

more than \$0.003 per share executed, a firm must have an average daily volume through Nasdaq facilities in all securities during the month of (y) more than 30 million shares of liquidity provided, and (z) more than 50 million shares of liquidity access and/or routed.

For the month of November 2006, Nasdaq is proposing to reduce the 30 million fee qualification volume tiers described above to 27 million shares, and the 50 million fee qualification volume tiers described above to 47 million shares. In addition, Nasdaq is also reducing for the month of November 2006, the monthly average daily volume tier required to obtain the \$0.0025 credit rebate from its current 30 million share level to 27 million shares.

The reduction is designed to respond to certain processing issues associated with Nasdaq's implementation of its new single-book execution facility that can result in inhibiting the ability of users to submit orders to the system and thus not reach their usual levels of participation that would historically entitle them to the most competitive fee and rebate levels. Nasdaq believes that a temporary reduction of the qualification tiers is appropriate while both Nasdaq and its users gain more familiarity with the new single-book trading environment. Nasdaq notes that a similar reduction in tier levels is currently in effect for the month of December 2006,³ and this filing merely makes the December tier levels retroactive to November 2006.

2. Statutory Basis

Nasdaq believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 6 of the Act,⁴ in general, and with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,⁵ in particular, in that the proposal provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members and issuers and other persons using its facilities.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

Nasdaq does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NASDAQ-2006-055 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2006-055. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of Nasdaq. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2006-055 and should be submitted on or before February 2, 2007.

IV. Commission's Finding and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of the Proposed Rule Change

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.⁶ Specifically, the Commission finds that approval of the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4)⁷ of the Act because the proposal provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using the facilities of Nasdaq.

The Commission finds good cause, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁸ for approving the proposed rule change prior to the thirtieth day after the date of publication of the notice in the **Federal Register**. Nasdaq stated that the reduction is intended to address processing issues related to Nasdaq's implementation of its single-book trading environment. The Commission notes that the proposal represents a fee reduction for system users and also notes that the proposal is limited in duration. Therefore, the Commission finds that accelerated approval of the proposal is appropriate and should permit Nasdaq to extend the benefits of the reduction to its users for the month of November.

V. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that the proposed rule change (SR-NASDAQ-2006-055) is hereby approved on an accelerated basis.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁹

Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-312 Filed 1-11-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 54933 (Dec. 13, 2006), 71 FR 76404 (Dec. 20, 2006) (SR-NASDAQ-2006-051).

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

⁶ In approving this proposal, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-55047; File No. SR-OCC-2006-21]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Options Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Membership Requirements

January 5, 2007.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,¹ notice is hereby given that on November 15, 2006, The Options Clearing Corporation (“OCC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared primarily by OCC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change would modify certain OCC By-Laws and Rules relating to membership requirements.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, OCC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. OCC has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.²

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

The purpose of this rule change is to modify certain By-Laws and Rules relating to membership requirements in order to improve their effectiveness or otherwise clarify their meaning.

1. Interpretation and Policy .03

Interpretation and Policy .03 to Article V, Section 1, of OCC’s By-Laws currently requires applicants for membership to employ two key operations employees on a full-time basis. This requirement is intended to ensure that an applicant maintains

sufficient staff to fulfill its obligations as a clearing member. However, several recent applicants for clearing membership have had difficulty meeting this requirement because their entire staff was employed by an affiliate of the applicant (*i.e.*, a parent or related organization) rather than by the applicant itself. While these applicants entered into employee leasing arrangements in order to comply with OCC’s policy, OCC decided to reevaluate the policy in light of the fact that it had proved burdensome to a number of applicants.

OCC understands that it is not uncommon for some entities of an affiliated corporate group to outsource certain or all functions to another entity of the corporate group and let the latter be the sole employer of the people who perform those functions. In situations of that nature, OCC has concluded that there is not the same reason to be concerned about whether the applicant will have adequate staffing as in cases where the applicant relies on an unaffiliated third party for staffing. OCC therefore wishes to modify its policy in order to provide greater flexibility to recognize this alternative employment structure. Accordingly, OCC proposes to amend Interpretation and Policy .03 to Article V, Section 1, to permit the Membership/Risk Committee (“Committee”) to waive the requirement that an applicant employ two key operations employees on a full-time basis if the daily operations of the applicant are conducted by staff employed on a full-time basis by an entity affiliated with such applicant. OCC believes that the Committee’s authority to waive such requirement is consistent with its existing authority to waive the requirement that an applicant employ at least one full-time person who is registered as a “Limited Principal—Financial and Operations” or comparable registration requirement, as applicable.

2. Rule 309

OCC proposes to amend Rule 309 to clarify that if an operationally capable clearing member proposes to become a managed clearing member (*i.e.*, outsource certain of its obligations as a clearing member to another clearing member [“managing clearing member”]), the applicant must obtain prior approval from the Committee. Currently, Interpretation and Policy .04 primarily contemplates the use of facilities management agreements by applicants for membership rather than existing clearing members. Nonetheless, OCC has always interpreted its By-Laws and Rules as requiring prior Committee

review and approval of all facilities management agreements, including those proposed to be entered into by operationally capable clearing members. The proposed amendment to Rule 309 makes this interpretation explicit.

