Foundry Coke from the PRC pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See Sunset *Initiation.* The Department received notices of intent to participate from the following domestic parties within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i): ABC Coke, Citizens Gas & Coke Utility, Erie Coke, Sloss Industries Corporation, and Tonawanda Coke Corporation (collectively, "Petitioners"). These parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b), as domestic manufacturers and producers of the domestic like product. The Department received a substantive response from Petitioners within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department did not receive a substantive response from any of the respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B)of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of the Order.

Scope Of The Order

The product covered under the antidumping duty order is coke larger than 100 mm (4 inches) in maximum diameter and at least 50 percent of

which is retained on a 100-mm (4 inch) sieve, of a kind used in foundries.

The foundry coke products subject to the antidumping duty order were classifiable under subheading 2704.00.00.10 (as of Jan 1, 2000) and are currently classifiable under subheading 2704.00.00.11 (as of July 1, 2000) of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Additionally, the Department has issued one conclusive scope ruling regarding the merchandise covered by the Order. On February 18, 2003, the Department found that the particular foundry coke as defined by Shanxi and imported by Shook Group LLC and Dajin U.S. Trading, Inc.¹, is within the scope of the Order. See Notice of Scope Rulings and Anticircumvention Inquiries, 68 FR 7772, 7773-74 (February 18, 2003); see also Memorandum from Edward C. Yang to Joseph Spetrini, Deputy Assistant Secretary: Final Scope Ruling on the Antidumping Duty Order on Foundry Coke from the People's Republic of China; Shook Group LLC and Dajin U.S. Trading, Inc., dated May 31, 2002.

Analysis Of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the dumping margin likely to prevail if the Order were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099, of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov and clicking on "Federal Register Notices". The paper copy and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results Of Sunset Review

The Department determines that revocation of the Order on Foundry Coke from the PRC would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the rates listed below:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted-Average Margin (Percent)
Shanxi Dajin International (Group) Co., Ltd Sinochem International Co., Ltd. Minmetals Townlord Technology Co., Ltd. CITIC Trading Company, Ltd. PRC-Wide Rate	101.62 % 105.91 % 75.58 % 48.55 % 214.89 %

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with

Dated: November 29, 2006.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–20695 Filed 12–6–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-875]

Non-Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings from the Peoples' Republic of China; Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 7, 2006. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Karine Gziryan or Mark Manning, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade

sold as being over 100 mm. We found that this issue was clearly addressed in the investigation at the *Final Determination*, wherein it was determined that the 50 percent condition applies only to that portion of the shipment sold as larger than 100 mm coke, and if at least 50 percent of such coke is

retained on a 100 mm sieve, such coke is within the scope of the order. We found that this conclusion was consistent with the scope of the investigation and the order, as defined in the petition, as well as the Department's and the ITC's determinations

sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

¹ Shook and Dajin did not challenge that above 100 mm coke should be considered foundry coke. Rather, Shook and Dajin challenged the application of an industry standard test, and whether the 50 percent condition of the test applied to the entire shipment or a portion of the shipment which was

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4081 or (202) 482–5253, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 31, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on non–malleable cast iron pipe fittings from the Peoples' Republic of China ("PRC"). See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 71 FR 30864 (May 31, 2006). The period of review is April 1, 2005, through March 31, 2006. The preliminary results of this administrative review are currently due no later than January 2, 2007.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"), the Department shall make a preliminary determination in an administrative review of an antidumping order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act further provides, however, that the Department may extend the 245-day period to 365 days if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the foregoing time period. The Department determines that it is not practicable to complete this administrative review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act because this review involves examining a number of complex issues related to factors of production and surrogate values. The Department requires additional time to issue and analyze supplemental questionnaires regarding these issues. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for completing the preliminary results of this administrative review until April 30, 2007, which is 365 days from the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order. The deadline for the final results of the review continues to be 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

This extension notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: November 30, 2006.

Stephen J. Claevs,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–20692 Filed 12–6–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A–580–807]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from South Korea: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip from South Korea for the period June 1, 2005 to May 31, 2006. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 7, 2006.

FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael J. Heaney or Robert James AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4475 and (202) 482–0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 2, 2006, the Department published in the Federal Register its notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET film) from South Korea for the period June 1, 2005, through May 31, 2006. See Antidumping of Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 71 FR 32032 (June 2, 2006). In response, on June 30, 2006, DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., and Toray Plastics (America), Inc. (collectively, the petitioners) timely requested an administrative review of Kohap, Ltd., a manufacturer/exporter of subject merchandise. No other party in this case requested an administrative review. On July 27, 2006, the Department initiated an administrative review of Kohap, Ltd. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 71 FR 42626 (July

27, 2006). On October 25, 2006, petitioners submitted a letter withdrawing their request for an administrative review of Kohap, Ltd. See letter from petitioners dated October 25, 2006.

Rescission of Review

19 CFR 351.213(d)(1) provides that the Department will rescind an administrative review if the party that requested the review withdraws its request for review within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, or withdraws its request for review at a later date if the Department determines it is reasonable to extend the time limit for withdrawing the request. In response to petitioners' withdrawal of their request for an administrative review, and because the request was timely withdrawn, the Department hereby rescinds the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PET film from South Korea for the period June 1, 2005, through May 31, 2006.

The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) within 15 days of the publication of this notice. The Department will direct CBP to assess antidumping duties for Kohap, Ltd. at the cash deposit rate in effect on the date of entry for entries during the period June 1, 2005, through May 31, 2006.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's assumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 C.F.R. 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).