

promote the vision of a learning society in which learning is seen as a community-wide responsibility supported by both formal and informal educational entities.

Pub. L. 104–208 enacted on September 30, 1996 contains the Library Services and Technology Act and the Museum Services Act. These Acts authorize the Director of the Institute of Museum and Library Services to make grants, contracts, and cooperative agreements for activities that support museum and library services to the public.

## II. Current Actions

To administer these programs of grants, cooperative agreements and contracts, IMLS must develop application guidelines, applications and reporting forms.

*Agency:* Institute of Museum and Library Services.

*Title:* IMLS Guidelines, Applications and Reporting Forms

*OMB Number:* 3137–0029, 3137–0049, 3137–0056, 3137–0057; 3137–0060; 3137–0065.

*Agency Number:* 3137.

*Frequency:* Annually, Semi-annually.

*Affected Public:* State Library Administrative Agencies, museums, libraries, institutions of higher education, library and museum professional associations, and museum and library professionals, Native tribes.

*Number of Respondents:* 4700.

*Estimated Time Per Respondent:* .25–120 hours.

*Total Burden Hours:* 69,019.

*Total Annualized Capital/Startup*

*Costs:* 0.

*Total Annual Costs:* \$1,380,000.

*Contact:* For a copy of the documents contact: Barbara Smith, E-Projects Officer, Institute of Museum and Library Services, 1800 M Street, NW., 9th Floor, Washington, DC. Ms. Smith can be reached by telephone: 202–653–4688; fax: 202–653–8625; or e-mail: [bsmith@imls.gov](mailto:bsmith@imls.gov).

Dated: October 30, 2006.

**Rebecca Danvers,**

*Director of Research and Technology.*

[FR Doc. E6–18551 Filed 11–2–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7036–01–P

## NATIONAL COUNCIL ON THE HUMANITIES

### Meeting

October 27, 2006.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended) notice is hereby

given the National Council on the Humanities will meet in Washington, DC on November 16–17, 2006.

The purpose of the meeting is to advise the Chairman of the National Endowment for the Humanities with respect to policies, programs, and procedures for carrying out his functions, and to review applications for financial support from and gifts offered to the Endowment and to make recommendations thereon to the Chairman.

The meeting will be held in the Old Post Office Building, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. A portion of the morning and afternoon sessions on November 16–17, 2006, will not be open to the public pursuant to subsections (c)(4), (c)(6) and (c)(9)(B) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code because the Council will consider information that may disclose trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential; information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy; and information the premature disclosure of which would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of proposed agency action. I have made this determination under the authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority dated July 19, 1993.

The agenda for the sessions on November 16, 2006 will be as follows:

### Committee Meetings

(Open to the Public)

Policy Discussion.  
9–10:30 a.m. Challenge Grants—Room 420  
Education Programs—Room M–07  
Federal/State Partnership—Room 510  
Preservation and Access—Room 415  
Research Programs—Room 315

(Closed to the Public)

Discussion of specific grant applications and programs before the Council.  
10:30 a.m. until Adjourned Challenge Grants—Room 420  
Education Programs—Room M–07  
Federal/State Partnership—Room 510  
Preservation and Access—Room 415  
Research Programs—Room 315

The morning session on November 17, 2006 will convene at 9 a.m., in the 1st Floor Council Room M–09, and will be open to the public, as set out below. The agenda for the morning session will be as follows:

A. Minutes of the Previous Meeting  
B. Reports

1. Introductory Remarks
2. Staff Report
3. Congressional Report
4. Budget Report
5. Reports on Policy and General Matters
  - a. Challenge Grants
  - b. Education Programs
  - c. Federal/State Partnership
  - d. Preservation and Access
  - e. Research Programs

The remainder of the proposed meeting will be given to the consideration of specific applications and closed to the public for the reasons stated above.

Further information about this meeting can be obtained from Heather Gottry, Acting Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506, or by calling (202) 606–8322, TDD (202) 606–8282. Advance notice of any special needs or accommodations is appreciated.

**Heather Gottry,**

*Acting Advisory Committee, Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. E6–18552 Filed 11–2–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536–01–P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket NOS. 50–317 AND 50–318]

### Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption from Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 50.46 and Appendix K to Part 50 for Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–53 and DPR–69, issued to Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Inc. (the licensee), for operation of the Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (Calvert Cliffs 1 and 2), located in Calvert County, Maryland. Therefore, as required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC is issuing this environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

### Environmental Assessment

#### Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed exemption would allow the licensee to use up to four lead fuel assemblies (LFAs) containing a limited number of fuel rods with cladding other than Zircaloy or ZIRLO in the core of either Calvert Cliffs 1 or 2. Two of the

LFAs were manufactured by Westinghouse Electric Company and contain a limited number of fuel rods clad with advanced zirconium-based alloys. The other two LFAs were manufactured by Framatome ANP, Inc. with fuel rod cladding material as M5 alloy. These LFAs were originally inserted into the Calvert Cliffs 2 core in April of 2003 (operating cycles 15 and 16).

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated January 19, 2006.

#### *The Need for the Proposed Action*

10 CFR 50.46 and 10 CFR part 50, Appendix K make no provisions for use of fuel rods clad in a material other than Zircaloy or ZIRLO. Since the material specifications of the advanced zirconium-based and M5 alloys differ from the specification for Zircaloy or ZIRLO, a plant-specific exemption is required to support the use of the four LFAs for either Calvert Cliffs 1 or 2. If the exemption were not approved, the licensee would not gain practical experience of these designs relative to grid-to-rod fretting.

#### *Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action*

The NRC has completed its safety evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the exemption described above would continue to satisfy the underlying purpose of 10 CFR 50.46 and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K and will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety. The safety evaluations performed by Westinghouse and Framatome ANP demonstrate that the predicted chemical, mechanical, and material performance of the advanced zirconium and M5 cladding are acceptable under all anticipated operational occurrences and postulated accidents. Furthermore, the LFAs will be placed in non-limiting core locations (low duty locations on the core periphery). In the event that the cladding failures occur in the LFAs, the environmental impact would be minimal and is bound by the previous environmental assessments.

The details of the staff's safety evaluation will be provided in the exemption that will be issued as part of the letter to the licensee approving the exemption to the regulation.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents. No changes are being made in the types of effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no

significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

#### *Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

#### *Alternative Use of Resources*

The action does not involve the use of any different resources than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for Calvert Cliffs 1 and 2, dated April 1973, and the Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants, Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant (NUREG-1437, Supplement 1), dated October 1999.

#### *Agencies and Persons Consulted*

In accordance with its stated policy, on October 27, 2006, the staff consulted with the Maryland State official, Mr. R. McLean of the Maryland Department of Natural Resources, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

#### **Finding of No Significant Impact**

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated January 19, 2006. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically

from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, or send an e-mail to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of October 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Patrick D. Milano,**

*Senior Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch I-1, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. E6-18594 Filed 11-2-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

---



---

## **SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

### **Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request**

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension: Rule 15a-5; SEC File No. 270-527; OMB Control No. 3235-0587.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") a request for extension of the previously approved collections of information discussed below.

Section 15(a) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a-15(a)) (the "Investment Company Act" or "Act") prohibits any person from serving as an investment adviser (or a subadviser) to a fund except under a written contract that the fund's shareholders have approved. The Commission has granted exemptive relief, by order, to a number of registered open-end management investment companies ("funds") whose investment advisers do not directly manage a portfolio of securities, but instead supervise one or more subadvisers, which are themselves responsible for the day-to-day management of the funds' portfolios ("manager of managers funds").<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> In this notice, we use the term "subadviser" to mean a party that contracts with a fund's principal