3. Rule 901

OCC proposes to amend Rule 901 to provide that a clearing member’s appointment of another clearing member or CDS Clearing and Depository Services Inc. (“CDS”)³, as applicable, for purposes of effecting settlements of exercised or matured cleared securities may not be terminated until after the 30th calendar day following notice to OCC of such termination.⁴ Currently, clearing members are required to provide three business days notice of terminating such appointments. However, three business days might be insufficient for OCC to determine whether or not the clearing member has made appropriate alternative settlement arrangements. Accordingly, OCC proposes to change the notice period to be consistent with the notice period required to advise OCC of the termination of a facilities management agreement.⁵

OCC believes that the proposed change is consistent with Section 17A of the Act⁶ because it amends certain rule provisions relating to membership requirements in order to improve their effectiveness or otherwise clarify their meaning and thereby promotes the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of derivative contracts and transactions.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

OCC does not believe that the proposed rule change would impose any burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

OCC has not solicited or received written comments with respect to the proposed rule change.

³ CDS is the successor organization to Canadian Depository for Securities Ltd. The By-Law definition of CDS has been amended to reflect this organizational change.

⁴ OCC surveyed appointed clearing members that effect NSCC settlements for nonaffiliated clearing members as well as CDS to ascertain their views regarding the proposed change in the notice period for terminating such appointments. There were no objections to the proposed change.

⁵ Conforming changes have been made to the related appointment forms, which are attached as Exhibits 5A and 5B to the proposed rule filing.

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² The Commission has modified the text of the summaries prepared by OCC.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within thirty-five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) As the Commission may designate up to ninety days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) by order approve such proposed rule change or

(B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR-OCC-2006-21 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR-OCC-2006-21. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing also will

be available for inspection and copying at OCC's principal office and on OCC's Web site at http://www.theocc.com/publications/rules/proposed_changes/proposed_changes.jsp. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submission should refer to File No. SR-OCC-2006-21 and should be submitted on or before February 2, 2007.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁷

Florence E. Harmon,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7-305 Filed 1-11-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Interest Rates

The Small Business Administration publishes an interest rate called the optional "peg" rate (13 CFR 120.214) on a quarterly basis. This rate is a weighted average cost of money to the government for maturities similar to the average SBA direct loan. This rate may be used as a base rate for guaranteed fluctuating interest rate SBA loans. This rate will be 4.875 (4⁷/₈) percent for the January-March quarter of FY 2007.

Janet A. Tasker,

Acting Associate Administrator for Financial Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-296 Filed 1-11-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

Aviation Proceedings, Agreements Filed the Week Ending December 29, 2006

The following Agreements were filed with the Department of Transportation under the Sections 412 and 414 of the Federal Aviation Act, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1382 and 1384) and procedures governing proceedings to enforce these provisions. Answers may be filed within 21 days after the filing of the application.

Docket Number: OST-2006-26768.

Date Filed: December 28, 2006.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

Subject:

Mail Vote 525—Resolution 010i, TC23/123 Europe-Japan Korea, Special Passenger Amending Resolution from Korea (Rep. of) to Europe. Intended effective date: 15 January 2007.

Barbara J. Hairston,

Supervisory Docket Officer, Docket Operations, Alternate Federal Register Liaison.

[FR Doc. E7-360 Filed 1-11-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-9X-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Public Notice of Waiver of Aeronautical Land-Use Assurance—Hallock Municipal Airport, Hallock, MN

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent of waiver with respect to land.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is considering a proposal to change a portion of the airport from aeronautical use to non-aeronautical use and to authorize the sale and/or conversion of the airport property. The proposal consists of two parcels of land containing a total of 4.18 acres located on the north side of the airport along County Road 13. There are no impacts to the airport by allowing the airport to dispose of the property.

These parcels were originally acquired under Grant No. FAAP-01 in 1964. The parcels were acquired for a runway that has since been abandoned and replaced by a new primary runway in a different location. The land comprising these parcels is, therefore, no longer needed for aeronautical purposes and the airport owner wishes to sell at 4.0 acre parcel for an agricultural implement dealership and convert 0.18 acres of another parcel for use as a city wastewater lift station site. The income from the sale/conversion of these parcels will be reinvested in the airport for extending the useful life of the runway pavement.

Approval does not constitute a commitment by the FAA to financially assist in the disposal of the subject airport property nor a determination of eligibility for grant-in-aid funding from the FAA. The disposition of proceeds from the disposal of the airport property will be in accordance with FAA's Policy and Procedures Concerning the Use of Airport Revenue, published in the **Federal Register** on February 16, 1999. In accordance with section 47107(h) of