osmre.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) regulations at 5 CFR part 1320, which implement provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13), require that interested members of the public and affected agencies have an opportunity to comment on information collection and recordkeeping activities

(see 5 CFR 1320.8(d)). This notice identifies information collections that OSM will be submitting to OMB for extension. These collections are contained in 30 CFR part 784.

OSM has revised burden estimates, where appropriate, to reflect current reporting levels or adjustments based on reestimates of burden or respondents and costs. OSM will request a 3-year term of approval for this information collection activity.

Comments are invited on: (1) The need for the collection of information for the performance of the functions of the agency; (2) the accuracy of the agency's burden estimates; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (4) ways to minimize the information collection burden on respondents, such as use of automated means of collection of the information. A summary of the public comments will accompany OSM's submission of the information collection request to OMB.

This notice provides the public with 60 days in which to comment on the following information collection activity:

Title: 30 CFR Part 784, Underground Mining Permit Applications—Minimum requirements for Reclamation and Operation Plans.

OMB Control Number: 1029-0039.

Summary: Sections 507(b), 508(a) and 516(b) of Public Law 95–87 require underground coal mine permit applicants to submit an operations and reclamation plan and establish performance standards for the mining operation. Information submitted is used by the regulatory authority to determine if the applicant can comply with the applicable performance and environmental standards required by the law.

Bureau Form Number: None.

Frequency of Collection: Once.

Description of Respondents: 63 underground coal mining permit applicants and 24 State regulatory authorities.

Total Annual Responses: 790.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 65,159.

Total Annual Cost Burden: \$537,105.

Dated: February 21, 2007.

### John R. Craynon,

Chief, Division of Regulatory Support.
[FR Doc. 07–871 Filed 2–26–07; 8:45 am]

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-559]

In the Matter of Certain Digital Processors and Digital Processing Systems, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainant's Motion To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 19) issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting complainant's motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michelle Walters, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on January 9, 2006, based on a complaint filed by Biax Corporation ("Biax") of Boulder, Colorado. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain digital processors or digital processing systems, components thereof, or products containing the same by reason of infringement of various claims of United States Patent Nos. 5,021,945 ("the '945 patent"), 5,517,628 ("the '628 patent"), and 6,253,313 ("the

'313 patent"). The complaint originally named four respondents: Philips Semiconductors B.V. of the Netherlands; Philips Consumer Electronics Services B.V. of the Netherlands; Philips Consumer Electronics North America Corp. of Atlanta, Georgia; and 2Wire, Inc. of San Jose, California. Biax previously amended the complaint and notice of investigation to remove Philips Consumer Electronics North America Corp. and Philips Consumer Electronics Services B.V. and to add Philips Electronics North America Corp., Philips Semiconductors, Inc., and Philips Consumer Electronics B.V. as respondents.

On January 23, 2007, Biax moved to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to remove respondent Philips Semiconductors B.V. and to add NXP B.V. of the Netherlands as a respondent. Biax stated that it had recently learned that Philips Semiconductors B.V. was spun off into a new business entity NXP B.V. Biax also moved to withdraw claims 3, 4, 8, and 12 of the '945 patent and all of the asserted claims of the '628 patent and the '313 patent from the investigation to reduce the number of issues. None of the current respondents or the Commission investigative attorney opposed Biax's motion.

On February 2, 2007, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 19) granting Biax's motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation. The ALJ found that, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.14(b)(1) (19 CFR 210.14(b)(1)), there was good cause to remove respondent Philips Semiconductors B.V. and to add NXP B.V. as a respondent and to withdraw claims 3, 4, 8, and 12 of the '945 patent and the asserted claims of the '628 patent and the '313 patent from the investigation. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

Having examined the record of this investigation, the Commission has determined not to review the ALJ's ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42)

By order of the Commission. Issued: February 22, 2007.

### Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. E7–3386 Filed 2–26–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P