

Decree), and the State of Delaware will hold an environmental covenant for the Pike Property to protect it in perpetuity. Defendants will reimburse each Trustee for its Damage Assessment Costs, and make a payment to Delaware for groundwater injuries. Defendants will pay \$2,237,205 for the above-mentioned projects and costs.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States and the State of Delaware v. E.I. Du Pont De Nemours & Company, Inc., and CIBA Speciality Chemicals Corporation*, D.J. Ref. 90-11-2-883/2.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, for the District of Delaware, 1007 Orange Street, Suite 700, Wilmington, Delaware. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree, may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, [http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\\_Decrees.html](http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html). A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood ([tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov](mailto:tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov)), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$6.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury.

A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained at the offices of the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Division of Air and Waste Management, Site Investigation and Restoration Branch, 391 Lukens Drive, New Castle, Delaware 19720, Main phone number: 302-395-2600, Site Name: DuPont Newport NRDA DE-X009. Contacts: Jane Biggs Sanger, Elizabeth LaSorte, or Robert Newsome. An electronic version of the Consent Decree and the DARP can be viewed at <http://apps.dnrec.state.de.us/intraviewer/session/frmmain.cfm>.

**Robert Brook,**

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 06-8631 Filed 10-11-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

**NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

**Notice of Information Collection**

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

*Notice:* (06-076).

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection.

**SUMMARY:** The National Aeronautics and Space Administration, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** All comments should be submitted within 60 calendar days from the date of this publication.

**ADDRESSES:** All comments should be addressed to Mr. Walter Kit, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546-0001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Mr. Walter Kit, NASA PRA Officer, NASA Headquarters, 300 E Street, SW., JE000, Washington, DC 20546, (202) 358-1350, [Walter.Kit-1@nasa.gov](mailto:Walter.Kit-1@nasa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Abstract**

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 209, applicants for a license under a patent or patent application must submit information in support of their request for a license. NASA uses the submitted information to grant the license.

**II. Method of Collection**

The current paper-based system is used to collect the information. It is deemed not cost effect to collect the information using a Web site form since the applications submitted vary significantly in format and volume.

**III. Data**

*Title:* Application for Patent License.

*OMB Number:* 2700-0039.

*Type of review:* Extension of currently approved collection.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit, and individuals or households.

*Number of Respondents:* 60.

*Responses per Respondent:* 1.

*Annual Responses:* 60.

*Hours per Request:* 10 hours.

*Annual Burden Hours:* 600.

**IV. Request for Comments**

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NASA, including whether the information collected has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of NASA's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection. They will also become a matter of public record.

**Gary Cox,**

*Deputy Chief Information Officer (Acting).*

[FR Doc. E6-16854 Filed 10-11-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-13-P

**NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

**Notice of Information Collection**

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

*Notice:* (06-077).

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection.

**SUMMARY:** The National Aeronautics and Space Administration, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** All comments should be submitted within 60 calendar days from the date of this publication.

**ADDRESSES:** All comments should be addressed to Mr. Walter Kit, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546-0001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Mr. Walter Kit, NASA PRA Officer, NASA Headquarters, 300 E Street, SW., JE000, Washington, DC 20546, (202) 358-1350, [Walter.Kit-1@nasa.gov](mailto:Walter.Kit-1@nasa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Abstract**

NASA grants patent licenses for the commercial application of NASA-owned inventions. Each licensee is required to report annually on its activities in commercializing its licensed inventions(s) and on any royalties due. NASA attorneys use this information to determine of a licensee is achieving and maintaining practical application of the licensed inventions as required by its license agreement.

**II. Method of Collection**

The current paper-based system is used to collect the information. It is deemed not cost effect to collect the information using a Web site form since the reports submitted vary significantly in format and volume.

**III. Data**

*Title:* Patent License Report.

*OMB Number:* 2700-0010.

*Type of review:* Extension of currently approved collection.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit; individuals or households.

*Number of Respondents:* 90.

*Responses per Respondent:* 1.

*Annual Responses:* 90.

*Hours per Request:* 0.5 hour.

*Annual Burden Hours:* 45.

*Frequency of Report:* Annually.

**IV. Request for Comments**

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NASA, including whether the information collected has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of NASA's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection. They will also become a matter of public record.

**Gary Cox,**

*Deputy Chief Information Officer (Acting).*

[FR Doc. E6-16855 Filed 10-11-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7510-13-P**

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

[Docket No. 52-011]

**Southern Nuclear Operating Company; Notice of Hearing and Opportunity to Petition for Leave to Intervene on An Early Site Permit for the Vogtle ESP Site**

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 50, Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities, Part 52, Early Site Permits, Standard Design Certifications, and Combined Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants, and Part 2, Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings and Issuance of Orders, notice is hereby given that a hearing will be held, at a time and place to be set in the future by the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) or designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (Board). The hearing will consider the application dated August 14, 2006, filed by Southern Nuclear Operating Company (SNC), pursuant to Subpart A of 10 CFR part 52 for an early site permit (ESP). The application which was supplemented by letters dated August 17, September 6 (two letters), and September 13, 2006 requests approval of a site located in eastern Georgia (near Waynesboro, Georgia) identified as the Vogtle ESP site, for one or more new nuclear reactors that would, if authorized for construction and operation in a separate licensing proceeding under subpart C of 10 CFR part 52 or under 10 CFR part 50, have a capacity of no more than 6800 Megawatts (thermal) additional for the site. The application was accepted for docketing on September 19, 2006. The docket number established for this application is 52-011.

The hearing will be conducted by a Board which will be designated by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel or by the Commission. Notice as to the membership of the Board will be published in the **Federal Register** at a later date.

The NRC staff will complete a detailed technical review of the application and will document its findings in a safety evaluation report (SER) and an environmental impact statement (EIS). In addition, the Commission will refer a copy of the application to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) in accordance with 10 CFR 52.23, and the ACRS will report on those portions of the application that concern safety.

Upon receipt of the ACRS report and completion of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's SER and EIS, the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, NRC, will propose findings on the following issues:

**Issues Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as Amended**

(1) Whether the issuance of an ESP will be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public (Safety Issue 1); and (2) whether, taking into consideration the site criteria contained in 10 CFR part 100, a reactor, or reactors, having characteristics that fall within the parameters for the site, can be constructed and operated without undue risk to the health and safety of the public (Safety Issue 2).

**Issue Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as Amended**

Whether, in accordance with the requirements of subpart A of 10 CFR part 51, the ESP should be issued as proposed.

The Board will conduct the hearing in accordance with 10 CFR part 2. If the hearing is contested as defined by 10 CFR 2.4, the Board will consider Safety Issues 1 and 2 and the issue pursuant to NEPA set forth above.

If the hearing is not a contested proceeding as defined in 10 CFR 2.4, the Board will determine without conducting a de novo review: Whether the application and the record of the proceeding contain sufficient information, and the review of the application by the Commission's staff has been adequate to support a negative finding on Safety Issue 1 above, and an affirmative finding on Safety Issue 2 above, as proposed to be made by the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation; and whether the review conducted by the Commission pursuant to NEPA has been adequate.

Regardless of whether the proceeding is contested or uncontested, the Board will: (1) Determine whether the requirements of Section 102(2)(A), (C), and (E) of NEPA and subpart A of 10 CFR part 51 have been complied with in the proceeding; (2) independently consider the final balance among the conflicting factors contained in the record of the proceeding with a view to determining the appropriate action to be taken; and (3) determine, after considering reasonable alternatives, whether the ESP should be issued, denied, or appropriately conditioned to protect environmental values.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.309, any person whose interest may be affected