

(Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120; delegations of authority at CFR 1.50 and 501.8.)

Issued on: December 7, 2006.

Daniel C. Smith,

Associate Administrator for Enforcement.

[FR Doc. E6-21223 Filed 12-12-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2006-26424; Notice 1]

Maxon Industry Inc. DBA Maxonlift Corp., Receipt of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

Maxon Industry Inc. DBA Maxonlift Corp. (Maxonlift) has determined that certain wheelchair lifts that it produced in 2005 and 2006 do not comply with S6.4.7.3 of 49 CFR 571.403, Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 403, "Platform lift systems for motor vehicles." Maxonlift has filed an appropriate report pursuant to 49 CFR Part 573, "Defect and Noncompliance Reports."

Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h), Maxonlift has petitioned for an exemption from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 on the basis that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

This notice of receipt of Maxonlift's petition is published under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120 and does not represent any agency decision or other exercise of judgment concerning the merits of the petition.

Affected are a total of approximately 197 Model WL-7 and WL-7A wheelchair lifts produced between April 1, 2005 and May 30, 2006. S6.4.7.3 of FMVSS No. 403 requires:

The deployed wheelchair retention device(s) must be capable of sustaining 7,117 N (1,600 lb force) when tested in accordance with S7.13. No separation, fracture, or breakage of the wheelchair retention device may occur as a result of conducting the test in S7.13.

On the subject wheelchair lifts, the outboard roll stop wheelchair retention device does not comply. Bending occurs on the locking bracket attachments and in the ramp extrusion, and potentially the outer barrier can unfold or pieces break. Maxonlift has corrected the problem that caused these errors so that they will not be repeated in future production.

Maxonlift believes that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety and that no

corrective action is warranted.

Maxonlift states:

For the units built with seat belts [all except for 63] we have an electronic feature that does not allow the unit to travel up and down without the seat belts fastened. The seat belt is an added restraint that takes force off of the outboard roll stop. If an electric wheelchair is accidentally moved forward it will hit the seat belt first keeping the person in place. We have had zero failure reports or warranty claims relating to an outboard roll stop failure.

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments on this petition. Comments must refer to the docket and notice number cited at the beginning of this notice and be submitted by any of the following methods. Mail: Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Nassif Building, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, 20590-0001. Hand Delivery: Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC. It is requested, but not required, that two copies of the comments be provided. The Docket Section is open on weekdays from 10 am to 5 pm except Federal Holidays. Comments may be submitted electronically by logging onto the Docket Management System Web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>. Click on "Help" to obtain instructions for filing the document electronically. Comments may be faxed to 1-202-493-2251, or may be submitted to the Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

The petition, supporting materials, and all comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated below will be filed and will be considered. All comments and supporting materials received after the closing date will also be filed and will be considered to the extent possible. When the petition is granted or denied, notice of the decision will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Comment closing date: January 12, 2007.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120; delegations of authority at CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Dated: December 7, 2006.

Daniel C. Smith,

Associate Administrator for Enforcement.

[FR Doc. E6-21210 Filed 12-12-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2006-26282; Notice 1]

U.S. Bus Corporation, Receipt of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

U.S. Bus Corporation (U.S. Bus) has determined that certain school buses it produced in 1998 through 2006 do not comply with S9.3(c) of 49 CFR 571.111, Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 111, "Rearview mirrors." U.S. Bus has filed an appropriate report pursuant to 49 CFR Part 573, "Defect and Noncompliance Reports."

Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h), U.S. Bus has petitioned for an exemption from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 on the basis that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

This notice of receipt of U.S. Bus's petition is published under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120 and does not represent any agency decision or other exercise of judgment concerning the merits of the petition.

Affected are a total of approximately 4,019 Universe and Sturdibus school buses produced from 1998 through October 23, 2006. S9.3(c) of FMVSS No. 111 requires that:

Each school bus which has a mirror installed in compliance with S9.3(a) that has an average radius of curvature of less than 889 mm, as determined under S12, shall have a label visible to the seated driver. * * * The label shall state the following: "USE CROSS VIEW MIRRORS TO VIEW PEDESTRIANS WHILE BUS IS STOPPED. DO NOT USE THESE MIRRORS TO VIEW TRAFFIC WHILE BUS IS MOVING. IMAGES IN SUCH MIRRORS DO NOT ACCURATELY SHOW ANOTHER VEHICLE'S LOCATION."

