

Comment 9: Kejriwal's Imputed U.S. Credit Expense  
 Comment 10: Kejriwal's Minor Correction Regarding USDUTYU Field  
 Comment 11: Decision not to Verify the Sales and Critical Circumstances Responses of Aero and Navneet  
 Comment 12: Decision not to Fully Extend the Final Determination  
 Comment 13: Whether the Cost Investigation was Unlawful and Not Based on Substantial Evidence  
 Comment 14: Whether Adverse Inferences were Warranted for Aero and Navneet  
 Comment 15: Legality of Methodology and Adverse Rates Applied to Aero and Navneet  
 Comment 16: Treatment of Negative Margins  
 [FR Doc. E6-12811 Filed 8-7-06; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-570-881

#### Malleable Iron Pipe Fittings From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On June 29, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published *Malleable Iron Pipe Fittings From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 37051 (June 29, 2006) ("*Final Results*"), covering the period of review ("POR") December 2, 2003, through November 30, 2004. We are amending the *Final Results* to correct two ministerial errors made in the calculation of the dumping margin for LDR Industries Inc. and Beijing Sai Lin Ke Hardware Co., Ltd. (collectively "SLK"), pursuant to section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act").

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 8, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jennifer Moats or Juanita H. Chen, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-5047 or 202-482-1904, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Period of Review

The POR is December 2, 2003, through November 30, 2004.

#### Scope of the Order

For purposes of this order, the products covered are certain malleable iron pipe fittings, cast, other than grooved fittings, from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). The merchandise is currently classifiable under item numbers 7307.19.90.30, 7307.19.90.60 and 7307.19.90.80 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Excluded from the scope of this order are metal compression couplings, which are imported under HTSUS number 7307.19.90.80. A metal compression coupling consists of a coupling body, two gaskets, and two compression nuts. These products range in diameter from ½ inch to 2 inches and are carried only in galvanized finish. Although HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department's written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

#### Background

On June 29, 2006, the Department published the *Final Results* in the **Federal Register**. On June 28, 2006, and July 3, 2006, we received ministerial error allegations from SLK and Chengde Malleable Iron General Factory ("Chengde"). On July 24, 2006, the Department rejected a second submission filed by Chengde as untimely. A ministerial error is defined in section 751(h) of the Act and further clarified in 19 CFR 351.224(f) as "an error in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other similar type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial." After analyzing SLK's comments, we agree that the Department made two ministerial errors in SLK's margin calculation program for the *Final Results*. After analyzing Chengde's comments, we disagree with its allegations that the Department made ministerial errors in Chengde's margin calculation program for the *Final Results*. See the July 31, 2006, Memorandum from Juanita H. Chen to Wendy J. Frankel regarding the 2003-2004 Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings from the People's Republic of China: Analysis of Ministerial Error Allegations. As a result, we are amending the *Final Results* only to revise the antidumping margin for SLK, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e).

#### Analysis of Ministerial Error Allegations

**SLK Allegation: Calculation Error for Weight Conversion**

SLK argues that the Department erred when it converted SLK's U.S. expenses and packing factors from a per-piece basis to a per-kilogram basis by using an incorrectly calculated average weight of all the reported producer-specific weights (*i.e.*, WEIGHT4 in the margin calculation program). Specifically, SLK argues that the error resulted from the use of the "ID" statement in the SAS calculation program when weight averaging all of the reported weights of each fitting, thereby resulting in the Department's unintentional selection of the highest reported producer-specific weight rather than the weighted-average weight. SLK claims that the Department then applied the highest per-unit weight as reported by SLK's suppliers in its factors of production ("FOP") databases to convert the U.S. expenses and its packing expenses to a per-kilogram basis. SLK suggests that the Department correct this ministerial error by eliminating the "ID" statement and adding WEIGHT4 to the VAR statement, which calculates a weighted average of the reported producer-specific weights instead of the highest of the reported producer-specific weights.

**Department's Position:**

We agree with SLK that we inadvertently selected the highest reported weight by using the "ID" statement in the margin calculation. For these final results, we have eliminated the "ID" statement and added WEIGHT4 to the VAR statement. As a result, the revised margin calculation program applies the weighted-average of the reported producer-specific weights. Thus, we have revised SLK's margin accordingly.

