

of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or

threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than September 18, 2006.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address

shown below, not later than September 18, 2006.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C-5311, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 30th day of August 2006.

Erica R. Cantor,
Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX

[TAA petitions instituted between 8/21/06 and 8/25/06]

| TA-W | Subject firm (petitioners) | Location | Date of institution | Date of petition |
|-------|---|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 59927 | Toshiba (State) | Irvine, CA | 08/21/06 | 08/18/06 |
| 59928 | Diversco, Inc. (Wkrs) | Spartanburg, SC | 08/21/06 | 08/16/06 |
| 59929 | Cochrane Furniture Company (Comp) | Lincolnton, NC | 08/21/06 | 08/18/06 |
| 59930 | Shaw Mudge and Company (State) | Shelton, CT | 08/21/06 | 08/18/06 |
| 59931 | Flex-o-Lite, Inc. (Comp) | Paris, TX | 08/21/06 | 08/15/06 |
| 59932 | Dun and Bradstreet (Wkrs) | Bethlehem, PA | 08/21/06 | 08/15/06 |
| 59933 | Reliable Knitting Works (Comp) | Milwaukee, WI | 08/21/06 | 08/19/06 |
| 59934 | Florida Tile, Inc. (Comp) | Shannon, GA | 08/21/06 | 08/21/06 |
| 59935 | Moll Industries (State) | Tucson, AZ | 08/21/06 | 08/18/06 |
| 59936 | C-Tech Industries, Inc. (Comp) | Calumet, MI | 08/22/06 | 08/15/06 |
| 59937 | Stronglite, Inc. (Comp) | Cottage Grove, OR | 08/22/06 | 08/21/06 |
| 59938 | Lear Corporation (Union) | Atlanta, GA | 08/22/06 | 08/22/06 |
| 59939 | Newco, Inc. (Wkrs) | Newton, NJ | 08/22/06 | 08/11/06 |
| 59940 | Liberty Throwing Co., Inc. (Union) | Kingston, PA | 08/22/06 | 08/22/06 |
| 59941 | Caraustar Mill Group (USW) | Rittman, OH | 08/23/06 | 08/17/06 |
| 59942 | Distinctive Designs Furniture USA (State) | Granite Falls, NC | 08/23/06 | 08/22/06 |
| 59943 | Lee's Shipping (Wkrs) | Thayer, MO | 08/23/06 | 08/22/06 |
| 59944 | US Airways, Inc. (Wkrs) | Winston-Salem, NC | 08/23/06 | 08/21/06 |
| 59945 | Sheaffer Manufacturing Co., LLC (Comp) | Fort Madison, IA | 08/23/06 | 08/23/06 |
| 59946 | International Textile Group (Comp) | New York, NY | 08/23/06 | 08/16/06 |
| 59947 | Hamrick's, Inc. (Comp) | Gaffney, SC | 08/24/06 | 08/01/06 |
| 59948 | Dolphin Cove, LLC (Comp) | Soddy Daisy, TN | 08/24/06 | 08/23/06 |
| 59949 | Thermo Electron (State) | Franklin, MA | 08/24/06 | 08/23/06 |
| 59950 | Stanley-Bostitch, Inc. (State) | Clinton, CT | 08/24/06 | 08/23/06 |
| 59951 | Northern Hardwoods (State) | South Range, MI | 08/24/06 | 08/16/06 |
| 59952 | Schott North America, Inc. (Comp) | Duryea, PA | 08/25/06 | 08/17/06 |
| 59953 | Corinthian, Inc. (Wkrs) | Corinth, MS | 08/25/06 | 08/24/06 |
| 59954 | Saturn Customer Assistance Ctr. (Wkrs) | Springhill, TN | 08/25/06 | 08/24/06 |
| 59955 | Lawrence Hardware, LLC (Comp) | Rock Falls, IL | 08/25/06 | 08/23/06 |
| 59956 | International Textile Group (Comp) | Greensboro, NC | 08/25/06 | 08/16/06 |
| 59957 | Jonette Jewelry Co. (Comp) | E. Providence, RI | 08/25/06 | 08/25/06 |
| 59958 | Stanley Fastening Systems, LP (Comp) | East Greenwich, RI | 08/25/06 | 08/24/06 |
| 59959 | Toombs Apparel, Inc. (Comp) | Lyons, GA | 08/25/06 | 08/22/06 |

[FR Doc. E6-14729 Filed 9-5-06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Publication of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Advanced Technology Solar Telescope (ATST) at the Haleakalā High Altitude Observatory (HO) Site, Haleakalā, Island of Maui, Hawai'i

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Notice—Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) has prepared a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the proposed Advanced Technology Solar Telescope (ATST) Project. This joint DEIS is prepared in compliance with the Federal National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the State of Hawai'i Chapter 343, Hawai'i Revised Statutes (HRS). The NSF, through an award to the National

Solar Observatory (NSO), plans to fund construction of the proposed ATST at the University of Hawai'i Institute for Astronomy (IfA), Haleakalā High Altitude Observatory (HO) site, on the Island of Maui, Hawai'i. An extensive campaign of worldwide site testing has identified Haleakalā Observatory as the optimal location for this next-generation solar observing facility. The telescope enclosure and a support facility would be placed at one of two identified sites within the existing observatory boundaries. The DEIS addresses the

multi-year selection process of these sites and the potential environmental impacts of on-site construction, installation, and operation of this proposed new solar telescope. With its unprecedented 4.2-m (165-inch) aperture, advanced optical technology, and state-of-the-art instrumentation, the proposed ATST would be an indispensable tool for exploring and understanding physical processes on the sun that ultimately affect Earth. The DEIS addresses, among other things, the potential direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental impacts associated with the proposed Advanced Technology Solar Telescope project.

