- Shenzhen Everbest Machinery, Industry Co., Ltd., Room 302, No. 5, Kefa Road, Science Industry Park, Shenzhen, China.
- ShenZhen Hongda Electronic Co., Ltd., East. 6/F, 14 Bagua-4 Road, Futian District, Shenzhen, China.
- Shenzhen Victor Hi-Tech Co., Ltd., 3/F, Building 412, Bagua 4th Road, Futian District, Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China, 518029.
- Sinometer Instruments Co. Ltd., Ginza International Building, 1056, Shennan Avenue, Shenzhen, China.
- TechBuys, LLC, 1813 Yeager Avenue, La Verne, CA 91750.
- Velleman Inc., 7354 Tower Street, Fort Worth, TX 76118.

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Anne Goalwin, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 401–R, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Charles E. Bullock is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 6, 2006.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. E6–19073 Filed 11–9–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-556]

In the Matter of Certain High-Brightness Light Emitting Diodes and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainant's Motion To Amend the Complaint

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting complainant's motion to amend the complaint in the abovecaptioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at *http://www.usitc.gov.* The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on December 8, 2005, based on a complaint filed by Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC ("Lumileds") of San Jose, California. 70 FR 73026. The complaint, as amended and supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. **1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain highbrightness light emitting diodes ("LEDs") and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1 and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 5,008,718; claims 1-3, 8-9, 16, 18, and 23-28 of U.S. Patent No. 5,376,580; and claims

12–16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,502,316. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's notice of investigation named Epistar Corporation ("Epistar") of Hsinchu, Taiwan, and United Epitaxy Company ("UEC") of Hsinchu, Taiwan as respondents.

On April 28, 2006, Lumileds moved to amend the complaint to: (1) Remove UEC as a named respondent, (2) change the complainant's full name from Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC to Philips Lumileds Lighting Company LLC, and (3) identify additional Epistar LEDs alleged to infringe one or more patentsin-suit. The remaining respondent did not oppose the motion.

On October 23, 2006, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting Lumileds' motion, and further ordering that the Notice of Investigation be amended to identify the actual parties in the abovecaptioned investigation. No party petitioned for review of the ID pursuant to 19 CFR 210.43(a), and the Commission found no basis for ordering a review on its own initiative pursuant to 19 CFR 210.44. The Commission has determined not to review this ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in sections 210.14 and 210.42(c)) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.14, 210.42(c)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 6, 2006.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. E6–19071 Filed 11–9–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree, under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as Amended ("CERCLA")

Pursuant to 29 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on October 31, 2006, a proposed consent decree in *United States* v. *Bill D. Stallings and Stallings Salvage, Inc.,* Civil action No. 3:05CV247–H, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina.

This Consent decree will resolve claims asserted by the United States in a complaint previously filed against defendants Bill D. Stallings and Stallings Salvage, Inc., for past costs incurred by EPA at the Stallings Salvage Site in Monroe, North Carolina. A complaint was filed on May 31, 2005, alleging that defendant Bill D. Stallings is liable as a past owner of the Site at the time of disposal pursuant to CERCLA Section 107(a)(2), and that defendant Stallings Salvage, Inc. is liable as an operator at the Site at the time of disposal, also pursuant to CERCLA 107(a)(2).

The Defendants agree to pay to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund the principal sum of \$150,000 plus accrued interest, to be made in five installments. The first payment, in the amount of \$10,000, is due within 30 days of entry of the Consent Decree. There will be three subsequent annual payments of \$39,750.00 each, and a fourth and final annual payment consisting of the remaining principal owed, plus accrued interest. The final payment should be in roughly the same amount as the previous payments, depending on the actual interest rates each year. The Consent Decree provides that the annual payments will be funded through an escrow account to be established by the Defendants.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *United States* v. *Bill D. Stallings and Stallings Salvage, Inc.,* D.J. Ref. #90–11–3–08007/ 1.

The consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the Western District of North Carolina, 227 West Trade St., Suite 1650, Charlotte, NC 28202, and at U.S. EPA Region 4, Office of Regional Counsel, 61 Forsyth Street, Atlanta, GA 30303. During the public comment period, the consent decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: http:// www.usdoj.gov/enrd/

Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the consent decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$5.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

Henry Friedman,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 06–9167 Filed 11–9–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decrees Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

The United States Department of Justice gives notice that on October 26, 2006, a proposed consent decree was lodged in *United States* v. *Bunge North America Inc.*, et al., Civil Action No. 2:06–cv–02209–MPM–DGB, in the United States District Court for the Central District of Illinois.

The consent decree resolves claims against Bunge North America, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries Bunge North America (East), L.L.C., Bunge North America (OPD West), Inc., and Bunge Milling, Inc. under Section 113 of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7413. The United States' complaint alleges that at some or all of the twelve plants subject to the proposed consent decree, one of the Defendants violated Clean Air Act requirements related to: Part C of Title I, 42 U.S.C. 7470-7492, Prevention of Significant Deterioration; Title V, 42 U.S.C. 7661–7661f, Permits; certain New Source Performance Standards, 42 U.S.C. 7411, 40 CFR Part 60; the state implementation plans ("SIPs") for the eight states in which the plants are located; and SIP permitting programs for construction and operation of new and modified stationary sources of air pollution.

The plants subject to the consent decree include eleven soybean processing plants and one corn dry mill. The soybean processing plants are located in: Danville, Illinois; Cairo, Illinois; Morristown, Indiana; Decatur, Indiana; Delphos, Ohio; Marion, Ohio; Council Bluffs, Iowa; Emporia, Kansas; Destrehan, Louisiana; Marks, Mississippi; and Decatur, Alabama. The corn dry mill is located in Danville, Illinois. All eight states where the plants are located have filed motions to intervene as plaintiffs in the case and are participating in the settlement.

The proposed consent decree would require Defendants to reduce emissions of volatile organic compounds from the plants by complying with interim limits, and setting and complying with final limits, on each plant's solvent loss ratio (SLR). Under the terms of the consent decree, the final solvent loss ratio for each of the eleven soybean plants may not exceed 0.2 gallon of solvent lost per ton of oilseeds processed (gal/ton) or the plant's existing permit limit, whichever is lower, and the final capacityweighted average SLR for the eleven soybean plants may not exceed 0.175 gal/ton. The consent decree would limit the SLR ratio for the corn dry mill plant to a maximum of 0.70 gal/ton based on content of hazardous air pollutants.

The consent decree would also require Defendants to undertake specified additional pollution control projects at various plants, to reduce emissions of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and particulate matter. Defendants would also be required to pay a civil penalty of \$625,000, which would be divided among the federal government and the eight states, and to spend at least \$1.25 million performing state supplemental environmental projects to achieve additional environmental benefits, including at least one project in each of the eight states.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *United States* v. *Bunge North America, Inc.*, DOJ Ref. # 90–5–2–1–07950.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Central District of Illinois, 201 South Vine Street, Suite 226, Urbana, Illinois 61802, and at the offices of the United States Environmental Protection Agency in Region 5, 77 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, Illinois 60604, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200, Dallas, Texas 75202, and Region 7, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. During the public comment period, the consent decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: http:// www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ Consent Decrees.html.

A copy of the consent decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood. *tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov*, Fax No. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree library,