

450 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2006). On October 13, 2006, the CIT issued an order remanding the case to the Commission to comply with the Federal Circuit's decision in *Caribbean Ispat* and giving the Commission until January 12, 2007, to issue its remand determination. The Commission is seeking an extension of that deadline in order to allow the Commission to send out additional questionnaires to obtain further data relevant to the remand instructions. In the meantime, the Commission is proceeding based on the existing deadline, in accordance with the schedule set out below.

In order to assist it in making its determination on remand, the Commission is reopening the record on remand in this investigation to include additional information on the role of non-subject imports of carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod in the U.S. market during the original period of investigation. The record in this proceeding will encompass the material from the record of the original investigation and additional information placed by Commission staff on the record during this remand proceeding.

Participation in the Proceeding

Only those persons who were interested parties in the original administrative proceeding and are parties to the ongoing litigation (*i.e.*, persons listed on the Commission Secretary's service list and parties to *Caribbean Ispat Ltd. v. U.S.*, Court No. 05-1400) may participate as interested parties in this remand proceeding.

Nature of the Remand Proceeding

On December 15, 2006, the Commission will make available to parties who participate in the remand proceeding information that has been gathered by the Commission as part of this remand proceeding. Parties that are participating in the remand proceeding may file comments on or before December 22, 2006, addressing the record facts as they relate to the question raised in the CIT's remand instructions. Such comments shall not exceed 25 double-spaced pages.

In addition, all written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain business proprietary information (BPI) must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67

FR 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in II (C) of the Commission's Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002). Each document filed by a party participating in the remand investigation must be served on all other parties who may participate in the remand investigation (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service. Parties are also advised to consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subpart A (19 CFR part 207), for provisions of general applicability concerning written submissions to the Commission.

At this time, the Commission's remand determination is due to be submitted to the CIT on January 12, 2007. On December 4, 2006, the Commission filed a motion with that Court to extend the time to file its remand determination until March 12, 2006. In the event the CIT grants the motion, or otherwise modifies the date on which the Commission's remand determination is due to the Court, the Commission intends to issue an amended notice and schedule.

Limited Disclosure of Business Proprietary Information (BPI) Under an Administrative Protective Order (APO) and BPI Service List

Information obtained during the remand investigation will be released to the referenced parties, as appropriate, under the administrative protective order (APO) in effect in the original investigation. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO in this remand investigation.

Authority: This action is taken under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII.

By order of the Commission.
Issued: December 7, 2006.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6-21119 Filed 12-11-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Open Systemic Initiative

Notice is hereby given that, on November 13, 2006, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Open SystemC Initiative ("OSCI") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Actis Design, LLC, Portland, OR; Broadcom Corporation, Bristol, United Kingdom; Denali Software, Inc., Palo Alto, CA; Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., Herzelia, Israel; NEC Corporation, Kawasaki, Japan; SpringSoft, Inc., Hsinchu, Taiwan; and Vast Systems, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and OSCI intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 9, 2001, OSCI filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on January 3, 2002 (67 FR 350).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 27, 2006. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 27, 2006 (71 FR 15218).

Patricia A. Brink,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 06-9645 Filed 12-11-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Power Tool Institute Table Saw Guarding Joint Venture Project

Notice is hereby given that, on November 2, 2006, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the national Cooperative

Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Power Tool Institute Table Saw Guarding Joint Venture Project has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) The identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: The Black & Decker Corp., Towson, MD; Makita USA, Inc., La Mirada, CA; Robert Bosch Tool Corporation, Mount Prospect, IL; and Techtron Industries—North America, Anderson, SC. The general area of Power Tool Institute Table Saw Guarding Joint Venture Project's planned activity is the evaluation, investigation, research and/or development of mechanical blade guarding systems that are technically viable for table saws and provide improved and consistent safety performance.

Patricia A. Brink,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 06-9644 Filed 12-11-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-59,788]

Ace Products, LLC, Newport, TN; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

On November 8, 2006, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application on Reconsideration applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

The previous investigation initiated on July 25, 2006, resulted in a negative determination issued on September 14, 2006, based on the finding that imports of semi pneumatic and solid rubber tires did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firm and no shift of production to a foreign source occurred. The denial notice was

published in the **Federal Register** on September 26, 2006 (71 FR 56172).

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner provided additional information regarding the subject firm's declining customers.

The Department requested additional list of customers from the subject firm and conducted a survey of these customers regarding their purchases of like or directly competitive products to semi pneumatic and solid rubber tires. It was revealed that several declining customers increased their reliance on imports of tires while decreasing their purchases from the subject firm during the relevant period. The increases in imports accounted for a meaningful portion of the subject plant's lost sales.

In accordance with Section 246 the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents the results of its investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) for older workers.

In order for the Department to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246 of the Trade Act must be met. The Department has determined in this case that the requirements of Section 246 have been met.

A significant number of workers at the firm are age 50 or over and possess skills that are not easily transferable. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced at Ace Products, LLC, Newport, Tennessee, contributed importantly to the declines in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers at the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Ace Products, LLC, Newport, Tennessee, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after July 19, 2005, through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC this 5th day of December 2006.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-21106 Filed 12-11-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221 (a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221 (a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 22, 2006.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 22, 2006.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C-5311, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of December 2006.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.