Written comments may be forwarded to:

ADDRESSES: Dr. Craig B. Foltz, Program Manager, National Science Foundation, Division of Astronomical Sciences, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Room 1045, Washington DC 22230, telephone: (703) 292-4909, fax: (730) 292-9034, e-mail: cfoltz@nsf.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Proposed alternatives to be considered include, but are not limited to the following:

(1) *Alternative 1 (Proposed Action):* Undeveloped site East of Mees Observatory.

(2) *Alternative 2:* Former radio telescope site known as Reber Circle.

(3) *Alternative 3:* No-Action. The National Science Foundation will not construct the Advanced Technology Solar Telescope on Maui.

Publication of the DEIS does not foreclose consideration of any courses of action or possible decisions addressed by the National Science Foundation in its Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS). No final decisions will be made regarding construction of the ATST prior to completion and signature of the Record of Decision for the Proposed Action.

Public Comment Period: The NSF welcomes and invites Federal, State, and local agencies, and the public to participate in the 45-day comment period for the completion of this EIS. The 45-day public comment period begins September 8, 2006, and ends on October 23, 2006. Public comment meetings will take place on the island of Maui, Hawai'i, with notification of the times and locations published in the local newspapers, as follows:

1. Cameron Center Auditorium, September 27, 2006, Wednesday, 6 p.m. to 10 p.m.

2. Hannibal Tavares Community Center, Multi-purpose Room, September 28, 2006, Thursday, 6 p.m. to 10 p.m.

3. Kula Community Center, September 29, 2006, Friday, 6 p.m. to 10 p.m.

Written comments may be submitted to Dr. Craig B. Foltz at the address above.

Dated: August 23, 2006.

Craig B. Foltz,

ATST Program Officer.

[FR Doc. 06-7429 Filed 9-5-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-128; EA-06-211]

In the Matter of Texas A&M University (Nuclear Science Center TRIGA Research Reactor); Order Modifying Amended Facility Operating License No. R-83

I

The Texas A&M University (the licensee) is the holder of Amended Facility Operating License No. R-83 (the license). The license was issued on December 7, 1961, by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission and subsequently renewed on March 30, 1983, by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the NRC or the Commission). The license includes authorization to operate the Nuclear Science Center TRIGA Research Reactor (the facility) at a power level up to 1,000 kilowatts thermal (1,300 kilowatts thermal for purposes of testing and calibration) and to receive, possess, and use special nuclear material associated with the operation. The facility is on the campus of the Texas A&M University, in the city of College Station, Brazos County, Texas. The mailing address is Nuclear Science Center, Texas Engineering Experimental Station, Texas A&M University, 3575 TAMU, College Station, Texas 77843-3575.

II

On February 25, 1986, the Commission promulgated a final rule, Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Section 50.64, limiting the use of high-enriched uranium (HEU) fuel in domestic non-power reactors (research and test reactors) (see 51 FR 6514). The regulation, which became effective on March 27, 1986, requires that if Federal Government funding for conversion-related costs is available, each licensee of a non-power reactor authorized to use HEU fuel shall replace it with low-enriched uranium (LEU) fuel acceptable to the Commission unless the

Commission has determined that the reactor has a unique purpose. The Commission's stated purpose for these requirements was to reduce, to the maximum extent possible, the use of HEU fuel in order to reduce the risk of theft and diversion of HEU fuel used in non-power reactors.

Paragraphs 50.64(b)(2)(i) and (ii) require that a licensee of a non-power reactor (1) not acquire more HEU fuel if LEU fuel that is acceptable to the Commission for that reactor is available when the licensee proposes to acquire HEU fuel and (2) replace all HEU fuel in its possession with available LEU fuel acceptable to the Commission for that reactor in accordance with a schedule determined pursuant to 10 CFR 50.64(c)(2).

Paragraph 50.64(c)(2)(i) requires, among other things, that each licensee of a non-power reactor authorized to possess and to use HEU fuel develop and submit to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (Director) by March 27, 1987, and at 12-month intervals, thereafter, a written proposal for meeting the requirements of the rule. The licensee shall include in its proposal a certification that Federal Government funding for conversion is available through the U.S. Department of Energy or other appropriate Federal agency and a schedule for conversion, based upon availability of replacement fuel acceptable to the Commission for that reactor and upon consideration of other factors such as the availability of shipping casks, implementation of arrangements for available financial support, and reactor usage.

Paragraph 50.64(c)(2)(iii) requires the licensee to include in the proposal, to the extent required to effect conversion, all necessary changes to the license, to the facility, and to licensee procedures. This paragraph also requires the licensee to submit supporting safety analyses in time to meet the conversion schedule.

Paragraph 50.64(c)(2)(iii) also requires the Director to review the licensee proposal, to confirm the status of Federal Government funding, and to determine a final schedule, if the licensee has submitted a schedule for conversion.

Section 50.64(c)(3) requires the Director to review the supporting safety analyses and to issue an appropriate enforcement order directing both the conversion and, to the extent consistent with protection of public health and safety, any necessary changes to the license, the facility, and licensee procedures. In the **Federal Register** notice of the final rule (51 FR 6514), the Commission explained that in most, if