- Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns, and suggest alternatives.
- Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.
- Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section** 108(a) of the CAA directs the Administrator to identify certain pollutants which "may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health and welfare" and to issue air quality criteria for them. These air quality criteria are to "accurately reflect the latest scientific knowledge useful in indicating the kind and extent of all identifiable effects on public health or welfare which may be expected from the presence of [a] pollutant in the ambient air \* \* \*" Under section 109 of the CAA, EPA is then to establish NAAQS for each pollutant for which EPA has issued criteria. Section 109(d) of the CAA subsequently requires periodic review and, if appropriate, revision of existing air quality criteria to reflect advances in scientific knowledge on the effects of the pollutant on public health and welfare. The EPA is also to revise the NAAQS, if appropriate, based on the revised criteria.

Lead is one of six "criteria" pollutants for which EPA has established air quality criteria and NAAQS. Presently, EPA is reviewing the criteria and NAAQS for lead. This review includes preparation of two key documents, the Air Quality Criteria for Lead ("Criteria Document") and a related "Staff Paper". The EPA completed its final Criteria Document for lead in October 2006 (71 FR 57508; September 29, 2006).

The purpose of the first draft Staff Paper is to evaluate the policy implications of the key scientific and technical information contained in the final Air Quality Criteria Document (AQCD) and identify critical elements that EPA staff believe should be considered in reviewing the NAAQS. The Staff Paper is intended to "bridge the gap" between the scientific review contained in the AQCD and the public health and welfare policy judgments required of the Administrator in reviewing the NAAQS.

Availability of the first external review draft of the Air Quality Criteria for Lead (EPA/600/R–05/144aA–bA), was announced in the **Federal Register** on December 2, 2005 (70 FR 72300). The Clean Air Science Advisory Committee (CASAC) Lead Review Panel reviewed the first external review draft at a public meeting on February 28 and March 1, 2006.

The EPA considered comments of the CASAC review panel and the public in revising the draft AQCD for lead. Availability of the second external review draft of the Air Quality Criteria for Lead (EPA/600/R-05/144aB-bB), was announced in the Federal Register on May 19, 2006 (71 FR 29152). The CASAC Lead Review Panel reviewed the second external review draft at a public meeting on June 28, 2006. The EPA provided revised Integrative Synthesis and Executive Summary chapters of the draft AQCD for Lead to the public and CASAC for review in July 2006. The CASAC Lead Review Panel met via a publicly accessible teleconference on August 15, 2006, to review these revised chapters (71 FR 40516). The EPA has considered the comments of the CASAC Lead Review Panel and of the public in preparing the final Air Quality Criteria for Lead. The final AQCD for Lead was released in October, 2006 (71 FR 71 57508; September 29, 2006).

Based on the information contained in the AQCD, the draft Staff Paper includes assessments and analyses related to: (1) Air quality characterization; (2) integration and evaluation of health information; (3) exposure analysis; (4) health risk assessment; and (5) evaluation of information on vegetation damage and other welfare effects. The first draft Staff Paper contains no staff conclusions and recommendations with respect to possible revisions to the current standards. A second draft of the Staff Paper will include staff conclusions and recommendations on potential revision or retention of the primary (health-based) and secondary (welfare-based) lead NAAQS.

The draft technical support document Lead Human Exposure and Health Risk Assessments and Ecological Risk Assessment for Selected Areas (Pilot Phase) describes and present the results from a lead exposure analysis and health risk assessment involving several case study locations. A draft plan, Analysis Plan for Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment for the Review of the Pb National Ambient Air Quality Standards, was previously reviewed by CASAC and the public. Comments received on that plan have been considered in developing the Lead Human Exposure and Health Risk Assessments and Ecological Risk Assessment for Selected Areas (Pilot Phase) technical support document being released at this time. The exposure analysis and risk assessment methodologies and results are also discussed in the draft Staff Paper.

The EPA is soliciting early advice and recommendations from the CASAC by

means of a consultation on the first draft Staff Paper and first draft Risk Assessment at an upcoming public meeting of the CASAC. A Federal Register notice will inform the public of the date and location of that meeting. Following the CASAC meeting, EPA will consider comments received from the CASAC and the public in preparing a second draft of the Staff Paper and the Lead Human Exposure and Health Risk Assessments and Ecological Risk Assessment for Selected Areas (Full-Scale Phase) technical support document. Preparation of this draft staff paper is consistent with the Agency meeting a court-ordered schedule for completion of the proposed rule (May 1, 2008) and final rule (September 1, 2008).