The rearview mirrors on the noncompliant buses are installed in a position which may block the driver's view of this label. U.S. Bus has corrected the problem that caused these errors so that they will not be repeated in future production.

U.S. Bus believes that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety and that no corrective action is warranted. U.S. Bus states this is based on the following:

1. The decal in question is required only on school buses; 2. The crossview mirrors requiring the decal are only required on school buses; 3. School bus drivers are thoroughly trained in driving a school bus, including proper adjustment and viewing images through both the rearview and crossview mirrors; 4. The placement of the decal has no effect on the safety or reliability

of the vehicle; 5. The placement of the decal may or may not be visible from the driver's seated position, and depends upon the adjustment of the rearview mirror as to whether the decal is visible by the driver.

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments on this petition. Comments must refer to the docket and notice number cited at the beginning of this notice and be submitted by any of the following methods. *Mail:* Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Nassif Building, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. *Hand Delivery:* Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC. It is requested, but not required, that two copies of the comments be provided. The Docket Section is open on weekdays from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. except Federal Holidays. Comments may be submitted electronically by logging on to the Docket Management System Web site at <http://dms.dot.gov>. Click on "Help" to obtain instructions for filing the document electronically. Comments may be faxed to 1-202-493-2251, or may be submitted to the Federal eRulemaking Portal: go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

The petition, supporting materials, and all comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated below will be filed and will be considered. All comments and

supporting materials received after the closing date will also be filed and will be considered to the extent possible. When the petition is granted or denied, notice of the decision will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Comment closing date: January 12, 2007.

(Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120; delegations of authority at CFR 1.50 and 501.8)

Issued on: December 7, 2006.

Daniel C. Smith,

Associate Administrator for Enforcement.

[FR Doc. E6-21224 Filed 12-12-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

Office of Hazardous Materials Safety; Notice of Delays in Processing of Special Permit Applications

AGENCY: Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: List of applications delayed more than 180 days.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirements of 49 U.S.C. 5117(c), PHMSA is publishing the following list of special permit applications that have been in process for 180 days or more. The reason(s) for delay and the expected

completion date for action on each application is provided in association with each identified application.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ann Mazzullo, Office of Hazardous Materials Special Permits and Approvals, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001, (202) 366-4535.

Key to "Reason for Delay"

1. Awaiting additional information from applicant.
2. Extensive public comment under review.
3. Application is technically complex and is of significant impact or precedent-setting and requires extensive analysis.
4. Staff review delayed by other priority issues or volume of special permit applications.

Meaning of Application Number Suffixes

N—New application.
M—Modification request.
X—Renewal.
PM—Party to application with modification request.

Issued in Washington, DC, on December 7, 2006.

Delmer F. Billings,

Director, Office of Hazardous Materials Safety, Special Permits & Approvals.

Application No.	Applicant	Reason for delay	Estimated date of completion
Modification to Special Permits			
12677-M	Austin Powder Illinois Company, Cleveland, OH	4	01-31-2007.
5749-M	E.I. DuPont de Nemours, Wilmington, DE	4	12-31-2006.
12277-M	Indian Sugar and General Engineering Corporation, Haryana	4	12-31-2006.
10481-M	M-1 Engineering Limited, Bradford, West Yorkshire	4	12-31-2006.
10646-M	Schlumberger, Sugar Land, TX	4	12-31-2006.
11447-M	SAES Pure Gas, Inc., San Luis Obispo, CA	4	02-28-2007.
3121-M	Department of Defense, Ft. Eustis, VA	4	12-31-2006.
New Special Permit Applications			
14229-N	Senex Explosives, Inc., Cuddy, PA	4	01-31-2007.
14237-N	Advanced Technology Materials, Inc. (ATMI), Danbury, CT	1	12-31-2006.
14318-N	Lockheed Martin Technical Operations, Vandenberg AFB, CA	4	12-31-2006.
14343-N	Valero St. Charles, Norco, LA	4	12-31-2006.
14337-N	NKCF Co., Ltd., Jisa-Dong, Kangseo-Gu Busan	4	01-31-2007.
14330-N	Chemical & Metal Industries, Inc., Hudson, CO	4	12-31-2006.
14314-N	North American Automotive Hazmat Action Committee	1	07-31-2007.
14316-N	VOTG North America, Inc., West Chester, PA	4	12-31-2006.
14266-N	NCF Industries, Inc., Santa Maria, CA	1	02-28-2007.
14277-N	Ascus Technologies, Ltd., Cleveland, OH	3,4	12-31-2006.