

deposit rate equal to the rate applied to the PRC entity. *See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China*, 59 FR 55625, 55627 (November 8, 1994), *see also Certain Cased Pencils From the People's Republic of China; Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Amended Antidumping Duty Order in Accordance With Final Court Decision*, 64 FR 25275 (May 11, 1999).

In the 1999–2000 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pencils from the PRC, the Department “collapsed” Three Star with another entity, China First Pencil Co. Ltd. (China First), based upon information that came to light late in the review. Further, the Department determined that the combined entity, China First/Three Star, was distinct from the Three Star whose factors of production formed the basis for excluding Guangdong from the antidumping duty order. Because there was no information on the record of the 1999–2000 review from which to calculate a dumping margin for Guangdong, consistent with the investigation in this proceeding, in the final results of review the Department assigned Guangdong’s sales of China First/Three Star produced subject merchandise a cash deposit rate equal to the PRC–wide rate. *See Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 67 FR 48,612 (July 25, 2002), as amended in *Notice of Amended Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China*, 67 FR 59,049 (September 19, 2002) (*2000 Amended Final Results of Review*).

China First, Guangdong, Three Star, Orient International Holding Shanghai Foreign Trade Co. Ltd., and Kaiyuan Group Corporation, respondents in the 1999–2000 administrative review, filed a motion of judgement upon the agency record contesting the final results of that review. Specifically, these respondents challenged a number of the surrogate values selected by the Department, as well as the Department’s decision to collapse Three Star with China First, and initiate a review of Guangdong and assign it the PRC–wide rate. After considering the respondents’ arguments, the CIT upheld the Department’s selection of surrogate values but remanded the case to the Department instructing it to reevaluate the PRC–wide rate applied to Guangdong because

the Court found that by assigning this rate to Guangdong the Department had effectively applied adverse facts available to a participating and cooperative respondent. The CIT also instructed the Department to articulate specifically the portions of the existing collapsing statutes and regulations which are applicable or inapplicable in the non–market economy (NME) context, and provide the Court with a clearly articulated methodology for collapsing companies in NME countries. *See Kaiyuan Group Corp., et al v. United States and the Pencil Section Writing Instrument Manufacturers Ass’n, et al.*, 343 F. Supp. 2d 1289 (May 14, 2004). In its remand redetermination, the Department continued to collapse Three Star with China first (providing the additional explanation requested by the Court) but assigned Guangdong a cash deposit rate of 13.91 percent, which is equal to the weighted–average of the dumping margins calculated for the other respondents in the 1999–2000 administrative review. On August 23, 2005, the CIT sustained the Department’s remand redetermination. *See Kaiyuan Group Corp., et al v. United States and the Pencil Section Writing Instrument Manufacturers Association, et al.*, 391 F. Supp. 2d 1317 (August 23, 2005), and the Department’s Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand: *Kaiyuan Group Corp., et al v. United States and Pencil Section Writing Instrument Manufacturers Association, et al.* (dated September 30, 2004). On October 21, 2005, respondents filed a notice of appeal with the CAFC. On July 12, 2006, the CAFC issued a “Notice of Entry of Judgement Without Opinion,” in which it affirmed the decision of the CIT.

Amended Final Results of Review

As the litigation in this case has concluded, the Department is amending the *2000 Amended Final Results of Review* to reflect a dumping margin for Guangdong of 13.91 percent. The dumping margins for the other respondents in that review and the PRC–wide rate did not change as a result of the Department’s remand redetermination.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Subsequent to the 1999–2000 antidumping duty administrative review of pencils from the PRC, the Department determined, with respect to the pencils order, that Guangdong “did not merit a separate rate and will be subject to the PRC–wide rate.” *See Certain Cased Pencils from The People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty*

Administrative Review, 71 FR 38366 (July 6, 2006) (*2003–2004 Pencils AD Review*) and accompanying *Issues and Decision Memorandum* at Comment 10. Specifically, in the “Cash Deposit Requirements” section of the *2003–2004 Pencils AD Review*, and the Department’s corresponding cash deposit instructions to Customs and Border Protection (CBP), issued on July 28, 2006, we noted that for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise, to which we have not assigned a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC wide rate of 114.9 percent. The cash deposit rate in the *2003–2004 Pencils AD Review* supersedes the cash deposit rate assigned to Guangdong in the instant amended final results. Therefore, Guangdong’s future entries will continue to be subject to the PRC–wide rate and there will be no need to issue cash deposit instructions to CBP in connection with the instant **Federal Register** notice.

