approval of information collection requirements in the safety regulations for non-full-size cribs codified at 16 CFR 1500.18(a)(14) and Part 1509. These regulations were issued to reduce hazards of strangulation, suffocation, pinching, bruising, laceration, and other injuries associated with non-full-size cribs. (A non-full-size crib is a crib having an interior length greater than 55 inches or smaller than 49<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> inches; or an interior width greater than 30 inches or smaller than 25 inches; or both.) The regulations prescribe performance, design, and labeling requirements for non-full-size cribs. They also require manufacturers and importers of those products to maintain sales records for a period of three years after the manufacture or importation of non-fullsize cribs. If any non-full-size cribs subject to provisions of 16 CFR 1500.18(a)(14) and Part 1509 fail to comply in a manner severe enough to warrant a recall, the required records can be used by the manufacturer or importer and by the Commission to identify those persons and firms who should be notified of the recall. The Commission will consider all comments received in response to this notice before requesting approval of this collection of information from the Office of Management and Budget.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by the Office of the Secretary not later than April 13, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be captioned "Collection of Information—Requirements Under the Safety Regulations for Non-Full-Size Cribs" and e-mailed to *cpscos@cpsc.gov*. Comments may also be sent by facsimile to (301) 504–0127, or by mail to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information about the proposed renewal of this collection of information, or to obtain a copy of the pertinent regulations, call or write Linda L. Glatz, Division of Policy and Planning, Office of Information Technology and Technology Services, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland 20814; (301) 504–7671, or by e-mail to *lglatz@cpsc.gov.* 

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# A. Estimated Burden

The Commission staff currently estimates that there are approximately 16 firms required to annually maintain sales records of non-full-size cribs. The staff further estimates that the average number of hours per respondent is five per year, for a total of 80 hours and an annual cost of 3,600. (80 hrs.  $\times$  44.82/hr. based on total compensation of all civilian workers in managerial and professional positions in the U.S., July 2006, Bureau of Labor Statistics.)

#### **B. Request for Comments**

The Commission solicits written comments from all interested persons about the proposed renewal of this collection of information. The Commission specifically solicits information relevant to the following topics:

- --Whether the collection of information described above is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's functions, including whether the information would have practical utility;
- Whether the estimated burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;
- —Whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and
- -Whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology.

Dated: February 7, 2007.

### Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. E7–2332 Filed 2–9–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

#### Office of the Secretary

## Meeting of the DoD Advisory Group on Electron Devices

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense, Advisory Group on Electron Devices **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The DoD Advisory Group on Electron Devices (AGED) announces a closed session meeting.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held at 9 a.m., Tuesday, February 27, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at ITS Noesis Business Unit, 4100 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 800, Arlington, VA 22203.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Vicki Schneider, ITS Noesis Business Unit, 4100 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 800, Arlington, VA 22203, 703–741–0300.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The mission of the Advisory Group is to

provide advice to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics to the Director of Defense Research and Engineering (DDR&E), and through the DDR&E to the Director, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and the Military Departments in planning and managing an effective and economical research and development program in the area of electron devices.

The AGED meeting will be limited to review of research and development efforts in electronics and photonics with a focus on benefits to national defense. These reviews may form the basis for research and development programs initiated by the Military Departments and Defense Agencies to be conducted by industry, universities or in government laboratories. The agenda for this meeting will include programs on molecular electronics, microelectronics, electro-optics, and electronic materials. In accordance with Section 10(d) of Public Law 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. 2), it has been determined that this Advisory Group meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1), and that accordingly, this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: February 6, 2007.

# Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate, OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 07–611 Filed 2–9–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–06–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

## Department of the Navy

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for a Swimmer Interdiction Security System (SISS) at Naval Base Kitsap-Bangor, Kitsap County, WA, and Announce Public Scoping Meetings

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, and the regulations implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), the Department of the Navy (DON) announces its intent to prepare an EIS to evaluate the potential environmental consequences of construction of facilities for and operation of the SISS.

The DON's Space and Naval Warfare Systems Center San Diego (SSC San Diego) proposes to construct and operate a SISS at Naval Base Kitsap– Bangor (NBK–Bangor). In response to the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, the U.S. Government has increased security requirements at its military installations. Chief of Naval Operations Instruction (OPNAVINST) 5530.14C, Navy Physical Security Manual, establishes requirements for security at naval bases, including NBK– Bangor. OPNAVINST 5530.14C requires waterside security measures be implemented to protect the DON's assets. The proposed SISS is needed to provide additional waterside security at NBK–Bangor to counter threats from surface and submerged swimmers. SSC San Diego is the Action Proponent.

