was destined for the United States. In a November 20, 2006, letter to parties, we requested comments from parties on this determination, and received no comments. Therefore, based on our review of CBP documents, we are satisfied there were no entries of subject merchandise subject to this administrative review. Accordingly, we are rescinding the review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), the Department may rescind an administrative review, in whole or with respect to a particular exporter or producer, if the Secretary concludes that, during the period covered by the review, there were no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise. Because the evidence on the record shows that there were no entries of OCTG made by Siderca during the POR, the Department is rescinding this review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3). The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP within fifteen days of publication of this notice.

### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under section 351.402(f) of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's assumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties. This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 351.305(a)(3) of the Department's regulations. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (as amended) and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: January 3, 2007.

## Stephen J. Claeys

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration

[FR Doc. E7–193 Filed 1–9–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration

## (A-570-886)

#### Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 10, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laurel LaCivita or Matthew Quigley, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4243 or (202) 482– 4551, respectively.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On September 28, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the Federal **Register** a notice of initiation of the antidumping duty administrative review of Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags ("PRCBs") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for the period January 26, 2004, through July 31, 2005. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 70 FR 56631 (September 28, 2005). On September 13, 2006, the Department published the preliminary results. See Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 71 FR 54021 (September 13, 2006). The final results are currently due by January 11, 2007.

# Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Review

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department shall make a final determination in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. The Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend that 120-day period to 180 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period.

The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the final results of the administrative review of PRCBs from the PRC within the 120-day period due to complex issues the parties have raised regarding the selection of appropriate financial statements for the calculation of surrogate financial ratios. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for completion of the final results of this review to 152 days until February 12, 2007.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: December 29, 2006.

#### Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretaryfor Import Administration. [FR Doc. E7–192 Filed 1–9–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

(A-533-824)

#### Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip from India: Notice of Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On August 30, 2006, in response to a timely request from Jindal Poly Films Limited of India and MTZ Polyfilms, Ltd., the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate (PET) film from India. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 71 FR 51573 (August 30, 2006) (Initiation Notice). This administrative review covers the period July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006. We are now rescinding the review of Jindal Poly Films Limited of India as a result of the withdrawal of its request for an administrative review of this order; we are continuing the administrative review of MTZ Polyfilms, Ltd.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 10, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jun Jack Zhao or Jacqueline Arrowsmith, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14<sup>th</sup> Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 7866, Washington, DC 20230; telephone number: (202) 482–1396 and (202) 482–5255, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On July 3, 2006, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on PET film from India for the period of July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review, 71 FR 37890 (July 3, 2006). On July 31, 2006, Jindal Poly Films Limited of India (Jindal) and MTZ Polyfilms, Ltd. (MTZ) requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PET film. In response to these requests, the Department of Commerce initiated an antidumping duty administrative review on PET film from India. See Initiation Notice.

On August 25, 2006, pursuant to section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations, Jindal withdrew its request for an administrative review. Section 351.213(d) of the Department's regulations states that the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. We received the withdrawal of Jindal's request for review on August 25, 2006, which is within the requisite 90 days since the Initiation Notice was published on July 31.2006.

#### Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the company for which this review is rescinded (Jindal), antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(I). The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of this notice.

## Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: January 3, 2007.

#### Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretaryfor Import Administration. [FR Doc. E7–199 Filed 1–9–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### National Oceanic and Atmosphere Administration

Alaska Coastal Management Program: Incorporation of Revised Coastal District Management Plans for Cities of Skagway, Hoonah, and Pelican; and Deletion of Coastal District Management Plans for Cities of Angoon, Hydaburg, Kake, Klawock, and St. Paul with Associated Areas Meriting Special Attention; Availability of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

**AGENCY:** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice of availability of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the availability of the Environmental Assessment (EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) approval of the State of Alaska's Coastal Management Program (ACMP) request to incorporate revisions to the cities of Skagway, Hoonah and Pelican's coastal district management and plan and to delete the cites of Angoon, Hydaburg, Kake, Klawock, and St. Paul coastal district management plans and associated Areas Meriting Special Attention (AMSA) as a routine program

change to the ACMP. The EA was prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) U.S.A. 4321 et seq. to assess the environmental impacts associated with the approval and implementation of these revisions to the ACMP, which were submitted to NOAA by the State of Alaska. Pursuant to Section 306(g) of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 as amended (CZMA) and NOAA's Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management (OCRM) regulations (15 CFR part 923, subpart H), OCRM is required to approve any amendment, modification, or other change to a state's federally-approved coastal management program. This includes changes to local coastal management programs. See 15 CFR part 923, subpart E.

For the purposes of this EA, the proposed action is approval of the proposed revisions to three district coastal management plans and deletion of the five district coastal management plans and associated AMSA. These changes to the ACMP will bring the three revised district coastal management plans into compliance with the recently-approved amendments to the ACMP, and eliminate five previously-approved district coastal management plans and their associated AMSĂ. The five districts will no longer participate at the local level in the State's federally-approved coastal zone management program. The coastal area in which the five deleted coastal management programs reside will continue to be covered by the ACMP's federally-approved statewide (rather than local) policies. However, due to other recent changes to the ACMP approved by OCRM, some district area uses and resources may not receive the same level of resource coverage, including subsistence resources.

NOAA finds that the ACMP has met the requirements for submitting a routine program change to OCRM and proposes to approve the program change. Based upon the EA, NOAA proposes to conclude that a Finding of No Significant Impact is appropriate, and therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement is unnecessary. The Council on Environmental Quality's regulations to implement NEPA require agencies to provide public notice of the availability of environmental documents. 40 CFR 1506.6. This notice is part of NOAA's action to comply with this requirement.

A copy of the final EA and the Finding of No Significant Impact may be found on OCRM's Web site at http:// coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/ assessments/welcome.html or may be obtained upon request from: Helen Bass, Coastal Programs Division (N/ORM3),