Dated: November 21, 2006.

### Jeffrey S. Clark,

Acting Director, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.

[FR Doc. E6–20220 Filed 11–28–06; 8:45 am]

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

## Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval

November 15, 2006.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commissions, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written comments should be submitted on or before December 29, 2006. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: You may submit your Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments by e-mail or U.S. postal mail. To submit your comments by e-mail send them to *PRA@fcc.gov*. To submit your comments by U.S. mail, mark them to the attention of Cathy Williams, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–C823, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 and Allison E. Zaleski, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Room 10236 NEOB, Washington, DC 20503, (202) 395–6466 or via the Internet at *Allison\_E.\_Zaleski@omb.eop.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information about the information collection(s) send an e-mail to *PRA@fcc.gov* or contact Cathy Williams at (202) 418–2918. If you would like to obtain a copy of the information collection, you may do so by visiting the FCC PRA Web page at: <a href="http://www.fcc.gov/omd/pra">http://www.fcc.gov/omd/pra</a>.

## SUPPLMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0110. Title: Application for Renewal of Broadcast Station License.

Form Number: FCC Form 303–S. Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit entities; Not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 3,217. Estimated Time per Response: 0.67 hours—11.25 hours.

Frequency of Response: Eight-year reporting requirement; Third party disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 5,273 hours. Total Annual Cost: \$1,596,951. Privacy Impact Assessment: No impact(s).

Needs and Uses: FCC Form 303–S is used in applying for renewal of a license for a commercial or non-commercial AM, FM, or TV broadcast station and FM translator, TV translator, low power TV (LPTV), or low power FM broadcast station. It can also be used to seek the joint renewal of licenses for FM or TV translator station and its co-owed primary FM, TV, or LPTV station. The form also can be used to seek the joint renewal of licenses for FM or TV translator station and its co-owed primary FM, TV, or LPTV station.

Federal Communications Commission.

#### Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6–20014 Filed 11–28–06; 8:45 am]

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

## Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority

November 13, 2006.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments January 29, 2007. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Allison E. Zaleski, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Room 10236 NEOB, Washington, DC 20503, (202) 395–6466, or via fax at 202–395–5167, or via the Internet at Allison\_E.\_Zaleski@eop.omb.gov and to Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov, Federal Communications Commission (FCC), Room 1–B441, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. To submit your

comments by e-mail send them to: *PRA@fcc.gov*. If you would like to obtain or view a copy of this information collection after the 60 day comment period, you may do so by visiting the FCC PRA Web page at: <a href="http://www.fcc.gov/omd/pra">http://www.fcc.gov/omd/pra</a>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information about the information collection(s) send an e-mail to *PRA@fcc.gov* or contact Judith B. Herman at 202–418–0214.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060–1048. Title: Section 1.929(c)(1), Computer Interference Contour (CIC).

Form No.: N/A.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit, not-for-profit institutions, and state, local or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 50. Estimated Time Per Response: 2 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion and annual reporting requirement and recordkeeping requirement.

Nature of Response: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

Total Annual Burden: 100 hours. Annual Cost Burden: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A. Needs and Uses: This collection will be submitted as an extension (no change in reporting requirements) after this 60 day comment period to Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in order to obtain the full three year clearance. There is no change in respondents or burden hours. Section 1.929(c)(1) requires any increase in the composite interference contour (CIC) 1 of a sitebased licensee in the Paging and Radiotelephone Service, Rural Radiotelephone Service, or 800 MHz Specialized Mobile Radio Service is a major modification of a license that requires prior Commission approval. However, on April 28, 2003, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in WT Docket No. 03-103 (68 FR 44003), which proposed to amend Section 1.929(c)(1) of the Commission's rules to specify that expansion of a composite

¹ A CIC connects the outmost points of the intersecting interference contour for the base station in a radio system. However, an incumbent's valid CIC does not include areas surrounded by the composite interior contour that are not part of the interference contours of the incumbent's individual sites. See Revision of Parts 22 and 90 of the Commission's Rules to Facilitate Future Development of Paging System's and Implementation of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act—Competitive Bidding, Memorandum Opinion and Order on Reconsideration and Third Report and Order, 14 FCC Rcd 10030, 10055 ¶35 (1999).