Other than the preferred alternative, the most effective alternatives would be use of Combat Swimmers and Remotely Operated Vehicles (ROVs). Under the Combat Swimmers alternative, specially trained personnel would be used to interdict underwater intruders. The combat swimmers would be aboard Harbor Security Boats (HSB) and would be deployed into the water to respond to security alerts by finding, identifying, and marking the location of underwater objects or initiating interdiction of intruders. Under the ROV alternative, ROVs would be deployed from HSBs to respond to security alerts by finding, identifying, and marking the location of underwater objects or initiating interdiction of intruders. A No Action alternative will also be evaluated. Under this alternative, no SISS would be implemented.

DATES AND ADDRESSES: Public scoping meetings will be held in Keyport, Kitsap County, Washington, and Seattle, King County, Washington, to receive oral and written comments on environmental concerns that should be addressed in the EIS. Public scoping meetings will be held on: March 27, 2007, from 5:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m., at the Naval Undersea Museum, Highway 308 at Garnet Way, Keyport, WA 98345, and on March 28, 2007, from 5:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m., at the Holiday Inn, 211 Dexter Avenue North, Seattle, WA 98109.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The DON conducted a literature review and held discussions with subject matter experts to identify alternatives for implementing the SISS. Nine action alternatives and a No Action alternative have been identified. The highest rated and preferred alternative would be composed of human/marine mammal teams that would support DON operations and respond rapidly to security alerts. The system would involve stationing California sea lions and Atlantic Bottlenose dolphins at the site (combined total of no more than 30 animals). In-water enclosures housing SISS mammals would be placed

adjacent to an existing pier/wharf on a floating dock at NBK-Bangor. The enclosures for the sea lions would be of the open-mesh type, while the dolphins would be housed in environmentally controlled enclosures. Additional support facilities, including on-shore pools for veterinary purposes and trailers for staff, laboratory, food preparation, and storage would be installed at an upland location at NBK– Bangor. The marine mammals would be deployed along the waterfront in conjunction with humans aboard small power boats; the mammals would respond to security alerts by finding, identifying, and marking the location of underwater objects, or initiating interdiction of intruders.

The marine mammals used in this system would come from the DON's marine mammal program in San Diego, and would undergo extensive health screening before and after transport to NBK-Bangor. The SISS facilities would operate in conformance with standards for animal care established by the Department of Defense, the Department of Agriculture, the Animal Welfare Act, and the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care, and a veterinarian would remain on site to meet animal health care needs. The DON is committed to protecting its marine mammals, and makes every effort to ensure the operational capability they provide is maintained by ensuring the animals remain healthy and safe while performing their duties. To this end, several studies have been conducted looking at the affects of cold water and air on the health and behavior of dolphins. Studies have been conducted in both artificial environments, such as an arctic research facility (Chun and Harris, 1978), and in naturally cold environments, such as off the coast of Connecticut (Scronce and Bowers, 1983). Other studies have been conducted in San Diego and Hawaii to determine the impact of cold water on the dolphin's ability to preserve its body temperature (Costa et al., Williams et al., 1991, Heath and Miller, 1998). Another study is currently being conducted to determine the exact temperature limits under which the dolphins can perform their tasking. These studies have helped the DON develop safe operational guidelines for the use of marine mammal systems under thermally challenging conditions. In addition, the DON has deployed dolphins to numerous cold water environments (e.g., Canada, Alaska, Norway, and Germany). It has developed facilities and procedures for

mitigating any impact of cold exposure on the dolphins during these deployments. These will be further analyzed and reviewed during this EIS process. Analysis will include water quality, air quality, biological communities, fish, marine mammals, land and sea birds, threatened and endangered species, land and water use, geology and soils/sediments, cultural resources, socioeconomics, noise, public safety, aesthetics, energy, and, in the case of the preferred alternative, effects of the site environment on the deployed mammals.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Members of the public may provide comments orally or in writing at the scoping meeting, or by mail, fax, or email sent to the following address: Commanding Officer, Space and Naval Warfare Systems Center, *ATTN*: Mike Rothe 235, 53560 Hull St., San Diego, CA 92152, *phone*: 888–510–5476, *fax*: 619–221–5251, *e-mail*:

*NBKEIS@spawar.navy.mil.* The deadline for submitting comments is April 11, 2007.

Dated: February 5, 2007.

#### M. A. Harvison,

Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. E7–2307 Filed 2–9–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Department of Education. **SUMMARY:** The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before March 14, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Education Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10222, Washington, DC 20503. Commenters are encouraged to submit responses electronically by e-mail to oira\_submission@omb.eop.gov or via fax to (202) 395-6974. Commenters should include the following subject line in their response Comment: [insert OMB number], [insert abbreviated collection name, e.g., "Upward Bound Evaluation"]. Persons submitting