

to be held at 9:30 a.m. on March 6, 2007, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. Oral testimony and written materials to be submitted at the public hearing are governed by §§ 201.6(b)(2), 201.13(f), and 207.24 of the Commission's rules. Parties must submit any request to present a portion of their hearing testimony *in camera* no later than 7 business days prior to the date of the hearing.

**Written submissions.** Each party who is an interested party shall submit a prehearing brief to the Commission. Prehearing briefs must conform with the provisions of section 207.23 of the Commission's rules; the deadline for filing is March 6, 2007. Parties may also file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the hearing, as provided in § 207.24 of the Commission's rules, and posthearing briefs, which must conform with the provisions of § 207.25 of the Commission's rules. The deadline for filing posthearing briefs is March 20, 2007; witness testimony must be filed no later than three days before the hearing. In addition, any person who has not entered an appearance as a party to the investigation may submit a written statement of information pertinent to the subject of the investigation, including statements of support or opposition to the petition, on or before March 20, 2007. On April 5, 2007, the Commission will make available to parties all information on which they have not had an opportunity to comment. Parties may submit final comments on this information on or before April 9, 2007, but such final comments must not contain new factual information and must otherwise comply with § 207.30 of the Commission's rules. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of § 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by § 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in II (C) of the Commission's Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002).

Additional written submissions to the Commission, including requests pursuant to section 201.12 of the Commission's rules, shall not be accepted unless good cause is shown for accepting such submissions, or unless

the submission is pursuant to a specific request by a Commissioner or Commission staff.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the investigation must be served on all other parties to the investigation (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Authority:** This investigation is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.21 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 8, 2007.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E7-259 Filed 1-10-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of a Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act and the Clean Water Act

Notice is hereby given that on December 20, 2006 a proposed Consent Decree "Consent Decree" in *United States v. EnTire Recycling, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 8:06-CV-766 was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Nebraska.

In this action the United States sought recovery of costs incurred by the National Pollution Funds Center and the Environmental Protection Agency in responding to the release, discharge and threat of release of oil and hazardous substances at a tire recycling facility owned and operated by Defendants EnTire Recycling, Inc., Brock Grain Company, Inc. and James D. Gerking in Nebraska City, Nebraska. Under the Consent Decree, the Defendants will reimburse the United States \$201,000.00.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. EnTire Recycling, Inc.* D.J. Ref. 90-5-1-1-08431. The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the

United States Attorney, District of Nebraska, 1620 Dodge Street, Suite 1400, Omaha, Nebraska 68102 and at U.S. EPA Region VII, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66025. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, to [http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\\_Decrees.html](http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html). A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood ([tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov](mailto:tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov)), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$5.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

**Robert E. Maher, Jr.,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 07-49 Filed 1-10-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Bureau of Labor Statistics

#### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) is soliciting comments concerning the proposed reinstatement of the "National Longitudinal Survey of Youth 1979." A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the individual

listed in the Addresses section of this notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the Addresses section below on or before March 12, 2007.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Amy A. Hobby, BLS Clearance Officer, Division of Management Systems, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Room 4080, 2 Massachusetts Avenue, NE., Washington, DC 20212, 202-691-7628. (This is not a toll free number.)

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Amy A. Hobby, BLS Clearance Officer, 202-691-7628. (See Addresses section.)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

The National Longitudinal Survey of Youth 1979 (NLSY79) is a nationally representative survey of people who were born in the years 1957 to 1964 and lived in the U.S. when the survey began in 1979. NLSY79 participants were interviewed annually from 1979 to 1994 and have been interviewed every two years since 1994. The focus of the survey is labor market experiences, but the survey also covers topics that affect or are affected by labor market activity. These topics include education, training, marital and family relationships, fertility, childcare, health, substance use, and others.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) contracts with the National Opinion Research Center (NORC) of the University of Chicago to conduct the NLSY79. Prior to each round of the NLSY79, a pretest has been conducted with a separate, smaller sample to help ensure the proper functioning of questionnaires, procedures, and systems and to rectify any problems before the main fielding of the NLSY79. Over time, the size of the pretest sample has declined significantly, and the characteristics of pretest participants now differ so sharply from the characteristics of most NLSY79 participants that the pretest no longer is a useful tool to detect and remedy problems with the survey. For this reason, the BLS and its contractors have decided to replenish the pretest sample.

