

the Grand Canyon Protection Act and other applicable provisions of Federal law.

To improve scientific understanding of the downstream ecosystem, periodic experimental releases from Glen Canyon Dam were conducted in water years 1996 through 2006. Non-flow actions were also conducted, including removal of non-native fish and translocation of the endangered Kanab ambersnail and humpback chub. Specific experimental actions included:

- 1996 test of a Beach Habitat Building Flow (BHBF) at 45,000 cubic feet per second (cfs) and translocation of endangered Kanab ambersnail.
- 2000 test of Low Steady Summer Flows at 8,000 cfs.
- 2003—2005 block of experimental actions which included:
 - Translocation of endangered humpback chub above Chute Falls.
 - Winter fluctuating fish suppression releases (5,000 to 20,000 cfs).
 - Mechanical removal of non-native fish near the confluence of the Little Colorado River to benefit the humpback chub.
 - Fall constrained releases to test the conservation of sediment (6,500 to 9,000 cfs).
 - 2004 test of a BHBF at 42,000 cfs immediately following Paria River sediment inputs.

In addition, drought-induced reductions in Lake Powell elevations caused an increase in dam release temperatures during 2003 to 2005. Considerable monitoring and research on endangered fish, sediment conservation, and other resources in the Grand Canyon were conducted in concert with these actions. Among other documents related to adaptive management experimentation, two Environmental Assessments and Findings of No Significant Impacts were prepared: Proposed Experimental Releases from Glen Canyon Dam and Removal of Non-Native Fish (2002) and Proposed Experimental Actions for Water Years 2005—2006—Colorado River, Arizona, in Glen Canyon National Recreation Area and Grand Canyon National Park (2004). These two documents can be found at the following Internet location: <http://www.usbr.gov/uc/rm/gcdltep/index.html>.

Proposed Action

The proposed action is to develop and adopt a Long-Term Experimental Plan that will implement a structured, long-term program of experimentation (including dam operations, modifications to Glen Canyon Dam intake structures, and other non-flow

management actions, such as removal of non-native fish species) in the Colorado River below Glen Canyon Dam.

Purpose and Need for Action

The purpose of the proposed action is to increase scientific understanding of the ecosystem downstream from Glen Canyon Dam and to improve and protect important downstream resources. Specific hypotheses to be addressed include the effect of dam release temperatures; ramp rates; non-native control; and the timing, duration, and magnitude of BHBF releases. Adoption of a Long-Term Experimental Plan is needed to ensure a continued, structured application of adaptive management in such a manner as to protect, mitigate adverse impacts to, and improve the values for which Grand Canyon National Park and Glen Canyon National Recreation Area were established, including, but not limited to natural and cultural resources and visitor use, consistent with applicable Federal law. Adoption of a Long-Term Experimental Plan will assist scientists, policy makers, and resource managers to better understand resource management options, tradeoffs and consequences, and assist in the long-term operations of Glen Canyon Dam.

Scoping

The range of alternatives for the proposed action will be developed following recommendations provided by the AMWG and through information received from upcoming public scoping meetings. In addition, Reclamation will utilize information developed through prior meetings of the AMWG, Technical Work Group, and Science Planning Group as relevant information for the purposes of scoping the upcoming NEPA process and to develop the appropriate scope of analysis pursuant to 40 CFR 1508.25.

Public Disclosure

It is our practice to make comments, including names, home addresses, home telephone numbers, and e-mail addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their names and/or home addresses, etc., but if you wish us to consider withholding this information you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. In addition, you must present a rationale for withholding this information. This rationale must demonstrate that disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. Unsupported assertions will not meet this burden. In the absence of exceptional,

documentable circumstances, this information will be released. We will always make submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

Dated: November 17, 2006.

Rick L. Gold,

Regional Director—UC Region, Bureau of Reclamation.

