

School MPS) 556 Parkway, Gatlinburg,  
07000185

#### Sullivan County

Washington, George, School, 205 E. Sevier  
Ave., Kingsport, 07000184

#### VERMONT

##### Lamoille County

Morrisville Historic District, Portland, Lower  
Main, Upper Main, Railroad and Foundry  
Sts., Morristown, 07000196

#### VIRGINIA

##### Fauquier County

Marshall Historic District, Inc. parts of  
Anderson R., Emerald Ln., Frost St., Main  
St., Rosstown Rd. Wild Aster Ct. and  
Winchester Rd., Marshall, 07000191  
Paris Historic District Area Inc. Federal St.  
and parts of Republican St. and Gap Run  
Rd., Paris, 07000192

##### Hampton Independent City

Chamberlin Hotel, #2 Fenwick Rd., Fort  
Monroe, Hampton (Independent City),  
07000190

##### Isle Of Wight County

Tynes, Robert, House, 13060 Courthouse  
Hwy., Smithfield, 07000194

##### Nelson County

Schuyler Historic District, Crossroads of  
Schuyler Rd., Salem Rd. and Rockfish  
River Rd., Schuyler, 07000195

##### Suffolk Independent City

Mount Sinai Baptist Church, 6100 Holy Neck  
Rd., Suffolk (Independent City), 07000193

#### WISCONSIN

##### Manitowoc County

ROUSE SIMMONS (Shipwreck), (Great Lakes  
Shipwreck Sites of Wisconsin MPS) 6 mi.  
off Point Veach, Lake Michigan, 07000197

A request for REMOVAL has been made for  
the following resource:

#### TENNESSEE

##### Meigs County

Meigs County High School Gymnasium,  
(Meigs County, Tennessee MRA), Brown  
St., Decatur, 82004005

[FR Doc. E7-3261 Filed 2-23-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-51-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-487 (Remand)]

### In the Matter of Certain Agricultural Vehicles and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Decision To Review in Part the Administrative Law Judge's Initial Determination on Remand; Schedule for Written Submissions on the Issues Under Review

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade  
Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that  
the U.S. International Trade  
Commission has determined to review  
in part the presiding administrative law  
judge's ("ALJ") final initial  
determination on remand in the above-  
captioned investigation, including part  
of Order No. 55.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Jonathan Engler, Office of the General  
Counsel, U.S. International Trade  
Commission, 500 E Street, SW.,  
Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202)  
205-3112. Copies of non-confidential  
documents filed in connection with this  
investigation are or will be available for  
inspection during official business  
hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the  
Office of the Secretary, U.S.  
International Trade Commission, 500 E  
Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436,  
telephone (202) 205-2000. General  
information concerning the Commission  
may also be obtained by accessing its  
Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>.  
The public record for this investigation  
may be viewed on the Commission's  
electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired  
persons are advised that information on  
this matter can be obtained by  
contacting the Commission's TDD  
terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The  
Commission instituted this investigation  
on February 13, 2003, based on a  
complaint filed by Deere & Company  
("Deere") of Moline, Illinois. 68 FR 7388  
(February 13, 2003). The complaint, as  
supplemented, alleged violations of  
section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in  
the importation into the United States,  
sale for importation, and sale within the  
United States after importation of  
certain agricultural vehicles and  
components thereof by reason of  
infringement and dilution of U.S.  
Registered Trademarks Nos. 1,254,339;  
1,502,576; 1,503,576, and 91,860.

Twenty-four respondents were named  
in the Commission's notice of

investigation. Most of the respondents  
were terminated from the investigation  
on the basis of consent orders, or found  
in default. Of the remaining  
respondents, Erntetechnik Franz Becker;  
Sunova Implement Company; Bourdeau  
Bros., Inc. and OK Enterprises  
(collectively, "the Bourdeau  
respondents"); Fitzpatrick Farms;  
Stanley Farms; J&T Farms; and Co-Ag  
LLC (collectively, "the Fitzpatrick  
Farms respondents"); and Agrideal  
participated in the investigation. On  
January 13, 2004, the ALJ issued his  
final initial determination ("ID") finding  
a violation of section 337. He also  
recommended the issuance of remedial  
orders. The Bourdeau respondents and  
Fitzpatrick Farms respondents  
petitioned for review of the ID.

