

that during the period between on or about October 27, 1999 and on or about February 23, 2000, MAMG engaged in conduct prohibited by the Regulations when it transferred an uncooled infrared camera, an item subject to the Regulations, to an individual from the United Arab Emirates in violation of a BIS license condition. The BIS license that authorized the export of the camera from the United States to MAMG prohibited the resale, transfer, or reexport of the camera to anyone other than the approved end-users on the license without prior authorization by the U.S. Government. In transferring the camera to a non-approved end-user without prior U.S. Government authorization, MAMG committed one violation of § 764.2(a) of the Regulations.

The charging letter further alleged that during the period on or about October 27, 1999 and on or about February 23, 2000, MAMG transferred an uncooled infrared camera, an item subject to the Regulations, to an individual from the United Arab Emirates with knowledge or reason to know that a violation would subsequently occur in connection with the item. Specifically, at the time MAMG transferred the camera, it knew or had reason to know that the BIS license authorizing the export of the camera from the United States to MAMG prohibited the resale, transfer, or reexport of the camera by MAMG to any entity other than those listed on the license as approved end-users without prior U.S. Government authorization. In transferring the camera with such knowledge, MAMG committed one violation of § 764.2(e) of the Regulations.

#### D. Penalty Recommendation

#### [REDACTED SECTION]

#### E. Conclusion

Accordingly, I am referring this Recommended Decision and Order to the Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security for review and final action for the agency, without further notice to the Respondent, as provided in § 766.7 of the Regulations.

Within thirty (30) days after receipt of this Recommended Decision and Order, the Under Secretary shall issue a written order affirming, modifying, or vacating the Recommended Decision and Order. See 15 CFR 766.22(c).

Done and Dated August 30th, 2006.

**Joseph N. Ingolia,**

*Chief Administrative Law Judge.*

[FR Doc. 06-8067 Filed 9-21-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-33-M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Bureau of Industry and Security

#### Deemed Export Advisory Committee; Notice of Partially Closed Meeting

The Deemed Export Advisory Committee (DEAC) will meet on October 12, 2006, 9 a.m., in the main lobby of the Herbert C. Hoover Building, 14th Street between Constitution and Pennsylvania Avenues, NW., Washington, DC. The Committee shall advise the Secretary on deemed export licensing policy. A tentative agenda of topics for discussion is listed below. While these topics will likely be discussed, this list is not exhaustive and there may be discussions on other related items during the public session.

#### October 12

##### Public Session

1. Introductory Remarks.
2. Current Deemed Export Control Policy Issues.
3. Technology Transfer Issues.
4. U.S. Industry Competitiveness.
5. U.S. Academic and Government Research Communities.

##### Closed Session

6. Discussion of matters determined to be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app.2 §§ 10(a)(1) and 10(a)(3).

A limited number of seats will be available for the public session. Reservations are not accepted. To the extent time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee.

The public may submit written statements at any time before or after the meeting. However, to facilitate distribution of public presentation materials to Committee members, the Committee suggests that public presentation materials or comments be forwarded before the meeting to Ms. Yvette Springer at [Yspringer@bis.doc.gov](mailto:Yspringer@bis.doc.gov).

The Assistant Secretary for Administration, with the concurrence of the delegate of the General Counsel, formally determined on September 14, 2006, pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. app.2 § (10)(d)), that the portion of the meeting dealing with matters that are (A) specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive order to be kept secret in the interests of national defense or foreign policy and (B) in fact properly classified pursuant to such Executive order (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1)(A) and (1)(B)), shall be exempt from the provisions

relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app.2 §§ 10(a)(1) and 10(a)(3). The remaining portions of the meeting will be open to the public.

For more information, call Yvette Springer at (202) 482-4814.

Dated: September 19, 2006.

**Yvette Springer,**

*Committee Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 06-8068 Filed 9-21-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-JT-M

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

### International Trade Administration

#### [A-427-801]

#### Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

**SUMMARY:** On September 1, 2006, the United States Court of International Trade affirmed in part and struck in part the Department of Commerce's redetermination on remand of the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ball bearings and parts thereof from France. See *SKF USA Inc., SKF France S.A., and Sarma v. United States*, Court No. 03-00490, slip op. 06-133 (CIT 2006). The Department is now issuing this notice of court decision not in harmony with the Department's determination.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** September 22, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Yang Jin Chun or Richard Rimlinger, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5760 or (202) 482-4477, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On June 16, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ball bearings and parts thereof from France for the period May 1, 2001, through April 30, 2002. See *Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, et al.; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Rescission of Administrative Reviews in Part, and Determination Not to Revoke Order in Part*, 68 FR 35623 (June 16, 2003). SKF France S.A., SKF USA Inc., and Sarma (hereafter "SKF") filed a lawsuit challenging the final results. On August 24, 2005, the United States