

## Background

This Notice is issued pursuant to 17 U.S.C. 804(b)(2), which requires the commencement of proceedings “in the year 2007 to determine reasonable terms and rates of royalty payments for the activities described in section 112(e)(1) relating to the limitation on exclusive rights specified by section 114(d)(1)(C)(iv), to become effective on January 1, 2009.” Section 112(e)(1) allows entities that transmit performances of sound recordings to business establishments, pursuant to the limitations set forth in section 114(d)(1)(C)(iv), to make an ephemeral recording of a sound recording for purposes of a later transmission. Section 803(b)(1)(A)(II) requires the Copyright Royalty Judges to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** no later than January 5, 2007, commencing this proceeding.

## Petitions to Participate

Any party who wishes to participate in this proceeding must submit to the Copyright Royalty Board a Petition to Participate by no later than February 5, 2007. 17 U.S.C. 803(b)(1)(A)(ii). The single or joint Petition to Participate must provide all of the information required by 37 CFR 351.1(b)(1). The Petition to Participate must be accompanied by a \$150 filing fee. Cash will not be accepted; therefore, parties must pay the filing fee with a check or money order made payable to “Copyright Royalty Board.” If a check received in payment of the filing fee is returned for lack of sufficient funds, the corresponding Petition to Participate will be dismissed. Note that in accordance with 37 CFR 350.2 (Representation), only attorneys who are members of the bar in one or more states and in good standing will be allowed to represent parties before the Copyright Royalty Judges if a party does not solely represent him or herself.

Dated: December 27, 2006.

**James Scott Sledge,**

*Chief Copyright Royalty Judge.*

[FR Doc. E6-22499 Filed 1-4-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1410-72-P

## NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

### Notice of Sunshine Act Meeting

**TIME AND DATE:** 9:30 a.m., Tuesday, January 9, 2007.

**PLACE:** NTSB Conference Center, 429 L'Enfant Plaza SW., Washington, DC 20594.

**STATUS:** The one item is open to the public.

**MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED:** 7695B, *Aircraft Accident Report—Crash of Pinnacle Airlines Flight 3701*, Bombardier CL-600-2B19, N8396A, Jefferson City, Missouri, October 14, 2004.

**NEWS MEDIA CONTACT:** Terry Williams: (202) 314-6100.

Individuals requesting specific accommodations should contact Chris Bisett at (202) 314-6305 by Friday, January 5, 2007.

The public may view the meeting via a live or archived webcast by accessing a link under “News & Events” on the NTSB home page at <http://www.nts.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Vicky D’Onofrio, (202) 314-6410.

Dated: December 29, 2006.

**Vicky D’Onofrio,**

*Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 06-9990 Filed 12-29-06; 12:31 pm]

BILLING CODE 7533-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

### Information Pertaining to the Requirement To Be Submitted

1. *The title of the information collection:* Policy Statement for the “Criteria for Guidance of States and NRC in Discontinuance of NRC Regulatory Authority and Assumption Thereof By States Through Agreement,” Maintenance of Existing Agreement State Programs, Request for Information Through the Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) Questionnaire, and Agreement State Participation in IMPEP.

2. *Current OMB approval number:* OMB 3150-0183.

3. *How often the collection is required:* There are four activities that occur under this collection: Information collection activities required by the IMPEP questionnaire in preparation for

an IMPEP review conducted no less frequently than every four years; while the following activities are all collected on an annual basis—policy statement addressing requirements for new Agreement States; participation by Agreement States in the IMPEP reviews; and annual requirements for Agreement States to maintain their programs.

4. *Who is required or asked to report:* 34 Agreement States who have signed Section 274b. Agreements with NRC.

5. *The number of annual respondents:* 34.

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* For States interested in becoming Agreement States: Approximately 4,300 hours. For Agreement State participation in 10 IMPEP reviews (7 Agreement States, 1 NRC Regional Office and 2 Follow-up reviews): 360 hours (an average of 36 hours per review). For maintenance of existing Agreement State programs: 255,600 hours (an average of approximately 7,517 hours per State for 34 Agreement States). For Agreement State response to 7 IMPEP questionnaires annually: 371 hours (an average of 53 hours per program). The total number of hours expended annually is 260,631 hours.

7. *Abstract:* States wishing to become Agreement States are requested to provide certain information to the NRC as specified by the Commission’s Policy Statement, “Criteria for Guidance of States and NRC in Discontinuance of NRC Regulatory Authority and Assumption Thereof By States Through Agreement.” Agreement States need to ensure that the Radiation Control Program under the Agreement remains adequate and compatible with the requirements of Section 274 of the Atomic Energy Act (Act) and must maintain certain information. NRC conducts periodic evaluations through IMPEP to ensure that these programs are compatible with the NRC’s program, meet the applicable parts of the Act, and are adequate to protect public health and safety.

Submit, by March 6, 2007, comments that address the following questions;

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?