

Total Annual Burden: The Commission estimates the total person-hour burden at 31,385 person-hours.

Bryant L. VanBrakle,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-21985 Filed 12-21-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

AGENCY: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

SUMMARY: Background

On June 15, 1984, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delegated to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ($\geq$ Board $\geq$) its approval authority under the Paperwork Reduction Act, as per 5 CFR 1320.16, to approve of and assign OMB control numbers to collection of information requests and requirements conducted or sponsored by the Board under conditions set forth in 5 CFR 1320 Appendix A.1. Board-approved collections of information are incorporated into the official OMB inventory of currently approved collections of information. Copies of the OMB 83-Is and supporting statements and approved collection of information instruments are placed into OMB's public docket files. The Federal Reserve may not conduct or sponsor, and the respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection that has been extended, revised, or implemented on or after October 1, 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Request for comment on information collection proposal

The following information collection, which is being handled under this delegated authority, has received initial Board approval and is hereby published for comment. At the end of the comment period, the proposed information collection, along with an analysis of comments and recommendations received, will be submitted to the Board for final approval under OMB delegated authority. Comments are invited on the following:

- a. Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the Federal Reserve's functions; including whether the information has practical utility;
- b. The accuracy of the Federal Reserve's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection,

including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

c. Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

d. Ways to minimize the burden of information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before February 20, 2007.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by FR Y-12 or FR Y-12A, by any of the following methods:

- Agency Web Site: <http://www.federalreserve.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments at <http://www.federalreserve.gov/generalinfo/foia/ProposedRegs.cfm>.

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- E-mail: regs.comments@federalreserve.gov. Include docket number in the subject line of the message.

- FAX: 202-452-3819 or 202-452-3102.

- Mail: Jennifer J. Johnson, Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20551.

All public comments are available from the Board's web site at www.federalreserve.gov/generalinfo/foia/ProposedRegs.cfm as submitted, unless modified for technical reasons. Accordingly, your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information. Public comments may also be viewed electronically or in paper in Room MP-500 of the Board's Martin Building (20th and C Streets, N.W.) between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. on weekdays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A copy of the proposed form and instructions, the Paperwork Reduction Act Submission (OMB 83-I), supporting statement, and other documents that will be placed into OMB's public docket files once approved may be requested from the agency clearance officer, whose name appears below.

Michelle Long, Federal Reserve Board Clearance Officer (202-452-3829), Division of Research and Statistics, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, DC 20551. Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) users may contact (202-263-4869), Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, DC 20551.

Proposal to approve under OMB delegated authority the extension for three years, with revision, of the following collection of information:

Report title: Consolidated Bank Holding Company Report of Equity Investments in Nonfinancial Companies, and the Annual Report of Merchant Banking Investments Held for an Extended Period.

Agency form number: FR Y-12 and FR Y-12A, respectively

OMB control number: 7100-0300

Frequency: FR Y-12, quarterly and semiannually; FR Y-12A, annually

REPORTERS: Bank holding companies, financial holding companies

Annual reporting hours: FR Y-12, 1,824; FR Y-12A, 105

Estimated average hours per response: FR Y-12, 16; FR Y-12A, 7

Number of respondents: FR Y-12, 30; FR Y-12A, 15

General description of report: This collection of information is mandatory pursuant to Section 5(c) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1844(c)). The FR Y-12 data are not considered confidential, however, bank holding companies may request confidential treatment pursuant to Sections (b)(4) and (b)(8) of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4) and (b)(8)). The FR Y-12A data would be considered confidential on the basis that disclosure of specific commercial or financial data relating to investments held for extended periods of time could result in substantial harm to the competitive position of the financial holding company pursuant to the FOIA (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4) and (b)(8)).

