Regulations. These are referred to as the "Part 71 Federal Operating Permit Regulations," which are the subject of the second ICR addressed in this notice. The part 71 program is being implemented for sources located in Indian country, Outer Continental Shelf sources, and in areas that do not have part 70 programs.

In implementing title V of the Act and EPA's part 70 operating permits regulations, State and local permitting agencies must develop programs and submit them to EPA for approval (section 502(d)), and sources subject to the program must develop operating permit applications and submit them to the permitting authority within 1 year after program approval (section 503). Permitting authorities will then issue permits (section 503(c)) and thereafter enforce, revise, and renew those permits at no more than 5-year intervals (section 502(d)). Permit applications and proposed permits will be provided to, and are subject to review by, EPA (section 505(a)). All information submitted by a source and the issued permit shall also be available for public review except for confidential information which will be protected from disclosure (section 503(e)). Sources will semi-annually submit compliance monitoring reports to the permitting authorities (section 504(a)). The EPA has the responsibility to oversee implementation of the program and to administer a Federal operating permits program in the event a program is not approved for a State (section 502(d)(3)) or if EPA determines the permitting authority is not adequately administering its approved program (section 502(i)(4)). The activities to carry out these tasks are considered mandatory and necessary for implementation of title V and the proper operation of the operating permits program. This notice provides updated burden estimates from previously approved ICRs.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for the part 70 collection of information is estimated to average 248 hours per permitted source, and the annual burden for permitting authorities to administer a part 70 program is estimated to average 10,179 hours. The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for the part 71 collection of information is estimated to average 221 hours per permitted source.

Are There Changes in the Estimates From the Last Approval?

There is an increase of 206 thousand hours in the part 70 total estimated respondent annual burden compared with that identified in the ICR currently approved by OMB. This increase in part 70 burden for sources and permitting authorities is an adjustment due to changes in burden estimates, primarily an increase in permit renewal activities. These changes in burden are not program changes, as no federal mandates, including the part 70 and part 71 regulations, have changed in any way that would affect these ICRs since the last ICR updates.

For the part 71 program, there is a decrease of 22 thousand hours in the total estimated annual burden compared with that identified in the ICR currently approved by OMB. This burden reduction is also an adjustment, due to changes in assumptions, primarily due to a reduction in expected EPA oversight activities for delegated part 71 programs.

What Is the Next Step in the Process for This ICR?

The EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICRs as appropriate. The final ICR packages will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. At that time, EPA will issue another Federal Register notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICRs to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about these ICRs or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Dated: January 31, 2007.

Jenny Noonan Edmonds,

Acting Director, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.

[FR Doc. E7–2180 Filed 2–8–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPPT-2003-0004; FRL-8114-7]

Access to Confidential Business Information by Logistics Management Institute

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has authorized Systems Research Applications (SRA) Corporation's subcontractor, Logistics Management Institute (LMI) of McLean, VA, to access information which has been submitted to EPA under all sections of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). Some of the information

may be claimed or determined to be Confidential Business Information (CBI). **DATES:** Access to the confidential data will occur no sooner than February 16, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For general information contact: Colby Lintner, Regulatory Coordinator, Environmental Assistance Division (7408M), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (202) 554–1404; e-mail address: TSCA-Hotline–epa.gov.

For technical information contact: Pam Moseley, Information Management Division (7407M), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (202) 564–8956; fax number: (202) 564–8955; e-mail address: pamela.moseley-epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

4 D (1: N) (1 4 1 4 M

A. Does this Notice Apply to Me?

This action is directed to the public in general. This action may, however, be of interest to those persons who are or may be required to conduct testing of chemical substances under TSCA. Since other entities may also be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the technical person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

B. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

1. Docket. EPA established a docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPPT-2003-0004. All documents in the docket are listed in the docket's index available at http://www.regulations.gov. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available electronically at http:// www.regulations.gov, or, if only available in hard copy, at the OPPT Docket. The OPPT Docket is located in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC) at Rm. 3334, EPA West Bldg., 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA/DC Public Reading Room hours of operation are 8:30 a.m. to 4:30

p.m.,Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays. The telephone number of the EPA/DC Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the OPPT Docket is (202) 566-0280. Docket visitors are required to show photographic identification, pass through a metal detector, and sign the EPA visitor log. All visitor bags are processed through an X-ray machine and subject to search. Visitors will be provided an EPA/DC badge that must be visible at all times in the building and returned upon departure.