Assessment

Consistent with the *2000 Amended Final Results of Review*, because there is no information on the record which identifies the importers of record, we calculated exporter–specific assessment rates for respondents’ shipments of subject merchandise. Specifically, we calculated duty assessment rates for subject merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total quantity of those sales. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to U.S. Customs and Border Protection within 15 days of publication of this notice.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: November 2, 2006.

David M. Spooner,
Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–19040 Filed 11–8–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 110606B]

Caribbean Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of the St. Croix EEZ Working Group meeting.

SUMMARY: The Caribbean Fishery Management Council's St. Croix EEZ Working Group will hold a meeting.

DATES: The St. Croix EEZ Working Group meeting will be held on November 15, 2006, from 6 p.m. to 10 p.m., approximately.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Buccaneer Hotel, 5007 Estate Shoys, Lot 7, St. Croix, USVI 00820.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Caribbean Fishery Management Council, 268 Munoz Rivera Avenue, Suite 1108, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00918, telephone (787) 766-5926.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The St. Croix EEZ Working Group will meet to discuss the items contained in the following agenda:
Adoption of Agenda
Review of Summary Minutes October 10, 2006 Meeting
Selection of Suggested Preferred Alternatives to be Presented at the Next Council Meeting
Other Business
Next Meeting

Special Accommodations

The meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. For more information or request for sign language interpretation and/or other auxiliary aids, please contact Mr. Miguel A. Rolon, Executive Director, Caribbean Fishery Management Council, 268 Munoz Rivera Avenue, Suite 1108, San Juan, Puerto Rico, 00918, telephone (787) 766-5926, at least five days prior to the meeting date.

Dated: November 6, 2006.

Tracey L. Thompson,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.
[FR Doc. E6-19037 Filed 11-8-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Corporation for National and Community Service.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Corporation for National and Community Service (the "Corporation"), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and federal

agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirement on respondents can be properly assessed.

Currently, the Corporation is soliciting comments concerning its proposed renewal of its Application Instructions for State Administrative Funds, Program Development Assistance and Training, and Disability Placement. These applications are used by state commissions to apply for funds to support activities related to administration, training, and access for people with disabilities.

Copies of the information collection request can be obtained by contacting the office listed in the address section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the individual and office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section by January 8, 2007.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by the title of the information collection activity, by any of the following methods:

(1) By mail sent to: Corporation for National and Community Service, AmeriCorps State and National, Amy Borgstrom, Associate Director for Policy, 1201 New York Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20525.

(2) By hand delivery or by courier to the Corporation's mailroom at Room 8100 at the mail address given in paragraph (1) above, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

(3) By fax to: (202) 606-3476, Attention Amy Borgstrom, Associate Director for Policy.

(4) Electronically through the Corporation's e-mail address system: aborgstrom@cns.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amy Borgstrom, (202) 606-6930 or by e-mail at aborgstrom@cns.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Corporation is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Corporation, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the

proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are expected to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology (e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses).

Background: AmeriCorps grants are generally awarded to eligible organizations to recruit, train, and manage AmeriCorps members who address unmet community needs. AmeriCorps members are individuals who engage in community service. Members may receive a living allowance during their term of service. Upon successful completion of their service members receive an education award from the National Service Trust.

Roughly three quarters of all AmeriCorps grant funding goes to Governor-appointed state service commissions which award subgrants to nonprofit organizations in their states. The State Administrative Funds, Program Development Assistance and Training, and Disability Placement Application Instructions are used by commissions to complete their application for these funds in eGrants, the Corporation's Web-based grants management system.

Current Action: The Corporation seeks to renew and revise the current application instructions. The application instructions are being revised for increased clarity and burden reduction. The application will be used in the same manner as the existing application. The Corporation also seeks to continue using the current application instructions until the revised application instructions are approved by OMB. The current application instructions are due to expire on April 30, 2008.

Type of Review: Renewal; previously granted approval by OMB.

Agency: Corporation for National and Community Service.

Title: State Administrative Funds, Program Development Assistance and Training, and Disability Placement Application Instructions.

OMB Number: 3045-0099.

Agency Number: None.

Affected Public: State commissions.

Total Respondents: 54.

Frequency: Annually.

Average Time Per Response: 24 hours.