Abstract: The FR Y-12 collects data from certain domestic bank holding companies on their equity investments in nonfinancial companies on four schedules: Type of Investments, Type of Security, Type of Entity within the Banking Organization, and Nonfinancial Investment Transactions during Reporting Period. The FR Y-12 data serve as an important risk-monitoring device for institutions active in this business line by allowing the Federal Reserve to monitor an institution's activity between review dates. It also serves as an early warning mechanism to identify institutions whose activities in this area are growing rapidly and therefore warrant special supervisory attention.

Current actions: The Federal Reserve proposes to extend for three years, without revision, the FR Y-12. In addition, the Federal Reserve proposes to implement a companion reporting form, the FR Y-12A, effective December 31, 2006. The proposed FR Y-12A is

intended to provide the Federal Reserve with data concerning merchant banking investments that are approaching the end of the holding period permissible under Regulation Y. A financial holding company generally would have to submit a FR Y-12A if it holds a merchant banking investment for longer than eight years (or thirteen years in the case of an investment held through a qualifying private equity fund).

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, August 28, 2006.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. E6-21915 Filed 12-21-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-S

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day-07-07AE]

Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call 404-639-5960 and send comments to Seleda Perryman, CDC Assistant Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS-D74, Atlanta, GA 30333 or send an e-mail to omb@cdc.gov.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the

burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

Division of Oral Health Program Evaluation—NEW—National Center for Chronic Disease and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

In 2000, the Surgeon General published the first ever report on oral health in America to alert Americans to the full meaning of oral health and its importance to general health and wellbeing. Included in the framework for action was the charge to build an effective oral health infrastructure that meets the oral health needs of all Americans and integrates oral health effectively into overall health planning. In response, the CDC awarded funds for cooperative agreements to 12 state demonstration sites and 1 territory in two phases for the planning and implementation of oral health capacity, infrastructure building and demonstration delivery programs. Building infrastructure enables the demonstration states to develop the capacity to achieve Healthy People 2010 objectives and reach many more Americans than a single local program could reach by sustaining health gains beyond the funding cycle. Infrastructure development encompasses many activities, each of which can be accomplished in a myriad of methods by the grantees. To evaluate and summarize program processes and accomplishments, a 4-site case study is proposed. Obtaining uniform data of performance will allow the construction of summary reports to assist future sites and not-yet-funded oral health infrastructure development programs. Case study information would describe the implementation of each site's infrastructure model in relation to environmental context and state characteristics as well as the impacts of core infrastructure components for the

purpose of program improvement. The results would provide information for the essential implementation strategies for effective infrastructure development as defined by the consensus-based Association of State and Territorial Dental Directors' (ASTDD) 2000 model. The results would be used to structure flexible guidelines for infrastructure development and identify high-priority activities enabling additional sites to efficiently plan and implement cost-effective oral health improvement activities. Additionally, this project will assist in the development of objectives and indicators of sustainability—the ability of these demonstration programs to meet the needs of their constituents beyond the seed-funding period.

The objectives of the case study project are to:

- ☐ Evaluate the progress made by programs in achieving national and program-specific goals and objectives as well as documenting reach and impact.
- ☐ Identify successful and innovative strategies and public health interventions to reduce the burden of oral diseases.
- ☐ Disseminate and share information among all grantees.
- ☐ Monitor the use of federal funds
- ☐ Evaluate and report on the overall effectiveness and implementation characteristics of the grantees.
- ☐ Promote positive infrastructure growth among funded and non-funded sites.

The above objectives will be attained through a case-study method using interviews designed to evaluate demographic, extent, and culture climate of infrastructure development activities. Up to 15 respondents from each site will be asked to participate in a one to two hour interview. Participation is voluntary for funded sites. 4 of 12 funded states will be asked to participate in the case-study interview project. Information obtained through the case-study interviews will be supported by documentation already submitted through routine reporting practices by all 12 funded states.

There are no costs to respondents except their time to participate in the interview.

ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Respondents	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)	Total burden (in hours)
Dental Directors	4	1	2	8
Program staff	20	1	2	40
Coalition Leader/members	12	1	2	24