One of the goals of the Department of Labor (DOL) is to produce and disseminate timely, accurate, and relevant information about the U.S.

labor force. The BLS contributes to this goal by gathering information about the labor force and labor market and disseminating it to policymakers and the public so that participants in those markets can make more informed, and thus more efficient, choices. Research based on the NLSY79 contributes to the formation of national policy in the areas of education, training, employment programs, and school-to-work transitions. In addition to the reports that the BLS produces based on data from the NLSY79, members of the academic community publish articles and reports based on NLSY79 data for the DOL and other funding agencies. The survey design provides data gathered from the same respondents over time to form the only data set that contains this type of intergenerational information for these important population groups. Without the collection of these data, an accurate longitudinal data set could not be provided to researchers and policymakers, thus adversely affecting the DOL's ability to perform its policy- and report-making activities.

**II. Current Action**

The BLS seeks approval to conduct interviews to replenish the pretest sample of the NLSY79. The process of replenishing the sample requires new sample members to be interviewed during the summer of 2007. The information obtained from this interview will be used for an input file during the NLSY79 Round 23 pretest that is planned for October 2007. Because the NLSY79 is longitudinal, the questions that respondents are asked in one round sometimes depend on the responses they provided in previous rounds. The summer 2007 interview is necessary to obtain information that will enable all questions to function properly in the October 2007 pretest. At an appropriate later date, the BLS will request approval to conduct the regular pretest and main fielding for Round 23 of the NLSY79.

The expanded pretest sample will add 100 cooperative respondents born in the years 1957 to 1964. The sample will be selected from a targeted telephone list of approximately 1,000 numbers that is maintained in the NORC telephone center system. To make the dialing effort more efficient, NORC will screen

its list for phone numbers that are no longer working or that are associated with businesses. The new sample members will be dispersed across rural, suburban, and urban tracts within 40 miles of Chicago. The sample will be targeted across these different types of tracts based on the area codes selected for the replenishment effort.

By design, the replenished pretest sample will be one of convenience rather than one used to produce nationally representative estimates. The sample characteristics will be constrained only by the birth year, but the BLS and its contractors will seek a diverse mix of men and women across racial and ethnic groups. Employed individuals also will be targeted so that the pretest can effectively examine the most critical NLSY79 questionnaire paths, which relate to employment.

**III. Desired Focus of Comments**

The Bureau of Labor Statistics is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility.
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

*Type of Review:* Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

*Agency:* Bureau of Labor Statistics.

*Title:* National Longitudinal Survey of Youth 1979.

*OMB Number:* 1220-0109.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households.

Form	Total respondents	Frequency	Total responses	Average time per response	Estimated total burden
NLSY79 Pretest Sample Replenishment Screener .....	1,000	Once .....	1,000	3 minutes ...	50 hours.
NLSY79 Pretest Sample Replenishment Interview .....	100	Once .....	100	15 minutes	25 hours.

Form	Total respondents	Frequency	Total responses	Average time per response	Estimated total burden
Totals .....	1,100	.....	1,100	.....	75 hours.

**Note:** In some cases, the respondents for the replenishment interview will be the same people who responded to the screener. These respondents could be different people, however. For example, one spouse who was not born in the years 1957 to 1964 and therefore is ineligible for the pretest sample may respond to the screener questions, while the other spouse who is eligible for the pretest sample responds to the replenishment interview.

*Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):*  
\$0.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance):* \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they also will become a matter of public record.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 5th day of January 2007.

**Cathy Kazanowski,**

*Chief, Division of Management Systems,  
Bureau of Labor Statistics.*

[FR Doc. E7-162 Filed 1-10-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-24-P**

## **NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION**

### **Information Security Oversight Office; Public Interest Declassification Board (PIDB); Notice of Meeting**

Pursuant to Section 1102 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 which extended and modified the Public Interest Declassification Board (PIDB) as established by the Public Interest Declassification Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106-567, title VII, December 27, 2000, 114 Stat. 2856), announcement is made for the following committee meeting:

*Name of Committee:* Public Interest Declassification Board (PIDB).