2. Electronic access. You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the "**Federal Register**" listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr.

II. What Action is the Agency Taking?

Under EPA contract number EP-W-05-024, and dependent task orders, subcontractor LMI of 2000 Corporate Ridge, McLean, VA, will assist SRA and the Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT) in implementing OPPT's Target Information Architecture, which involves enterprise architecture documentation, development, requirements analysis, design, testing, change management, and updates to the information management systems that store TSCA CBI data.

In accordance with 40 CFR 2.306(j), EPA has determined that under EPA contract number EP–W–05–024, LMI will require access to CBI submitted to EPA under all sections of TSCA to perform successfully the duties specified under the contract. LMI personnel will be given access to information submitted to EPA under all sections of TSCA. Some of the information may be claimed or determined to be CBI.

EPA is issuing this notice to inform all submitters of information under all sections of TSCA that EPA may provide LMI access to these CBI materials on a need-to-know basis only. All access to TSCA CBI under this contract will take place at EPA Headquarters.

LMI will be authorized access to TSCA CBI at EPA Headquarters under the EPA TSCA CBI Protection Manual.

Clearance for access to TSCA CBI under this contract may continue until April 14, 2010, unless such access is extended.

LMI personnel will be required to sign nondisclosure agreements and will be briefed on appropriate security procedures before they are permitted access to TSCA CBI.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Confidential Business Information. Dated: January 31, 2007.

Todd S. Holderman,

Acting Director, Information Management Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. E7–2110 Filed 2–8–07; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6683-9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 7, 2006 (71 FR 17845).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20060235, ERP No. D–CGD– B03015–MA, Neputune Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG), Construction and Operation, Deepwater Port LicenseApplication, (Docket Number USCG–2004–22611) Massachusetts Bay, Gloucester and Boston, MA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to air and water quality and to marine organisms, and offered suggestions regarding measures to avoid, minimize and mitigate for unavoidable impacts. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060342, ERP No. D-FHW-L65520-WA, WA-520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project, Replace WA-520 Portage Bay and Evergreen Point Bridges and Improve Roadway between I-5 in Seattle and Bellevue Way or 108th Avenue Northeast on the Eastside, U.S. Coast Guard Permit and U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, King County, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to endangered species, and aquatic resources, and indirect and cumulative impacts. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060392, ERP No. D–FRC– K03029–00, North Baja Pipeline Expansion Project, Docket Nos. CP06– 61–000 and CP01–23–000, Construction and Operation of a Natural Gas Pipeline System, Land Use Plan Amendment, Right-of-Way Grant, Temporary Use Permits and U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, La Paz County, AZ and Riverside and Imperial Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about indirect impacts on air quality and water quality, and recommended that the FEIS discuss appropriate mitigation measures.Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060452, ERP No. D-AFS-L65527-WA, Natapoc Ridge Restoration Project, To Improve Forest Health and Sustainability, and ReduceWildfire and Hazardous Fuels, Wenatchee River Ranger District, Okanogan—Wenatchee NationalForest, Chelan County, WA.

Summary: EPA requested further clarification of several points, but expressed lack of objections with the preferred alternative. Rating LO. EIS No. 20060493, ERP No. D-IBR-

K36146–CA, Folsom Dam Safety and Flood Damage Reduction Project, Addressing Hydrologic, Seismic, Static, and Flood Management Issues, Sacramento, El Dorado and Placer Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential adverse effects on air quality and recommended implementation of mitigation measures to reduce impacts. EPA requested the General Conformity Determination be included in the FEIS and requested notification and receipt of future project-level environmental documentation. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060500, ERP No. D-AFS-K65322-CA, Little Doe and Low Gulch Timber Sale Project, Proposes to Harvest Commercial Timber, Six Rivers National Forest, Mad River Ranger District, Trinity County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to wildlife habitat, including habitat for the federally threatened northern spotted owl, and the potential for the proposed action to spread noxious weeds into the project area. Rating EC1. EIS No. 20060505, ERP No. D-NOA-

E86004–00, South Atlantic Snapper Grouper Fishery, Amendment 14 to Establish Eight Marine Protected Areas in Federal Waters, Implementation, South AtlanticRegion.

Summary: EPA supports Amendment 14 and the concept of MPAs as protected fish havens to restore given species and their habitat. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20060460, ERP No. DB-FHW-B40029-VT, Southern Connector/
Champlain Parkway Project (MEGC-