On March 30, 2004, the Commission  
determined not to review the ID. The  
Commission then issued its final  
determination, together with a general  
exclusion order, two limited exclusion  
orders, and cease and desist orders, on  
May 14, 2004.

The Bourdeau respondents appealed  
the Commission's final determination to  
the U.S. Court of Appeals for the  
Federal Circuit (the "Federal Circuit").  
On March 30, 2006, the Federal Circuit  
vacated and remanded the  
Commission's final determination as it  
related to Deere European-version self-  
propelled forage harvesters. *Bourdeau  
Bros. v. International Trade  
Commission*, 444 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir.  
2006).

On June 20, 2006, the Commission  
issued notice that it had rescinded the  
general exclusion order and certain  
cease and desist orders, and had  
remanded the investigation to the  
presiding ALJ for proceedings consistent  
with the Federal Circuit's decision in  
Bourdeau. The ALJ issued his final ID  
on remand ("Remand ID") on December  
20, 2006. He found that Deere did not  
authorize the sale of Deere European-  
version self-propelled forage harvesters  
in the United States and that all or  
substantially all of the Deere self-  
propelled forage harvesters sold in the  
United States were North American  
versions. The Bourdeau respondents  
have petitioned for review of the  
remand ID, including Order No. 55 and  
Order No. 59. Deere and the  
Commission investigative attorney  
oppose the petition.

The Commission has determined to  
review in part Order No. 55 and the  
Remand ID. The Commission requests  
briefing by the parties (1) On the  
standard for authorization that was  
applied in Order No. 55 and how that  
standard was applied in light of the  
burden of proof; (2) on the issue of

Deere's alleged financing of certain EVSPFHs; (3) with respect to the ALJ's application of the "all or substantially all" standard, including a statement of the type and number of sales relied on and the basis for reliance on those sales, especially the basis for including used sales of North American-version harvesters in the assessment of whether that standard has been met by Deere; and (4) on whether all or substantially all of Deere's sales of SPFHs were of North American versions of these machines. The Commission has determined not to review Order No. 59.

**Schedule for Written Submissions:** Written submissions on the issues under review are limited to the parties and must be filed by March 6, 2007. Reply submissions must be filed by March 13, 2007.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42(h)).

Issued: February 20, 2007.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E7-3139 Filed 2-23-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-585]

### In the Matter of Certain Engines, Components Thereof, and Products Containing the Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review ALJ Order No. 7 Granting Complainant's Motion To Add a Claim to the Complaint and Notice of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") (Order No. 7) granting complainant's motion to add a claim to the complaint and notice of investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3152. Copies of the ID and all other

nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On October 19, 2006, the Commission instituted an investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on a complaint filed by American Honda Motor Company, Inc. of Torrance, California, alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain engines, components thereof, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,706,769 ("the '769 patent") and 6,250,273. 71 FR 61799 (Oct. 19, 2006). The complainant named Wuxi Kipor Power Co., Ltd. of Jiangsu, China as a respondent.

On January 30, 2007, the ALJ issued Order No. 7 granting complainant's motion to add dependent claim 6 of the '769 patent to the complaint and the notice of investigation. No party petitioned for review of Order No. 7, and the Commission has determined not to review it.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42(h)).

Issued: February 20, 2007.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E7-3249 Filed 2-23-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1122-0007]

### Office on Violence Against Women; Agency Information Collection Activities: Extension of a Currently Approved Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Semi-Annual Progress Report for the Grantees from the Legal Assistance for Victims Grant Program.

The Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 71, Number 243, page 75984 on December 19, 2006, allowing for a 60-day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until March 28, 2007. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to The Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395-5806.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who