[FR Doc. E6-20756 Filed 12-11-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-961 (Final) (Remand)]

Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod From Trinidad and Tobago; Notice and Scheduling of Remand Proceeding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The United States International Trade Commission (Commission) gives notice of the court-ordered remand of its final antidumping duty investigation, Investigation No. 731-TA-961 (Final) (Remand).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan J. Engler, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, telephone (202) 205-3112, or Mary Messer, Office of Investigations, telephone (202) 205-3193, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Reopening the Record

In October 2002, the Commission made a final affirmative determination in the referenced investigation. 67 FR 66662 (Nov. 1, 2002). Respondent appealed the determination to the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT), which affirmed the Commission's determination. *Caribbean Ispat Ltd. v. United States*, Slip Op. 05-37 (March 22, 2005). Respondent appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, which vacated and remanded the Commission's determination. *Caribbean Ispat Ltd. v. United States*,

450 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2006). On October 13, 2006, the CIT issued an order remanding the case to the Commission to comply with the Federal Circuit's decision in *Caribbean Ispat* and giving the Commission until January 12, 2007, to issue its remand determination. The Commission is seeking an extension of that deadline in order to allow the Commission to send out additional questionnaires to obtain further data relevant to the remand instructions. In the meantime, the Commission is proceeding based on the existing deadline, in accordance with the schedule set out below.

In order to assist it in making its determination on remand, the Commission is reopening the record on remand in this investigation to include additional information on the role of non-subject imports of carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod in the U.S. market during the original period of investigation. The record in this proceeding will encompass the material from the record of the original investigation and additional information placed by Commission staff on the record during this remand proceeding.

Participation in the Proceeding

Only those persons who were interested parties in the original administrative proceeding and are parties to the ongoing litigation (*i.e.*, persons listed on the Commission Secretary's service list and parties to *Caribbean Ispat Ltd. v. U.S.*, Court No. 05-1400) may participate as interested parties in this remand proceeding.

Nature of the Remand Proceeding

On December 15, 2006, the Commission will make available to parties who participate in the remand proceeding information that has been gathered by the Commission as part of this remand proceeding. Parties that are participating in the remand proceeding may file comments on or before December 22, 2006, addressing the record facts as they relate to the question raised in the CIT's remand instructions. Such comments shall not exceed 25 double-spaced pages.

In addition, all written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain business proprietary information (BPI) must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67

FR 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in II (C) of the Commission's Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002). Each document filed by a party participating in the remand investigation must be served on all other parties who may participate in the remand investigation (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service. Parties are also advised to consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subpart A (19 CFR part 207), for provisions of general applicability concerning written submissions to the Commission.

At this time, the Commission's remand determination is due to be submitted to the CIT on January 12, 2007. On December 4, 2006, the Commission filed a motion with that Court to extend the time to file its remand determination until March 12, 2006. In the event the CIT grants the motion, or otherwise modifies the date on which the Commission's remand determination is due to the Court, the Commission intends to issue an amended notice and schedule.

Limited Disclosure of Business Proprietary Information (BPI) Under an Administrative Protective Order (APO) and BPI Service List

Information obtained during the remand investigation will be released to the referenced parties, as appropriate, under the administrative protective order (APO) in effect in the original investigation. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO in this remand investigation.

Authority: This action is taken under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII.

By order of the Commission.
Issued: December 7, 2006.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6-21119 Filed 12-11-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Open Systemic Initiative

Notice is hereby given that, on November 13, 2006, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Open SystemC Initiative ("OSCI") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Actis Design, LLC, Portland, OR; Broadcom Corporation, Bristol, United Kingdom; Denali Software, Inc., Palo Alto, CA; Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., Herzelia, Israel; NEC Corporation, Kawasaki, Japan; SpringSoft, Inc., Hsinchu, Taiwan; and Vast Systems, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and OSCI intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 9, 2001, OSCI filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on January 3, 2002 (67 FR 350).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 27, 2006. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 27, 2006 (71 FR 15218).

Patricia A. Brink,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 06-9645 Filed 12-11-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Power Tool Institute Table Saw Guarding Joint Venture Project

Notice is hereby given that, on November 2, 2006, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the national Cooperative