

directive is not subject to OMB review under Executive Order 12866.

#### *Proper Consideration of Small Entities*

This interim directive has been considered in light of Executive Order 13272 regarding proper consideration of small entities and the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA), which amended the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). It has been determined that this interim directive would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as defined by SBREFA.

#### *Environmental Impact*

Section 31.1b of Forest Service Handbook 1909.15 (57 FR 43180; September 18, 1992) excludes from documentation in an environmental assessment or impact statement "rules, regulations, or policies to establish Service-wide administrative procedures, program processes, or instructions" that do not significantly affect the quality of the human environment. This interim directive sets forth administrative procedures for implementation of the TFPA and, as such, has no direct effect on Forest Service decisions for land management activities.

#### *No Takings Implications*

This interim directive is limited to establishment of administrative procedures to respond to American Indian and Alaska Natives proposed work projects to enter into contracts and/or agreements with the Forest Service. Projects would conduct land management activities on Forest Service and BLM lands adjacent to Indian trust land and Indian communities.

This interim directive has been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights, and it has been determined that the interim directive does not pose the risk of a taking of private property.

#### *Federalism*

Executive Order 13132, Federalism, requires consultation with State and local officials when planned regulations and other policies have substantial direct effects on the States. This interim directive establishes procedures for the TFPA which will be administered by the Forest Service and implemented by participating Indian tribes. Therefore, the agency has determined that there are no direct effects on the States and no further assessment of federalism implications is necessary.

#### *Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments*

In accordance with Forest Service policy and Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, formal consultation was conducted with Indian tribes on development of this new policy in 2005. The draft TFPA policy was sent to regional FS offices, where it was then sent to tribes in their respective regions that have tribal land, rangeland, or tribal communities bordering on or adjacent to NFS land, for consultation with those tribes. A 60-day comment period was provided for the consultation and comment.

#### *Energy Effects*

This interim directive has been reviewed under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. It has been determined that this proposed guideline does not constitute a significant energy action as defined in the Executive Order.

#### *Unfunded Mandates Reform*

Pursuant to Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, which the President signed into law on March 22, 1995, the Department has assessed the effects of this interim directive on Tribal governments and the private sector. This interim directive does not compel the expenditure of \$100 million or more by any Tribal government or anyone in the private sector. Therefore, a statement under section 202 of the Act is not required.

#### *Civil Justice*

This interim directive has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. After adoption of this interim directive as final, (1) all State and local laws and regulations that conflict with this policy or that would impede full implementation of this policy will be preempted (2) no retroactive effect would be given to this interim directive; and (3) this interim directive would not require the use of administrative proceedings before parties could file suit in court challenging its provisions.

Dated: November 27, 2006.

#### **Sally Collins,**

*Associate Chief, Forest Service.*

[FR Doc. E6-22061 Filed 12-22-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **Foreign-Trade Zones Board**

#### **Docket 47-2006**

#### **Foreign-Trade Zone 15 - Kansas City, Missouri, Area, Application for Expansion**

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Greater Kansas City Foreign Trade Zone, Inc., grantee of FTZ 15, requesting authority to expand its zone in the Kansas City, Missouri, area, adjacent to the Kansas City CBP port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR Part 400). It was formally filed on December 14, 2006.

FTZ 15 was approved on March 23, 1973 (Board Order 93, 38 FR 8622, 4/4/73) and expanded on October 25, 1974 (Board Order 102, 39 FR 39487, 11/7/74); on February 28, 1996 (Board Order 804, 61 FR 9676, 3/11/96); on May 31, 1996 (Board Order 824, 61 FR 29529, 6/11/96); on December 8, 1997 (Board Order 934, 62 FR 65654, 12/15/97); on October 19, 1998 (Board Order 1004, 63 FR 59761, 11/5/98); on January 8, 1999 (Board Order 1016, 64 FR 3064, 1/20/99); on June 17, 1999 (Board Order 1042, 64 FR 34188, 6/25/99); on April 15, 2002 (Board Order 1226, 67 FR 20087, 4/24/02); and, on April 20, 2005 (Board Order 1388, 70 FR 22630, 5/2/05).

The zone project to date has consisted of the following sites in the Kansas City area: *Site 1* (5.7 acres, 250,000 sq. ft.) -- Midland International Corporation warehouse facility located at 1650 North Topping St., Kansas City; *Site 1A* (2.76 acres) -- located at 1226 Topping Drive, Kansas City; *Site 2* (64.3 acres, 2.8 million sq. ft.) -- surface/underground warehouse complex located at 8300 NE Underground Drive, Kansas City (includes a site (75,000 sq. ft.) located at 3600 Great Midwest Drive operated by Terminal Consolidation Company); *Site 3* (9,615 acres) -- located within the 10,000-acre Kansas City International Airport facility; *Site 3A* (1 acre, 33,541 sq. ft.) -- located at 10201 N. Everton, Kansas City; *Site 3B* (3 parcels, 384 acres total) -- Kansas City: *Parcel 1* (68 acres) -- within the 330-acre Air World Center Business Park, located at Interstate 29 and 112th Street; *Parcel 2* (155 acres) -- Congress Corporate Center Industrial Park, located at the northwest corner of 112th Street and North Congress; and, *Parcel 3* (161 acres) -- city-owned Harley Davidson Site; *Site 4* (416 acres) -- Carefree Industrial Park,