*Date of Meeting:* Friday, January 19, 2007.

*Time of Meeting:* 9 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.

*Place of Meeting:* National Archives and Records Administration, 700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Archivist's Reception Room, Room 105, Washington, DC 20408.

*Purpose:* To discuss declassification program issues.

This meeting will be open to the public. However, due to space limitations and access procedures, the name and telephone number of individuals planning to attend must be submitted to the Information Security Oversight Office (ISOO) no later than Monday, January 15, 2007. ISOO will provide additional instructions for gaining access to the location of the meeting.

*For Further Information Contact:* J. William Leonard, Director Information Security Oversight Office, National Archives Building, 700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20408, telephone number (202) 357-5250.

Dated: January 9, 2007.

**J. William Leonard,**

*Director, Information Security Oversight Office.*

[FR Doc. E7-254 Filed 1-10-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7515-01-P**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**[Docket No. 52-008-ESP; ASLBP No. 04-822-02-ESP]**

### **Atomic Safety and Licensing Board; In the Matter of Dominion Nuclear North Anna, LLC (Early Site Permit for North Anna ESP Site); Order (Notice of Opportunity To Make Oral or Written Limited Appearance Statements)**

January 5, 2007.

Before Administrative Judges: Alex S. Karlin, Chairman, Dr. Thomas S. Elleman, Dr. Richard F. Cole.

This proceeding concerns the September 25, 2003 application of Dominion Nuclear North Anna LLC for an early site permit (ESP) for the possible construction of two nuclear power reactors on the site of two existing nuclear reactors in Mineral, Virginia.

This Atomic Safety and Licensing Board hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.315(a), the Board will entertain oral limited appearance statements from members of the public regarding the North Anna ESP application. The limited appearance statement session will be held on February 8, 2007 from 6 p.m. to 11 p.m. EST at the Louisa County High School auditorium, 757 Davis Highway, Mineral, Virginia 23117.

### **I. Background and Scope of Proceeding**

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Commission) has defined an ESP as "Commission approval \* \* \* for a site or sites for one or more nuclear power facilities." 10 CFR 52.3(b). If an ESP application is approved, then, if the

holder applies for a later construction permit, "the Commission shall treat as resolved those matters resolved in the proceeding on the application for issuance or renewal of the early site permit." 10 CFR 52.39(a)(2). The North Anna ESP application also includes a site redress plan, which, if approved, would allow the ESP holder to prepare the site for construction of the plant, as long as the activities will not result in any significant adverse environmental impact which cannot be redressed, and the applicant commits to redress the site if a construction permit is not issued. 10 CFR 52.25. See North Anna ESP Application, Revision 9, 4-1-1 (September 2006). The applicant may not undertake any other construction activities on the site, however, without having applied for and received a construction or combined operating license from the NRC. 10 CFR 52.3. On December 2, 2003, the Commission published a notice of hearing with regard to Dominion's North Anna ESP application, notifying the public of the mandatory hearing on certain uncontested safety and environmental issues, and of the right to petition for leave to intervene to contest the application. 68 FR 67489 (Dec. 2, 2003). On January 2, 2004, Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League, Nuclear Information and Resource Service, and Public Citizen filed a petition to intervene. The predecessor Board admitted two of the Intervenor's contentions. See *Dominion Nuclear North Anna LLC* (North Anna ESP), LBP-04-18, 60 NRC 253, 274 (2004).

On January 13, 2006, Dominion submitted a supplement to its application, proposing to change the cooling system for proposed Unit 3 and to increase the power level of each proposed unit (Units 3 and 4) from 4300 MWt to 4500 MWt. As a consequence, the application process was delayed by a year. The Staff issued a supplemental Final Safety Evaluation Report (FSER) on November 15, 2006, and a supplemental Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) on December 14, 2006, addressing the changed application.

Both of the admitted contentions were resolved, one by a settlement and the