**SLK Allegation: Currency Conversion Error for Packing Expenses**

SLK argues that the Department erroneously used Indian rupee-denominated freight values, instead of U.S. dollar-denominated freight values in calculating packing expenses. Specifically, SLK claims that the Department converted all the freight expenses related to SLK's packing FOPs from Indian rupees to U.S. dollars, but when calculating the total packing expenses, the Department added Indian rupee-denominated freight values to U.S. dollar-denominated surrogate values for the packing inputs. SLK suggests that the Department should correct this mistake by replacing the Indian rupee-denominated freight

values with U.S. dollar-denominated freight values in the margin calculation for packing expenses.

#### Department's Position:

We agree with SLK that we erroneously used Indian rupee-denominated freight values instead of U.S. dollar-denominated freight values

in its margin calculation for packing expenses. For these amended final results, we corrected this ministerial error and used freight values that were converted to U.S. dollars before adding these values to the U.S. dollar-denominated surrogate values for the packing inputs in SLK's margin calculation program.

#### Amended Final Results

As a result of the correction of ministerial errors and amended margin calculation, the following weighted-average margin exists for SLK, for the period of December 2, 2003, through November 30, 2004.

Producer/Exporter	Original Weighted-average percentage margin	Amended Weighted-average percentage margin
LDR Industries Inc. and Beijing Sai Lin Ke Hardware Co., Ltd. ....	14.69	9.24

The Department will disclose calculations performed for the amended final results to the parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

#### Assessment Rates

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries based on the amended final results. For details on the assessment of antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, see Final Results, 71 FR 37051, 37056.

These amended final results are published in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 31, 2006.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-12817 Filed 8-7-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

(A-475-818)

#### Notice of Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Ninth Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Pasta from Italy

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** In response to requests by interested parties, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta ("pasta") from Italy for the period of review ("POR") July 1, 2004, through June 30, 2005.

We preliminarily determine that during the POR, both Corticella Molini e Pastifici S.p.A. and its affiliate Pasta

Combattenti S.p.A. (collectively, "Corticella/Combattenti") and Atar, S.r.L. ("Atar") sold subject merchandise at less than normal value ("NV"). If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results of this administrative review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties equal to the difference between the export price and normal value ("EP").

Further, requests for review of the antidumping duty order for the following companies were withdrawn: Barilla G.e.R. Fratelli, S.p.A./Barilla Alimentare, S.p.A. ("Barilla"), Moline e Pastificio Tomasello S.r.L. ("Tomasello"), and Pastificio Laporta S.a.s ("Laporta"). Because the withdrawal requests were timely and there were no other requests for review of these companies, we are rescinding the review for these companies. See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Furthermore, we are preliminarily rescinding the review with respect to Italpasta/Pasta Berruto S.p.A. ("Italpasta")<sup>1</sup> because Italpasta submitted a letter stating that it had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3). As discussed in the *Partial Rescission* section below, customs data did not contradict Italpasta's claim that it did not have shipments of subject merchandise during the POR.

Finally, we are rescinding the review with respect to Pastificio Antonio Pallante S.r.L./Industrie Alimentari Molisane, S.r.L./Vitelli Foods, LLC ("Pallante") because, since the initiation of the current review, the Department has revoked the order in part, with respect to Pallante, effective July 1, 2004. See *Notice of Final Results of the Eighth Administrative Review of the*

<sup>1</sup> In its September 20, 2005 letter, counsel for Italpasta S.p.A. informed the Department that it merged with its affiliate, Arrighi S.p.A. into a new company Pasta Berruto S.p.A.. See Letter to the Department from Italpasta, Re: Pasta from Italy; Response to Questionnaire (September 20, 2005).

*Antidumping Order on Certain Pasta From Italy and Determination to Revoke in Part*, 70 FR 71464 (November 29, 2005) ("*Pasta Eighth Review Final Results*").

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results and partial rescission. Parties who submit comments in this segment of the proceeding should also submit with them: (1) a statement of the issues and (2) a brief summary of the comments. Further, parties submitting written comments are requested to provide the Department with an electronic version of the public version of any such comments on diskette.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 8, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dennis McClure, Maura Jeffords or Preeti Tolani, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5973, (202) 482-3146 or (202) 482-0395, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On July 24, 1996, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on pasta from Italy. See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order and Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Pasta From Italy*, 61 FR 38547 (July 24, 1996).

On July 1, 2005, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation: Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 70 FR 38099 (July 1, 2005). We received