1600 NM–291 Highway, Sugar Creek/Independence; *Site 5* (1,000 acres, 5.75 million sq. ft.) -- CARMAR Underground Business Park/CARMAR Industrial Park, No. 1 Civil War Road, Carthage; *Site 6* (28,000 sq. ft., 11 acres) -- Laser Light Technologies, Inc., facility located within the Hermann Industrial Park, 5 Danuser Drive, Hermann (expired 12/31/05); *Site 7* (1,750 acres) Richards–Gebaur Memorial Airport/Industrial Park complex, 1540 Maxwell, Kansas City; *Site 8* (13.57 acres) located at Ryan Road and Brunswick, Chillicothe; *Site 8T* (6 acres, 85,000 sq. ft.) - temporary site located at 411 S. Brunswick Road, Chillicothe (expires 12/1/08); and, *Site 9* (50 acres, 2 parcels) St. Joseph: *Parcel 1* (200,000 sq. ft., 25 acres) located at 2307 Alabama Street and *Parcel 2* (169,000 sq. ft., 25 acres) located at 2326 Lower Lake Road.

The applicant is requesting authority to include additional sites in the Kansas City, Missouri area: Expand *Site 8* to include an additional parcel located at 411 South Brunswick Road, Chillicothe (this will include *Site 8T* on a permanent basis); *Proposed Site 10* (72.31 acres) - warehouse located at 8201 E. 23rd Street, Kansas City; *Proposed Site 11* (49 acres, 3 parcels) located at an industrial park in Grandview: *Parcel A* (18 acres)-tract of undeveloped land, 13700 S. US 71 Hwy; *Parcel B* (9 acres)-tract of undeveloped land, 5610 East 139th Street; *Parcel C* (22 acres)-warehouse located at 13500 15th Street; and, *Proposed Site 12* (125 acres)- Botts warehouse located at 14100 Botts Road, Grandview.

The applicant is also requesting that six acres at *Site 8* be restored to zone status. (A minor modification was approved in November 13, 2006 (A(27f)-62–2006) removing six acres from *Site 8* to establish the temporary site (*Site 8T*)). The applicant is further requesting to remove 183 acres from *Site 7* due to changed circumstances (new total - 1,567 acres). No specific manufacturing requests are being made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis. In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is February 26, 2007. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to March 12, 2007).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations: U.S. Department of Commerce Export Assistance Center, Suite 650, 2345 Grand Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri 64108, and, Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign–Trade Zones Board, Room 2814B, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: December 14, 2006.

**Pierre V. Duy,**

*Acting Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E6–22079 Filed 12–22–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**A–570–846**

#### **Brake Rotors from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the 2005–2006 Administrative and New Shipper Reviews**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 26, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jennifer Moats, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5047.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On May 31, 2006, the Department published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of brake rotors from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”), covering the period April 1, 2005, through March 31, 2006. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 71 FR 30864 (May 31, 2006). This administrative review covers 16 firms. However, due to the large number of firms subject to this administrative review, and the Department's experience regarding the administrative burden to review each company for which a request has been made, the Department exercised its authority to limit the number of respondents selected for individual review. *See* Section 777(A)(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”); *See also* Memorandum to Wendy Frankel from Blanche Ziv regarding the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Brake Rotors from the People's Republic of China: Selection of Respondents

(“Selection Memo”), dated August 18, 2006.

The following respondents were selected for individual review: Longkou Haimeng Machinery Co., Ltd. (“Haimeng”), Yantai Winhere Auto–Part Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (“Winhere”), and Qingdao Meita Automotive Industry Co., Ltd. (“Meita”). *See* Selection Memo. On May 30, 2006, the Department published a notice of initiation of new shipper review of brake rotors from the PRC covering the period April 1, 2005, through March 31, 2006. *See Brake Rotors from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper Review*, 71 FR 30655 (May 30, 2006).

On October 2, 2006, the Department received a letter from counsel to Qingdao Golrich Autoparts Co., Ltd. (“Golrich”), agreeing to waive the new shipper review time limits in accordance with 19 CFR § 351.214(j)(3). Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR § 351.214(j)(3), on October 4, 2006, the Department acknowledged respondent's waiver of the new shipper review time limits and aligned the new shipper review with the administrative review. *See* Department's Memorandum to the File on the Alignment of 2005–2006 Administrative and New Shipper Reviews, dated October 4, 2006. The preliminary results are currently due by January 2, 2007.

In November 2006, the Department conducted verifications of sales and factors of production (“FOP”) for the new shipper review and one of the three administrative review companies selected as mandatory respondents. Also, in November 2006, the Department conducted a separate–rate verification for one of the companies not selected as a mandatory respondent requesting its own separate–rate.

#### **Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an antidumping duty order for which a review is requested and issue the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

The Department determines that completion of the preliminary results of these reviews within the statutory time